Control Control Control

Homophobia and Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation in the EU Member States: Part I - Legal Analysis

> European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights



European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights

Homophobia and Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation in the EU Member States

Part I - Legal Analysis

Olivier De Schutter 2008

Contents

FOR	EWORD		5
BAC	KGROUND		8
EXE	CUTIVE SUMM	ARY	11
1.	Implementat	ion of Employment Directive 2000/78/EC	23
	11.	The hierarchy of grounds under the equality directives	33
	12	The establishment of equality bodies with a competence	
		extending to discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation.	36
	1.3.	The prohibition of discrimination on grounds of	
		sexual orientation and the status of same-sex couples	52
	13		52
	13	3.2. The interpretation of the Employment Equality	
		Directive by the European Court of Justice	
	1.3	3 The requirements of international human rights law	56
2.	Freedom of	movement	60
-	21	The general tramework	
	22	A merried pertner of the citizen of the Union seeks to join	
		him or her in another EU Member State	62
	2.3.	A same-sex registered partner of the citizen of the Union	UZ.
	20	seeks to join him or her in another EU Member State	64
	2.4	A de facto same-sex cohabitant of the citizen of the Union	
	2.1	seeks to join him or her in another EU Member State	66
	2.5	The same-sex marriage or partnership concluded by a	
		calizen of the Union in a Member State other than the State	
		of which he/she is a national	67
3.		subsidiary protection	
	3.1	Asylum: the general framework	
	32	Subsidiery protection: the general framework	. 86
	3.3.	Family members of the individual seeking international	
		protection	90
4.		fication	
	4.1.	The general tramework	99
	4.2	The extension to same-sex spouses of the family reunification	
		rights recognised to opposite-sex spouses	. 100
	4.3	The extension to same-sex partners of family reunification	
		rights recognised to opposite-sex pertners	. 101
	4.4	The extension to same-sex partners of tree movement rights	
		recognised to opposite-sex partners	102

5.	Freedom of	assembly	103
	5.1	The general framework	103
	5.2	Freedom of assembly of LGBT people or organisations	
		demonstrating in favour of LGBT rights	106
	5.3	Demonstrations against LGBT people constituting an	
		incitement to hatred, violence or discrimination.	. 110
6.	enterte et term		
0.	61		
	62	The general tramework Combating homophobia through the criminal law or	. 112
	0.2	through other means	
	63	Homophobic motive as an aggravating factor in the	. 112
	6.3	commission of criminal offences ('hate crimes').	121
		,	
7.	Transgende	r issues	
	7.1.	The requirement of non-discrimination	. 123
	7.2	The legal status of transsexuals: gender reassignment	
		and legal recognition of the post-operative gender	
		 The availability of gender reassignment operations 	. 127
	7:	 The legal consequences of gender reassignment 	
		recognition of the acquired gender and right to change	
		one's torename in accordance with the acquired gender.	. 129
		Official recognition of a new gender	. 132
		Change of torename	135
8.	Other releva	nt issues	138
0.	81	The collection of data relating to discrimination on grounds	
	0.1	of sexual orientation or gender identity	138
	8.2	Access to reproductive health services	141
9.			
9.	9 1	Establishing specialised units within the public administration.	
	92	Measuring specialised drifts within the public administration. Measuring the extent of discrimination on grounds of sexual	. 140
	92		
	93	crientation Creating awareness by proactive policies	140
	9.3	Protecting the privacy of transgendered individuals in the	. 140
	9.4	context of job applications.	443
10.		i	
	10.1		
	10 2	The Free Movement Directive	149
	10.3	The Qualification Directive	
	10.4	The Family Reunification Directive	. 151
	10.5		
	10.6		153
	10.7	The lack of statistics and data for the development of	
		anti-discrimination policies	154

11.1	Equal Right to Equal Treatment	155
11.2	Same sex couples are not always treated equally with opposite sex couples	155
11.3	Approximation of criminal law combating homophobia	
11.4	Transgender persons are also victims of discrimination	157
11.5	Lack of statistics regarding discrimination on grounds	
	of sexual orientation	157

Foreword

The European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights was established by Council Regulation (EC) No 1682007 on 15 February 2007. The objective of the Agency is to provide assistance and expertise to relevant institutions, bodies, offices and agences of the Community and its Member States, when implementing Community law relating to fundamental institution.

In this context the European Parlament asked in June 2007 the Fundamental Rights Agency lo Jaunch a comprehensive report on homophosis and classromation based on sexual orientation in the Member States of the European Usino. The aim of this report is to assist the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affirs of the European Parlament, When discussing the need for a Directive covering all grounds of discrimination listed in Anthel 3 of the EU Treaty for all sectors reported to the Read-Equally Directive 2000/43/EC. These sectors are equations, social seconity, Healthcare, and access to good and sevence in Boddon, the European Parlament considered that and access to good and sevences in Boddon, the European Parlament considered that the European Commission, with the aim of exploring the possibility of liabing a draft detrocker, which vaulded mobile their Suffers agent.

In response the Agency luuminde a major project in December 2007 amout all producing a comprehensive project in chimopolosis and discrimination on grounds of session and contention. The report is composed of two parts. The first part is the present publication, where the project is composed to the parts. The first part is the present publication, which could have been continued to the project in the project pro

The principle of equal threatment constitutes a landarimental value of the European Union. Antide 2 of the Charter of Fundarimental Plagis prohibits any estimations based on any ground such as sex, race, colour, ethnic or social origin, genefic features, language, resignor, rebeller, plottical or any other organion, membership of a suboral morning, property, britis, disability, age or sexual orientation. Until the Treaty of Amsterdam Treaty granted the Community new powers to control administration to the grounds of an intervention of the grounds of sex, facility of them colours religion or exercised or the control of the Amsterdam Treaty granted the Community new powers to control discrimation on the grounds of sex, read or effect only reflect profit of sex, facility of the colours (Paging and Sex).

The Radial Equality Directive 2000/43/EC provides comprehensive protection against discrimination on the grounds of race or ethnicity in several spheres of social life employment and training, education, social protection (including social security and

healthcare), social advantages, membership and involvement in organisations of workers and employers and access to goods and services, including housing However, the Empfoyment Equality Directive provides protection against discrimination or grounds of religion or belief, disability, age, and sexual orientation only in the areas of empfoyment and training.

In light of this the principle of equal treatment in EU law appears paradoxically to be applied through the existing directives "unequally" creating an artificial "hierarchy" of grounds of discrimination, protecting one more comprehensively than others.

Albudgh various enh-discrimination provisions may ofter a certain level of protection organized sexual consistent discrimination in the Member Steets, retenting consists discrimination differently is not commensurate with the EU's fundamental principle of cerular between Euharmenor, the task of LEU low is to approximate noticoal legislation to a common decrimination so that a fundamental principle of the European Uteran, consistent of the European Uteran, protected negative in all Member Steets. If Oglies, can be imprecised organized protected negative in all Member Steets.

Furthermore, the analysis of the unequal treatment of same sex couples across the EU points to the urgent need to darify the situation in conformity with international human rights law for rights and benefits provided for spouses and partners under the EU's Free Movement Directive. the Family Resultification Directive and the Qualification Directive

Therefore, the opinion of the Fundamental Rights Agency is that a comprehensive horizontal directive extending the protection of the Race Equality Directive in employment and training, education, social protection (including social security and healthcare) social advantages membership and involvement in organisations of workers and employers and access to goods and services, including housing, to all grounds of discrimination will offer comprehensive protection in the spirit of the Charter of Fundamental Rights The Jegal analysis presented here examines specific areas based on the idea that the main task of the EU Fundamental Rights Agency is to help EU Member States implement EU law in accordance with the requirements of tundamental rights, as required under Article 6(2) at the EU Treaty. In this context, a number of the legislative instruments examined in this report may have a deep impact on the situation of Lesbians. Gavs. Bisexuals and Transsexuals (LGBT) persons, and if would be most useful to provide such guidance to national authorities, where these instruments themselves are silent about the requirements of fundamental rights However, the entorcement of the rights of LGBT persons requires much more than legislation and litigation. It calls for decisive action by policy makers at both European and national level to protect through concrete measures LGBT rights ensuring that their right to complaint and seek regress from discrimination can be exercised effectively. This requires not only the implementation of the appropriate legislative instruments, but also the operation of equality bodies that are well resourced and efficient as well as information campaign to inform the public of LGBT rights.

A first positive and welcome finding of this report is that already 18 EU Member States have gone beyond minimal prescriptions regarding sexual orientation in implementing

the Employment Equality Directive by providing protection against discrimination for LGBTs not only in employment, but also in other or even all of the areas covered by the Racial Fousity Directive.

On the other hand it is striking to see how few official or even unofficial complaints data are currently available across the EU on discrimental on grounds of sexual orientation, which might point to the persistence of a social stigms that makes LGBT individuals reluctant to identify themselves as such. This issue, however, will be scruthrised in the upcoming sociological enalysis that froms the second part of this report.

Furthermore, the report finds that the issue of transpendered persons, who are size of transpendered persons, who are size of transpendered persons, who are size of the control of the co

Finally, the legal enalysis shows that a number of EU legislative instruments occurring (Free Movement Drockwe 2004684CG) do not take exploitly into account the situation of Qualification Directive 2004684CG) do not take exploitly into account the situation of LOBFI persons. These instruments need to be interpreted in the legisl of Unidensetial nights principles in the context of LOBFI resules. It would be most useful to provide further justices to instancial authorities in this respect to ensure legal certainty and equal purchase to instancial authorities in this respect to ensure legal certainty and equal purchase.

As the European Union's Agency for Fundamental Rights we must acknowledge that this legal analysis presents a situation that calls for serious considerations. Let us not forget that the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights is the first international human rights charter to explicitly include the term "sexual orientalion" in its Article 21 (1).

'Any discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, color, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or other opinion, membership of a national minority unocerty with disability age or sexual unfentation shall be orbibited.'

The Union's political leaders have therefore an obligation to take measures that will ensure that any discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and against transsexual people is eradicated and all these people can truly enjoy their right to be "different, but equal".

In closing I would like to thank Professor Olivier De Schutter and the other legal experts of FRALEX for their contribution, as well as the staff of the Agency for their hard work and commitment.

Morten Kiáerum, Director

Background

This legal analysis constitutes the first part of a comprehensive comparative report on homophobia and discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation. The second part, a sociological analysis, is expected to be published by the end of 2008.

Following an interdisciplinary methodology the Agency approached this challenging task by developing a legal analysis based on background material collected and analysed by sist team of serior legal experts (FRALEX) and a sociological analysis based on a variety of secondary data, as well as interviews with key actors, carried out by Barrish brattlar for Human Roths (DIB) and the interminance consultance for COW!

The present report is a comparative legal enalysis of the situation in the Member States of the European Union based on 27 induction contributions by FRALEX dataflet on the bases of deflated guisdines provided by the Agency. The report examines and analyses comparatively key legal provisions, relevent justiced side, a go curtice disease, and conception the State in addition, the report identifies and highlight Spood practice in the term of postate measures and initiatives amend to exampte a function of the state of the

In developing this report the Agency has consulted with key stakeholders, such as the European Commission, the Commissioner for Human Rights of the Council of Europe, and the European level NGO ILGA-Europe

The work of the European Union institutions

The European Parliament has been consistently supportive of gay and testann rights, having passed several non-brinding resolutions on this subject - the first of which, back in 1994, called for an end to work-related discrimination on his basis of sexual orientation. Discrimination experienced by leablase and gays in the EU was obtained in the 1994 "Roth Report," which toggered a European Parliament recommendation on the abotton of all forms of sexual central and scientificant, leading to its Residuel on equal right for homoscusis and testame (3-0,002894). The European Parliament also requested that the Council and Commission consoler the quastion of adscrimination against homosecusis during EU membership negotiations. During the past years the European Parliament has adviced a number of residuations on homoschales in European Reductions.

FRALEX is a group of senior experts contracted by the Agency to provide background material, information and analysis on legal issues. You may find more information at our website.

increasing importance attached to this issue P6_TA(2006)0018 Resolution on Homophobia in Europe, 18 January 2006, P6_TA(2006)0273 Resolution on the increase in recist and homophobic violence in Europe, 15 June 2006, P6_TA-PROV(2007)0167 Resolution on Homophobia in Europe 26 April 2007

In 1999, the Treaty of Ameterdam enabled the European Commission to devide action against discrimination on grounds of season directions (Artificial ST). This led in 2000 to the adoption of the Employment Directive, which obliges all Member States to interduce, legislation bearing discrimination in employment or a market of grounds, including sessual constalor. By December 2003 Countries applying to join the European Union are sessual constalor. By December 2003 Countries applying to join the European Commission and selective for the Countries of the Countries applying to join the European Commission of System Commission pursues of the Countries applying the PROGRESS (Programme for Employment and Scotal Sciidarily) PROGRESS that commission pursues faither that efforts on all seasons and sciidarily proper PROGRESS (Programme for Employment and Scotal Sciidarily) PROGRESS that manufaction the control sciidarily that services the services of the services of the commission pursue of the services of the services of the properties of the services of the services of the properties of the services of the properties of the services of the properties of properties properties properties of properties properties properties properties proper

Finally, it should be highlighted that the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union is the first international human rights charter to include the term "sexual prepriation" in its Article 21 (1).

"Any discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, color, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or other opinion, membership of a national minority property birth disability are or sexual orientation shall be prohibited."

The work of the Council of Europe

The European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms prohibits any torum of admirations in the exercise of the rights and treadoms guaranteed by the Convention. The case-law of the European Court of Human Rights has been an important instrument in the fight against terms of admirational on grounds of security contention particularly registrating the decriminalisation of consensual increase contact between adults in private, but all one granting from set surrequisit legals of content for homosexuals and heterosexuals, exclusion from the mistary and decrimination in the accessor of the reference of the contractions of the conditional contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of an end decrimination in the excessor of the network of excessive section of consential contraction of the contraction of consential section of consential section of the contraction of the contraction

The Parliamentary Assembly has adopted several relevant recommendations, such as Recommendation 924 (1981) Discrimination against homosexuals, Recommendation 1470 (2000) Situation of caves and leishans and their partners in respect of asylum and immigration in the member states of the Council of Europe, Recommendation 1474 (2000) Situation of lesbians and gays in Council of Europe member states, and Recommendation 1635 (2003) Lesbians and gays in sport.

The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities recently adopted Recommendation 2011(2007) on Freedom of assentity and expression by leabians, gays, bisecuals and transgendered persons and called upon the Committee of Ministers to invite the member states to ensure that a number of measures are taken – notably to protect LGST persons from discrimination and volations of their notats to freedom of coursesion and assembly

Issues concerning discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation are also covered as a part of other Ced solutions. For example, MOSO have conducted in the transversion MOSO have conducted in the transversion of campagn /AD Officered All Equal', the Week Against Hamicphobia throughout Europe in Mostch 2007, involving members of the Countal of Europe Secretarist The Countain publication, a manual on human solution for young people contains a specific section and scientification.

The Council of Europe Secretory Centeral and the Commissioner for Human Rights have made several public statements condemnally inderopticate and anno Nevember 2007 the Office of the Commissioner for Human Rights has been implementing the LGST Human Rights Montology Programme This ambificiation programme and settlement for the English Montology Programme This ambificiation programme and settlement of the Human Rights and Commissioner of human rights of LGST people seating member States in the representations of the States in the Human Rights states of LGST standards, identification states that the states of the States in LGST sta

Executive summary

Implementation of Employment Directive 2000/78/EC

The implementation of the Employment Equality Directive (Council Directive 2000/78/EC (27.11.2000)) has been variable across the Member States. In eight Member States the Employment Equality Directive has been implemented as regards sexual crientation discrimination, in the fields designated by Article 3(1) of the Directive, i.e., in matters related to work and employment. In ten other Member States, the protection of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation has been partially extended beyond employment and occupation, in order to cover certain but not all fields to which the Racial Equality Directive (Council Directive 2000/43/EC (29.6.2000)) applies - i.e., beyond work and employment, social protection (social security and healthcare), social advantages, education, and access to and supply of goods and services which are available to the public including housing in the nine remaining Member States, the scope of the protection from discrimination on arounds of sexual orientation has been extended to all fields covered by the Racial Equality Directive. There is a tendency within the States belonging to the first two groups to join the third group to have the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation in their domestic legislation extended to all areas to which the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of race and ethnic origin. annlies

The first drapter focuses on three issues that have remained contentuous troughout the experimentation of the Enriphyment Caputh Unclude First, it exercises the investory of grounds scennigh established under the two Caputh (Dischies adopted in 2000 This report concludes that the singul not be compated with the status acquired by the prohibition of discrimination or grounds of sexual crestation in international human implies that (11) Second, of precedul non-environment of produces and under the prohibition of discrimination of the equality frontwises of 2000, showing that 18 Morriers States in the implementation of the equality flood window powers destined to discrimination on grounds of sexual criedation. The choices States have been provided as the control of the prohibition of control of the second control of the Morrier States in the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual criedation. The choices States in the second control of the Morrier States in setting upon a second can also desirately and the second control of the Morrier States in setting upon a second can also existing the profession of second cerestation implies and a prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual cerestation implies and a prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual cerestation implies and a prohibition of discrimination of grounds and desirately and the control of the sexual restatement between memoral couples and memor

1.1 The hierarchy of grounds of discrimination. Under current EU law, the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of race and ethnic origin is stronger and more extended than

the prohibition of discrimination on any of the other grounds mentioned in Article 13 EC. including sexual orientation, and with the exception of sex. However, while the establishment of such a 'hierarchy of grounds' is not per se incompatible with international human rights law it is in contrast with the recognition of sexual orientation. as a particularly suspect ground and annears increasingly difficult to justify. It should therefore come as no surprise that in a significant number of ELLMember States, the idea that all discrimination grounds should benefit from an equivalent degree of protection has been influential in guiding the implementation of the equality directives. Not only have a number of States aligned the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual prientation with the prohibition of discrimination on arounds of race or ethnic origin. There is also a general convergence towards the model of one single equality. body, competent to deal with all discrimination grounds, notwithstanding the fact that only the Radial Equality Directive mandates (in Art. 13) the establishment of such an equality body, competent for radial and ethnic discrimination, the single equality body is the model already in place in seventeen Member States, a figure which could use to twenty-two in the next two years, and in one other State, an Ombudsperson has been established to deal with sexual orientation discrimination, bringing the total number of States having set up an institution competent to deal with this kind of discrimination to einhteen

1.2 The establishment of equality books: The examination of the equality books whose power seted to discommention on goods of sexual contention leads to four coordinates. First, because the powers of orthodismetathons establishment in the 1980s and 1980s have often been entended to over human rights sains in the exercise of public powers, there may be a need, where such orbudentifications coasts with an examination of the public powers. The power is needed to be a present that the public power is needed to be a possible power to be a possible power to be a public power to be a possible power to be a possible power to be a possible power to be a public power to be a possible power to be a public power to be a pu

Second, as mentioned above, most States have optical for the model of a single equality body covering all grounds relative than for a body specialistic an estual crientation assummation. This chacks is justified primarily by considerations related to economise of scale, to the need to consistency in the interpretation of and scalematics, and to the trequency of incidents of multiple documentation built may have to be continued with the need to give submitted multiple documentation. Built may have to be continued with the need to give submitted multiple in the work of the Body or estual interestation by the mental of the scalemant is submitted. The scalemant is by the mental of the scalemant is submitted to the scalemant is produced as the scalemant is the more complete of separation connections and building a nellationate of total with burst of documentation.

Third, whife many equality bodies combine their promotional duties (1) with assistance to victims (2), a mediation role between victim and offender (3), and/or a quasi-adjudicatory function through the delivery of non-binding opinions (4), the combination of these different tasks within one single institution may be the source of certain differences.

research septianed in the report. The Austrian system of Equal Treatment Commissions (ETCs) and ombudamidations for Equal Treatment (OETs) may constitute an interesting means both to avoid tragnerestation of anti-discrimination law by having each ground treated within an institution entirely separate form the other, while at the same time allowing to a central origine of specialisation, and follall for spisian-discribed functions (through the ETCs) and counselling and assistance to victims (through the OETs).

Fourth, many, the few variable statistics on the use by the victims of the complain mechanisms they have at their disposal show that, with the ocception of the Horno's in Sweden, head mechanisms are very rarely relied upon. Rather than an indicator that state discrimination on grounds de seasol centrations is occurring, in should be seen as an indicator that it is all costly, in terms of reputation and make to privacy, to report should not be a lower application of the problem of the problem of the problem of underspropring would be to allow equality bodies either to act on their institute, or on the basis of anythrous complaints, without revealing the clearly of the victim to the officient Another solution would be to describe the charge of the victims of the largest (DRI stiding) in proof to pathibit to state between the orders the operation.

1.3 Differences in Instalment between marriage and other unions (registered protecting) or divended to talco relabionships). The Employment Equality Directive ose not clearly specify whether, in States where some-sex marriage is not allowed, differences in terestime based on whether or not a person is ministered may be betreaff, or whether such differences in terestiment based on the form of the protection of indirect descriptions on sexual certestion. The recent osselves for the European Control of Justice deserving recent in the face of the European Country. The Court notes that the oversion by the Meretre States of their competence to require marities relating to the state of the competence of the control of the distals and the benefit disrupt perfection must comply with Community law and, in particular, with the provisions relating to the most stemple of the control of th

However, inferreduced human rights law requires that same-sex couples either have access to an institution such as registered partnership which provides them with the same advantages as those they would be recognised in they had access to marriage, or that, falling such official recognition, the de his-bot durable relationships they enter into leads to extending to them such advantages indired, where offirences in treatment between married couples and unmarried couples have been recognised as legitimate, this has been inclided by the responsing that concides sex couples have made a deliberate choice not to many. Since such resorring does not apply to some-sex couples which, under the applicable nethroal legislation, are prohibited from manying, if latitions a contrain that advantages recognised to manuel couples should be extended to unmanifed same-sex couples either when these couples from a registered partnership, or when, in the silvance of such an institution, the direct proteomist presents in sufficient longine of perminency any refusal to thus collected the advantages benefiting manned couples to semi-sex couples solvide betweet and commandor.

Freedom of movement

Three questions are relevant when examining which implications follow from the requirements of fundamental pights for the implementation of Directive 2004/38/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right of ditizens of the Union and their family members to move and reside treely within the territory of the Member States (Free Movement Directive). A first question is whether the same-sex married person (whose marriage with another person of the same-sex is valid under the laws at Belgium, the Netherlands, or Spain) should be considered a 'spouse' of the citizen of the Union having moved to another EU Member State for the purposes of this Directive, by the host Member State, thus imposing on this State to grant the spouse an automatic and unconditional right of entry and residence. This report concludes that any refusal to do would constitute a direct discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, in violation of Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and of the general principle of equality, as reiterated in Article 21 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights. Altogether though, and despite this requirement of non-discrimination on grounds. of sexual orientation, at least eleven Member States appear hostile to the recognition of same-sex marriage concluded abroad, and might refuse to consider as 'spouses', for the purposes of family reunification, the same-sex married partner of a crizen of the Union having exercised his/her tree movement rights in the forum State. A clarification of the obligations of the EU Member States under the Free Movement Directive, as regards the recognition of same-sex married couples, would therefore be highly desirable.

A second question is raised in this situation where a coughi, formed of two persons of the same-sex, although they contact many in their Sales of origin, has access to registered protecting, or to some equivalent from of old union, and where such an institution has been entered into it in this case, the Free Movement Directive states that only when the hoot Sale throst registered partnerships concluded in another Morthol's late does equivalent to manage in its domestic begisterion, should it heart registered partnerships concluded in another Morthol's late as equivalent to manage for the purpose of termly reunfaction. The same rule would seem to be imposed on host Morthol's Sales where same-sex couples may many in lottol line EU Morthol's Sales as when same-sex couples may many in lottol line EU Morthol's Sales as when same-sex couples may many in

registered partnership equivalent to marriage exists, and in four Member States whichever institution does exist does not produce effects equivalent to marriage.

A third question arises in the bynothesis where no form of registered partnership is available to the same sex counte in the State of origin, and where the relationship between two partners of the same-sex therefore is purely de facto. In this case, the obligation of the host Member State is to 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner. provided either the partners share the same household (Art. 3(2), a)), or there exists between them a 'durable relationship duly attested' (Art. 3(2), b)). Such 'durable relationship' is considered to be established inso facto where a registered partnership has been concluded, according to the Petitions Committee of the European Parliament. This obligation, which requires from the bost State that it carefully examines the personal procumstances of each individual seeking to exercise his or her right to family reundication is not conditional upon the existence in the host Member State of a form. of registered partnership considered equivalent to marriage. It follows that, where a registered partnership has been concluded between two persons of the same-sex in one Member State, the host Member State either has to treat this union as equivalent to marriage (if the bost Member State treats registered partnerships as equivalent to marriage in its own domestic civil (aw), or must at least 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner, either because the partners share the same household (Art. 3(2), a)), or because such a registered partnership as a matter of course establishes the existence of a 'durable relationship, duly attested' (Art. 3(2), b)). In the vast majority of the Member. States, no clear guidelines are available concerning the means by which the existence either of a common household or of a 'durable relationship' may be proven. While this may be explained by the need not to artificially restrict such means, the risk is that the criteria relied upon by administrations might be arbitrarily applied, and possibly lead to discrimination against same-sex partners, which have been cohabiting together or are engaged in a durable relationship. Further guidance on how these provisions should be implemented would facilitate the task of national administrations, contribute to legal certainty, and limit the risks of arbitrariness and discrimination against same-sex households or relationships.

Asylum and subsidiary protection

Council Directive 2004/83/EC of 29 April 2004 on Minmum Standards for the Qualification and Status of Third Country Nationals or Statisties Sprease is Refugeer or as Plasonis Wilho Otherwise Need International Protection and the Content of the Protection Cosmed (the Qualification Directive) provides a definition of refugee disease ingeriod by the 1955 Covernation on the Status of Refugeers. States that the notion of 'social group in that definition imay include a group based on a common basederistic of sessual centeration 5, compressor of the national lecidations implementation the Discretic highlights three areas where it is not interpreted uniformly (3.1.). First, although none of the EU Member States has refused to consider sexual orientation as a source of persecution for the purposes of granting the status of refugee, the inclusion of that ground of persecution remains implicit in the legislation of eight Member States. The interpretation given to this clause varies particularly regarding the consequences to be drawn from the fact that homosexual behaviour is a criminal offence in the laws of the country of origin. Second, the Qualification Directive specifies that 'sexual orientation cannot be understood to include acts considered to be criminal in accordance with national law of the Member States' (Art. 10(1), dl). Despite certain hesitations in the implemention legislations of the Member States it is implicit, but certain, that this exception could not be invoked by reference to any legislation which constitutes a violation of the right to respect for private life, or which constitutes a discrimination in the encoment of the night to respect for private life, under the European Convention on Human Rights. Third, the protection thus offered to gave and lesbians under the Qualification Directive should logically extend to transsexuals, since they too torm a distinctive 'excisi aroun' whose members share a common characteristic and have a distinct identity due to the perception in the society of origin. But this interpretation is not uniformly recognised.

In addition to its stiputions on the recognition of refugee status, the Qualification Directive provides that States shall great subtrainary protection status to persons who on rot qualify as refugees, where such persons feer serious herm upon being sent back to her state of region (2.2.). Sentius herm subdise, time fails, the destine persons that to truth an or inhumen or deparding restrient or pursitionned of an applicant in the country for right price. The antibiot protection of or right plut 1.6. so and both (3.0.compt on the European Court of Human Right), the EU (CET) person membry because that person may be subjected to a centre of information in the State or return. However, it should be admondeding that herecomen or grounds or several returns of the state of the sta

According to Art 27h of the Qualification Directive, territy members in the context of system ander subdivery protection insults both spouses and unmarted porters in a stable reliationship, where the legistation or practice of the Member State concerned treats unmarted cupries in a very compressible to manufact cupries under its law reliating to alread (3.1). "Spouses" of relugace or individuals benefiting from subsidiary protection sould rackles sense ser, groupser in line 1.0 Wherefor States in the statistion is more sould rackles sense ser, groupser in lart 1.0 Wherefor States in the statistion is more statistically the statistic sense of the statistic in the statistic sense service sevold protective for the officient States in which by contract, sense ser, soulcase sould protective for the officient sense services sould protective for the officient sense. international protection, this portion of the Qualification Directive is implemented in volution of the prohibition of direct discrimation or grounds of Sessari Centrellon As regards the partners in unmarined semi-sex couples, some-sex partners are not granted required. The partners in unmarined semi-sex couples, some-sex partners are not granted to non-amented partners is allowed under the Qualification Directive, at least in the to non-amented partners is situated under the Qualification Directive, at least in the to non-amented partners is situated under the Qualification Directive, at least in the to non-amented partners is situated under the Qualification Directive, at least in the to non-amented partners in situation of the partners in the principle of equal treatment in the overvelenting impropry of cases, appliancessives conjunct from countries which do not all other some care manages that in the partners of partners to the partners of the p

Family reunification

Count Directive 2003/86/EC of 22 September 2003 on the night to territy resurfication. (Ferrith) Resurrication Directively ensures that spousses will benefit from territy resurrication (Art 4/1a). It is however for each Member State to abode whether it shall extend this right also to unumerised or registered portens of the apport. However, the Member States should take into account, in implementing the derether, ther obligations under Article (20/21). Where a State of all only a surder in printering to continue by deriving the possibility for the parties to join the apports, the regist for register to register the survival to the survival of the survival to the survival of the survival to the survival to the survival of the survival to the survival of the

In addition, the directive should be implemented without discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation. A first implication is that the same-sex 'spouse' of the sponsor should be granted the same rights as would be granted to en opposite-sex 'spouse' (4.2.) But the creatical impact of two other implications discussed below is more sponificant.

A second implication is that it a State decides to extend the right to family reunification to unmarried pertners living in a stable long-term relationship and/or to registered pertners (an option chosen by 12 EU Member States), this should benefit all such partners, and

The Union shall respect fundamental rights, as guaranteed by the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms signed in Rome on 4 Nevember 1950 and as they result from the constitutional traditions common to the Member States, as general principles of Community law.

not only opposite-see, partners, in addition, while the Family Reuntification Directive impacking sources that it is not discriminately to part termity reuntification rights to the spouse of the sponser, without derinding the same rights to the unmarried partner of the sponser, even where the country of origin of the includivals concerned does not all owns hosp persons of the same-sex formary, the result of this regime is that family reuntification inglists are more demonstrated for opposite-sec couples, which may marry in order to grainfed such rights, then it is for same-sex couples. So whom the option is not open the marry open of the partners of the same sex couples. So when the option is not open intermational human right law, it is acceptable for States to restrict marrage to oppositetive couples, reserving orders in gifts to married couples where some sex couples have no access to marriage may be seen as a form of descrimination on grounds of sexual coverations (4.3).

Finally, a third implication is that, an EU Member State cannot restrict to opposite-sex pertners (4.4) the benefits of the provisions of EC law on the free movement of persons to the partners of a third-country netonal residing in another Member State (and which that other Member State finals as tamily members).

Freedom of assembly

Antice 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights protects the treatm of assembly and provision startistors to that respect to search of the content of the message of the demonstrators. The civily exception is when this freedom is used with the aim of costituting rights and treatment of the European Convention on Human Rights Thus, demonstrations against LGST people, within my be seen to include directly to harder of discrimination against this group may be prohibited without this leading to a viction of Arthred in ECHR GT.

The report examines how issues. First, regarding the exercise of freedom of essembly by individuals or agreemations demonstrating in Fever or I CBIT Trains, it documents extend insistances where the subtractives prefuturely at the local level) have imposed attributy or depresentation of evere in selection of evere in selection of cell report (and I CBIT Trains) (52). Vague or overtroad expressions describing the conditions under which a demonstration may be bearind may be abunded may be to a trainstration of externation, predictly where notices such as public credit in effect amount to glung a visit origin to continue demonstrators, where the contraction of the contractivity where notices who are localities to ICBIT rights and threshor to during 'triple product or other similar events. Second, while most ISI Werther Stotes provide in their demonstration and contractivity where notices are considered in the contractivity of the contractivity where notices are considered in the contractivity of the contr

Hate speech and criminal law

As illustrated in the area of combating racism and xenophobia through the criminal law, it is compatible with the requirements of treedom of expression to define as a criminal offence incitement to batted, violence or discrimination against LGRT persons (6.1.) In twelve Member States (a figure which appears bound to increase in the future), the criminal law contains provisions making it a criminal offence to incite to hatred, violence or discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation (6.2.). This figure does not include the specific case of harassment in the workplace, which under the Employment Equality Directive should be treated as a form of discrimination and should be subjected to effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions, which may be of a criminal nature. In the other Member States, by contrast, hate speech against LGBT people is not explicitly defined as constituting a criminal offence, although in most cases, generally worded offences may equally serve to protect LGRT persons from homophobic speech, only in 4 States are the existing criminal law provisions against hate speech explicitly restricted to the protection of groups other than LGBT people. In addition, apart from criminal law provisions, protection may be sought under civil law in order to combat homophobic speech

Archite issue examined in this displet concerns hamophobic intent is an aggressing bottom incommittage common immer (6.3). The EUMember States define soun intents on an aggressing crossinatione, either for all common armies, or for a spordic set of criminal offences in them other States, homophobic intents in and a significant groundscribe for arminal obtaines. The notion of Table crimer is shown in six of these States, however, and as least two States—who do not restate originally then do not table crimer's to crimes committed with a most or surreproduct intent—the general criminations used may all down an extension to crimer committed with a homophobic state.

Transgender issues

This student of transgender people may be defined across two dimensions. First, transgender people should be protected from discrimination (1/3). The view of the European Court of Justice is that the instruments implementing the principle of equal tereinnet between men and women should be interpreted widely in code to stroted protection against discrimination to transgendered persons. Following this approach, thintees EU illumber Sobilise test discrimination on grounds of transgenderism as a form of sex discrimination, although this is generally a motifier of produce of the enddoctamentation bodies on the courts, rether then excepted supplement endignation, in eleven chief Salies, discrimination on grounds of transgenderism is treated enther as sex discrimination on or a secual correlation discrimination. Travelling not only in several discrimination or a secual correlation discrimination, resulting not of white sex discrimination or as secual correlation discrimination, resulting not of white sex discrimination or as secual correlation discrimination, resultant or several in sextra security. studies of legal uncertainty as to the precise protection of transgender persons from documentation, but also on much lower level of protection of these persons, sittingous this could be remarked by the document courts interpreting easing notional legislation in this could be remarked by the document courts interpreting easing notional legislation in continently with the courtment of the court protection of the protection of the protection of the grounds of transgenders as traveled as sexual orientation discumendan. This may be where professional, containing the protection in contract protection in contract states there is a special discumental or ground, gender identify, for transgender secretic.

Categorisms destrimination on grounds of branspenderism under sex discrimitation means, at ammunitum, bath set Durismstress prohibitigs sex discrimitation in the areas of work and employment and in the access to and supply of goods and services, will be fully applicable to any discrimination on grounds of a person intending to undergo, undergoing, or having undergoing, enabled to a broader protection from descrimination could seekly develope the school protection from descrimination or grounds of "gender identity", encompassing not only transaceusis, but also chefer categories, such as cost diseases and materialistic proper with the permanentity in the protection (projection from the protection (projection from the protection from protection from control from the protection from protection from control from the protection from the protection from control from the protection for control from the protection from control from the protection from the protection from control from the protection from control from the protection from control from the protection from the protection from control from the protection from the protection from control from the protection from the prote

Second, the legal rights of transsessule regarding the conditions for the ocquisition of a different generic and the official recognition of the new generic following prefer reassignment must be recognised. According to the European Convention on Human Rights all States profits must allow the possibility in procipic almost inner jurisdiction, to undergo surgery leading to full gender-reassignment (2.1) Must EU Member States imposs sets conditions on the availability of gender reassignment operations, periodicipal including wating periods, and psychological and medical independent expertise, but also in certain cases, poor judicial authorities Whitel often including varieties periodicipal control of the profit of the pro

The European Convention on Human Rights guarantees the legal recognition of the new gender sequent Goldman Services and the services are serviced to the services of the serv recognition of a new gender is possible only following a medically supervised process of gender reassignment sometimes requiring, as a separate specific condition, that the person concerned is no longer capable to beget children in accordance with his/her former sex, and sometimes requiring surgery and not merely hormonal treatment. In certain Member States the official recognition of gender reassumment requires that the person concerned is not married or that the marriage be dissolved. This obliges the individual to have to choose between either remaining married or undergoing a change which will recongle his/her biological and social sex with his/her psychological sex, if has therefore been proposed that the requirement of being unmarried or divorced as a prerequisite for authorisation for sex change should be abandoned. Finally, the ability to change one's forename in order to manifest the gender reassignment is recognised under different procedures. In most Member States, changing names (acquiring a name indicative of another gender than the gender at birth) is a procedure available only in exceptional circumstances, generally conditional upon medical testimony that the gender reassignment has taken place, or upon an official recognition or gender reassignment, whether or not following a medical procedure

Other relevant issues

The lack of reliable statistical data in almost all the EU Member States, about the extent of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or about the impact of legislation on the situation of LGBT persons, is mostly due to the fear that collecting such data will result in a violation of the domestic legislation protection personal data. Underliably, it is indispensable to protect the personal data relating to sexual gnentation, which are particularly sensitive given the risks of misuse of such data. The report recalls however that both the 1995 Personal Data Directive and the 1981 Council of Europe Convention tor the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data are only concerned with 'personal data', namely 'any information relating to an identified or identifiable individual." No such personal data are involved where information is collected on an anonymous basis or once the information collected is made anonymous in order to be used in statistics, since such data cannot be traced to any specific person. Similarly, while the European Court of Human Rights has made clear that Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, which guarantees the right to respect for private life, is applicable to instances of processing of personal data, this does not extend beyond the situations where information is identified to one particular individual or where it can be traced back to one individual without unreasonable efforts. Thus, personal data protection legislation should not be an obstacle, in the future, to improving our approaches to discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation by the collection and processing of data relating to their situation and to the effectiveness of the existing legal tramework

The report also identifies as a further challenge in the promotion of the rights of LGBT persons their access to reproductive health services, particularly for lesbian women seeking to benefit from artificial inserringation.

Good practice

Four sets of good produces are halfalfalfalf. Two of these are means to overcome the undersprofing of desimination on grounds of sexual notestance, for the lock of reliable statestance date on this subject, as illustrated by the pausity of such date in the national conditionable. The state of good practices concern the provider profice public subtomities could take in order to promote the visibility of immosessatily and various special identifies, in order to personal extra where LCGIP process with hower forting to their from their good accust that it possibly failing to good practice reliable to the need only of the process of the process of the process of the process of processors.

Implementation of Employment Directive 2000/78/EC

The Employment Equility Directive (Council Directive 2000/REC (27.11.2000)) prothist both direct and indirect disconniction or grounds it is seaso direction including personnel, violences and the instruction to stormente— in both the proteine and the public ecitors, in each and employment. This prothibin applies in relation to conclines for access to employment, to self-employment or to congation, access to violential guidence or violence to familiary employment and violence propriess (44.13). The directive was to be implemented by the Ultraher's States by 2. December 2003. The adoption of the Employment Equility Directive (stored the following by the Park States (14.11.2000). The confidence was to employee (44.11.2000), which problems disconniction of grounds of incor or either origin not only in work and employment, but sets on employees. Sets of precision (see all sets) or and excession in production and occess to and supply of goods and services which are evaluation to the child income.

The national contributions prepared by the FRALEX experts for this comparative study confirm the findings of other reports that have illustrated the strong variations between the EU Member States in the imprementation of the Equality Directives. This is true in periodize are regards the requirement of non-discrimination on grounds of sexual contribution. The groups of States of diminst identical improvance may be destinguished.

The test group consists of nie Member States (DK, EE, EL, FR, IT, CV, MT, PL and FP), that have implement Equality Discovered Equality Discovered regarding seasons. PP), that have implement Equality Discovered regarding season matters related to extra discovered regarding seasons and test of tested to extra discovered regarding seasons and tested related to the seasons of the protection from discovered real regarding seasons of the great relative seasons of the protection from discovered real resolution of seasons consistent (EE, FP, ID) to their felial is addition, in Ficence, such as electrons could take place relatively seasy, stone in requires only a prosedurable decree, under the terms of the was 3040-05 for solution in Cyprins a solution in Cyprins a Station on Cyprins is business on Comparison (Equality Discovered Require). The contribution of the protection of the comparison of the compariso

See, eg, Mark Bell, Isabelle Chopin and Fiena Palmer (for Migration Petry Group), Developing Anti-Discrimination Law in Europe, 13 12 2007 (eventeer of the implementation in the EU-25 of the two Equality Directives, on the basis of information updated on 7.1 2007), see http://www.microclargus.com/discriments/9845.html filter consulted on 3.5 2008).

legislation is competent to investigate complaints of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation also in social insurance, healthcare, education, and access to, or provision of, goods and services, including housing.

The second group consists of eight Member States (BE, BG, DE, ES, AT, Ro, SI and SS, O, where the society of the protection from discrimination on grounds of sexual processing of the protection has been extended to all the fields covered by the Rosal Equility Directive Colonal Directive Society Societ

The bard group consists of the line remaining Member's States (22, IE, I.V., II, U, II-U, M., II, S. E., UK), in which the protection of discrimination on grounds of secual conertation has been partially extended beyond employment and occupation, in order to cover certain but not all fields to which the Road Equarity Directive applies in three of these States (UK, II and SE), the legislative transversity proling discrimination is currently undergoing a revision, however, which could lead to further extensions of the resolution of discrimination.

The following lable offers an overview of the most important pieces of legislation adopted by each EU Member State in order to implement the Employment Equality Directive (first column), explaining where these instruments limit their protection to the sphere of employment and occupation (second column, light blue), or where they go further (third column dark blue).

Table 1.1: Implementation of the Employment Equality Directive in FU Member States

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Belgium	Act of 10 May 2007 amed at combaling particular forms of discrimination (indertal level), and six legislative instruments (decrees or ordinances) adopted by the Regions and Communities.	To the extent the federal legislator is competent, the 2007 fideral and-decrimation act applies to the provision of goods, facilities and services, social security and social benefits, employment in both the private and public sector, membership of or motivement in an employers' organisation or trade unions, official documents or globolicy lexceds, and access to and participation in aconomic, social, cultural or political achieties accessible to the public cultural or political achieties accessible to the public.	
Bulgaria	The Закон за защита от дискриминация [Protection Against Discrimination Act (PADA)]) ⁵	The PADA is explicitly applicable to the exercise of any legal right, thus going beyond employment and occupation.	
Casch The Enrichprent Equally Deschie was Republic the reproduced through the Labour Code in Children's prace) and the Employment Act (Zildren's prace) and the Employment Act (Zildren's considerances) Special code in on grounds of sessal orientation, in the armed forces or in public service (Act on Professional Soldiers (Zildren e vejectich; of Ministers of the South (Copy (Zildren e salchdelm) promote of the South (Copy (Zildren e salchdelm) promote or the South (Copy (Zildren e salchdelm) promote in Soldiers (Sildren's Soldiers). Act or the South (Soldiers) soldiers (Soldiers) (Sildren's Soldiers) (Soldiers) (Soldiers		ntation beyond employment, Act (Zákon o ochraně	

Monitour beige 30.5.2007.

Виболо / Закон за защита от дискриминация (РАВА), (1.01.2004).

Zak. č. 221/1999 Sb., o vojacich z povolani (Act No. 221/1999 Cell., Act on Professional Soldiers), available of

switzese co. http://doi.org/10.1009/sci.gov/10

Service Religionships of Members of the Service Corps), available of http://portal.gov.cz/pspiperlal/_s.155/7017/number1=381%2F20038/number2=8.neme=8.sexl= (Czech orly) (opend on February 19, 2008)

Zak. č. 218/2002 Sb., Stužebni zakon (Act no. 218/2002 Coll., Act on Service of Public Servants), available of https://doi.org/10.1006/servants/services/

only) (opened on February 19, 2008)

2 Zik, & S34/1992 Coll, o ochrané spetřebítele (Act No. 634/1992 Coll, Consumer Protection Act (Sec. 8), available on

http://portal.gov.cz/wps/perlaV_s 155/701?number1=634%2F1992&number2=&name=&text= (Gzoch only) (opened at February 19, 2008).

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Denmark	Amendment to the Lov om forbud mod forskelsbehanding på arbejdsmarkedet m v JAct on the Prohibition of Differential Treatment in the Labour Market, etc.], adopted in March 2004 ¹² ,	The implementation of the Directive does not extend	
Germany	The Transposition Law of 14 August 2006 contains the General Law on Equal Treatment (Algmenies Cieurbbehandlungsgesetz – AGG]	The scope of the AGG, which prohibits discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, is equivalent to the of the Rearial Equiliby Directive, Raffords 2 of the AGG however, white discrimination on grounds on sexual orientation is prohibited in ovil faw transactions, certain owl law relationships for which affinities between the parties are considered paramount, are exempt from the prohibition.	
Estonia	The Employment Equility Directive is currently implemented in part by Essis Valueing indeprops seedons pt Erest Valueing indeprops seedons pt Erest Valueing indeprops seedons related in Valueing indeprops seedons related in Valueing indeprops seedons related in Contributed Act and the Decision of the Supreme Countail of the Republic of Estoria On the Implementation of the Employment Contribute ACT of the Employment Contribute ACT of the Expail Trailment Act will be adopted in 2006.	When the Equal Treatment Act will be adopted, it prohibit discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation not only in the area of employment but also in health care, social security, education, according to the care of the	
Greece	Law 3304/05 ¹² implements in Greece the Employment Equality Directive as well as the Racial Equality Directive		

Denmark / Act No. 253 of 7. April 2004 Act on the Prohibition of Differential Treatment in the Labour

Market, etc.
Estoria/Rigikantselei (30.04.2004) Rigi Teataja I, 37, 256.
Greece / Official Gezette (FEK) A 16, 27/01/05, p. 67-72

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)	
implemented by Lue 8/2/2003 of 400 documents of December 2003 on Medical fiscales, administrativary det orden social [Frest, Administrativary det orden social [Frest, Administrativary det orden social [Frest, measures.] Measures det orden social fiscal description of personne financial fiscal description of personne financial fiscal description of profitches or profitches or profitches profitches		discrimination on grounds committed by public servar public services (art 511), a exercise of their professor Law 55/2003 of 16 Decem del personal estatutario de (Framework Statute of Her	511 and 512 of the Penal Code prohibit habbon on grounds of sexual cereinfalton ed by public servants, inter alsa, in access to entries (set 511), and by other persone in the of their profession (set 512). Furthermore 200 in the penal penal penal penal penal penal setabilization de los servicios de salud work Stabute of Health Service Staffith	
France	The Employment Equally Directive has been implemented by exendented the the Labour Code (Article 1. 122-45). The antidiscrimination legislative interests in courserly undergoing a revision (ISII No. 514 fied at the National Assembly on 19 December 2007, currently examined by the French Parlament) in order to ensure complaince with the Equality Directives.	In the field of housing, Art. 158 of Lawn* 2002-73 of 17 January 2002 prohibits discrimination on grounds of sexual overfaltion.		
Ireland	The Equality Act 2004 – which amended the pre-existing Employment Equality Act 1998 and the Equal Status Act 2000 – purports to implement Employment Directive 2000/78/EC	The scope of protection from sexual orientation discrimination is broader than that required under the imployment Equality Directive in that access to goods, services and other opportunities are covered by the Equal Status Act 2000, as amended by the Fanatty Act 2004.		
Italy	The Employment Equality Directive has been implemented by Decreto legislativo [Legislative Decree] n 216 of 9 07 2003, in force since 28 08 2003*5	The scope of the protection from discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation is equivalent to that prescribed under the Employment Equality Directive		
Cyprus The 2004 Combating of Racial and Some Other Forms of Discrimination (Commissioner) Law? and the 2004 Equa Treatment in Employment and Occupation Law?		The equality body set up b and Some Other Forms of (Commissioner) Law has if complaints of discriminatio alia, sexual orientation not occupation, but also in soc education and access to g housing	Discrimination he power to investigate n on the ground of, inter only in employment and	

¹³ Spain/Ley 62/2003 (30 12 2003)

¹⁴ Spain/Ley 55/2003 (16.12.2003)

France / Loi nº 2001-1066 du 16 novembre 2001 relative à la lutte contre les discriminations, JORF n*267 du 17.11.2001, p. 18311, see http:// www.legifrance.gouv.fr.WAspad/UnTexteDeJor/?numio=MESX0004437L (last consulted on 4.5.2008)

¹⁵ Official Journal on 13 08 2003 Cyprus / The Comboting of Racial and Some Other Forms of Discrimination (Commissioner) Law No.

^{42(1)/ 2004 (19.03 2004)} Cyprus / The Equal Treatment in Employment and Occupation of 2004 No. 58 (1)/2004 (31.3.2004).

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Latvia	The Employment Equality Directive has been implemented by the Lahran Labour Law of 20011's a smended in 200402 and in 2006, the latter in order to explicitly ban discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation? I and to extend the prohibition of discrimination to the civil service ³²	Although discrimination or orientation is explicitly forb public) employment, sexual implicitly read also under it Law on Social Security att However, the Lativan legal state of flux for the momen	edden only in (private or all orientation can be the 'other conditions' in the er amendments of 2005 ²³ . I framework is currently in a
Lithuania	The Employment Equality Directive has been implemented by the 2003 Leutross Respublikos Lygių galimytaj Leitaymas [Law on Equal Treatment of the Republic of Liftuanies], in torce since 11 2005;" which provides from discrimination on all grands provides to describe methodic of the provides of equality methodic methodic of equality methodic methodic of equality methodic or in the primaria provides of equality methodic or include a directive of the provides of	The Law on Equal Treatment ensures a protection from discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation in the fields of access to goods and	
Luxem- bourg	The Employment Equality Directive was implemented by the Law of 28 November 2006 on equal treatment ²⁶	social welfare benefits, so- education, access to and p and services, including the prohibition of discriminatio orientation does not apply	to employment, but also to stal security, health care, provision of public goods are related to housing, the

¹⁹ Latvia/Darba Ilkums (20.06.2001), available at

Lavia/Darba Hums (20.06.2001), available at http://www.ltc.lw/mdex.php?skip=758/id=tkum8id=108iid=598I=LV (24.02.2008).

nap //www.cc.nimaex.pnpr/sup*/15asa=nitumaa=nitusia=15asa=1.v (24.02.2006).

20 Latvia£Rums Grazijumi Darba likumā (Law Amendments to the Labour Law) (22.04.2004), available at

http://www.saeima.lu/saeimaß/mek_reg fre (24,02 2008)

Latvial, ikums Grozijumi Darba Ikuma (Law Amendments to the Labour Law) (21 09 2006), available at http://www.sacima.lu/sacima8/mok_rog fro (24 02 2008).

LatvaValets Civildienesta litures [Cv/l Service Lavij (07.09.2000), available at http://www.liturni.lu/doc.php?id=109448mode=KDOC (24.02.2008).

LakisaLikums Grozijumi klumd Par sociálo drožibu "Law Amendments to the Law on Social Security (9112/2005), Art. 21, svalidzie of http://www.tbc.lufindex.phg?silop=240&bd=lklumi&id=10&bd=58.81-LV (24.02.2008)
 LithusmiaLikutvos Rospublikos Lygiu palmyčiu įstalymas. Official publication Valstybės žinios, 2003.

publication Valatybės Žinos, 2002 Nr. 64-2569. Available in Lithuanian at http://www3.lrs.blpls/inter3/dokpaieska showdoc_Pp_id=311264 (14-02-2006) Luxembourg.Coi du 28 novembre 2006 sur l'égalite de traitement (28.11-2006)

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Hungary	The equality directives have been implemented by the Act on Equal Treatment and the Promotion of Equal Opportunities (ETA), which came into force on 27 of 2004 77	state funding. The scope of the protection from discrimination on grounds of sexual crientation the almost equivalent to that of the Racial Equality Directive.	
Maita	The Employment Equality Directive was implemented by the Employment and Industrial Relations Act 2002,28 as amended by Legal Notice 461 of 200429 in order to explicitly include a prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation.	The prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexu orientation does not extend beyond work and employment	
Nether- lands	The 1994 Algemene Wild Cobine Behandling Sherred Equal Treatment Act (CETA), "a samended in 2004 by the EG- Implementation Act (CETA)," prohibits discrimination on grounds of sexual cinertation on grounds of sexual cinertation.		

Hungary/2003 in CXXV. terviery/[28 12 20(3)].
Chapter 452 of the laws of Malta.
http://docs.justice.gov.mb/cm/Legislabor/English/Subl.eg/452/95.pdf - virited on the 15th February 2003.

Staatsblad [Law gazetie] (1994), 230.
 Staatsblad [Law gazetie] (2004), 119.

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Asstrial Al federal level, Part 2 of the Grant-barh and properated. Essai Grant-barh and properated. Essai Grant-barh and properated. Essai properated with federal public levels Part 2 of the Bundes- Grant-barhand purgoesels [Federal Egual Teashment Act]. If the provinces are compressed to the Detectable the Interposition teashment of oal servation in provincial and communification of the Servation in communification of the provinces and communification of the provinces an		ccupation, but also access d services offered by the s, including social	
Poland	The Employment Equalsty Directive was implemented by amendments to the Act of 28 06 1974 – Kodeks pracy [Labour Code]. If by the Act of 20 06 2004 – Ustawa or promotig Jacoburdenian instrupach synku pracy [Phomotion of Employment and institutions of the Labour Market Act, IIII and by amendments to the Act of 17 11 1984 – Kodeks Postepowarsa Cywlinego [Civil Procedure Code]."	et of preparation by the Ministry of Labours ²⁰ that would prohibit discrimination on different grounds, included sexual onanidation, not only in work and employmental but also in social security and social protection, healthcare, and aducation, although the provise and and access to goods and services would only be 45 subject to a prohibition of discrimination on also prohibition of discrimination on the services would only be 45 subject to a prohibition of discrimination on the services would only be 45 subject to a prohibition of discrimination on the services would only be 45 subject to a prohibition of discrimination on the services would only the services of the services	
Portugal Labour Code (which came into effect in T		The prohibition of discrimit orientation applies only to	nation on grounds of sexual employment

Gleichbehandlungsgesetz [Equal Treatment Act], Austria/BGBI I 56/2004, fast amended by BGBI I 82/2005 (10.08.2015).

Austria/BGBI I 100/1993 as last amended by BGBI I 96/2007, (28.12.2007)

Polandt.abour Code Umfied text — Dziennik Ustaw (Journal of Laws) of 1998, No. 21, item 94 as amended, Journal of Laws of 2003, No. 213, item 2061.

³⁵ Journal of Laws of 2004, No. 99, item 1001, as amended.

Petendf Civil Procedure Code Utrified text - Dziennik Ustow (Journal of Lows) of 1954, No. 43, item 296, as amended, Journal of Laws of 2004, No. 172, item 1804.

Pelandiflustawa o rownym traktowaniu (project) (Draft Low on Equal Treatment) of 31.08.2007, available at http://www.mps.gov.pl/bip/mdex.php?rideat=1372 (04.02.2008)

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Romania	A number of legislative acts were adopted since 2000 in order to implement the Employment Equality Directive ⁽⁶⁾	The existing prohibition of employment and labour-re access to services, access since it applies in relation fundamental freedoms or Romanian legislation, in the and cultural field or in any life ⁹³	lated issues, but also s to health, education etc., to all human rights and rights recognised by e political, economic, social
Slovenia	The Employment Equality Directive was implemented by the Zalkon o delovnith rezmerjih (Employment Relationships Act), ³ in force since 1.1.2003, and by the implementation of the Principle of Equal Treatment Ack ⁴ .	Treatment Act prohibits discrimination against person in the exercise of his/her rights and du the in the exercise of his/her fundamental freedom	
Slovakia	Act No. 385/2004 Coll on equal treatment in certain sees and probetion against discussmostion. Activid-discrimination Activid-certain against advantage and activities and activities and activities are activities. Activities are activities and activities are activities and probeting amended.	The Act on Higher Educativers amended in 2007° in discrimination on grounds Act on Providers of Health prohibition of in addition, I Anti-discrimination act exit discrimination on grounds beyond employment to oit care, medical treatment, a services and education.	n order to prohibit of sexual orientation. The Care also includes such a he 2008 amendment to the ends the prohibition of of sexual orientation for sexual orientation for areas such as social

Reamantal Law 8/2002 concerning the adoption of the Covernment Ordinance 137/2000 regarding the prevention and the quantitude of all forms of decrimants (1911 Stort 2002), see a first Remaind Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the quantitude of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding and 277/2004 concerning 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the production of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the adoption of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the province of all forms of discorresistant (11 of 2007) test and the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the province of all forms of discorresistant (11 of 2007) test and the Covernment of Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the 2007/2005 for the amendment of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the 2007/2005 for the amendment of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention and the 2007/2005 for the amendment of the Covernment Ordinance 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the province 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the 2007/2004 for the Province 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the 2007/2004 for the province 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the 2007/2004 for the province 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the 2007/2004 for the province 177/2004 regarding the prevention of the 2007/2004 for the 2007/

(20.07.2005)

Article 3 of the Ordinance 137 and Art. 1.(2) of Romania/ Law 48/2002 concerning the adoption of the Government Ordinance 137/2000 regarding the prevention and the purestiment of all forms of

discrimination (31.01.2002).

Sovernia/Employment Relationships Act 42/02 and 103/07 as amended (03.05.2002).

Slovenia/Implementation of the Principle of Equal Treatment Act 93/07 (27.09.2007).

Sovaksal Amidiskemmaciny Zakon 395/2004 (20 05 2004).
This last amendment did not come into force yet. It was not published in the official journal of the celection of laws. The approved version is available at high way next skhoot IT NRSK Wide Webdiss/FrimNMSE With Y2025KE fixon 47.4 dos (25.02.2005).

Slovakia/zökon 131/2002 (21 02 2002)
 Slovakia/zökon 29/1984 (22 03 1984)

Slovakia/zákon 363/2007 (03 07 2007)
 Slovakia/zákon 578/2004 (21 10 2004)

Member State	Implementing legislation	limited to employment and occupation (light blue)	going beyond employment and occupation (dark blue)
Finland	The Employment Equally Directive was represented promytely by the Non- discrimination And typicative Management (177004) [see, in segment the public (177004) [see, in segment the public of the Norman Anderson (177004) [see in section And on Prevention of Discrimination in the Province of And Indicate, Euroblasy) con thin the Norman (177004) [see in section (177004) [see in section (177004) [see in the Norman (177004) [see in section (177004) [see in section (177004) [see in section (177004) [see	The Non-discrimental Act applies to employment the and education, and equipades sexual connections. The Provincial Act on Prevention of Discrimentation in the Provincial Act on Prevention of Part and sexual sexua	
Sweden	The Employment Equally Directive has been implemented by the adoption in 2003 of amendments to the Prohibition of Dassimishing Act, (log orm Brobus del discharges). But the Prohibition of Dassimishing Act, (log orm Brobus del discharges) and prohibition of the Country of Section 2007, and the Sec	diskriminering(varor, tjanster, bostader, samhälasen/ce) prothèlis discrimination, beyond employment, in the provision of goods, services or housing by public authorities (Sec. 9) in services provided by the social services including social insurance and related benefits systems (Sec 10), the healt and medical care services (Sec. 13) and subdem all and medical care services (Sec. 13) and subdem all to the services (Sec. 13) and subdem all the services (Sec. 13) and services (Sec. 13) and subdem all the services (Sec. 13) and service	
United Kingdom	2003 Sexual Orientation (SO) Regulations applicable in Great British (GB) ⁴⁸ and 2003 Northern Ireland (II) Regulations, ⁴⁹ complemented by the Equality Act (Sexual Orientation) Regulations 2007 ⁵² and the Equality Act (Sexual Orientation) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2008 as amended ⁴⁹	grounds of sexual orientation in the provision of goods, facilities, services, education, management	

UK/ Employment Equality (Sexual Orientation) Regulations 2003, Statutory Instrument 2003 No 1881 (01.12.2003), available at: http://www.opsi.gov.uk/su/s2003/20031661 htm (15.92.2008)

The hierarchy of grounds under the equality directives

The hierarchy of grounds seemingly established under the No Equality Directives adopted in 2000 has been contested store the adopted or these institutents.⁵⁰ In this content two differences between the Nor directives can be noted First, discommandon on grounds of troe and either coging is provibled in a wider marbor of telest share discommandon on the other grounds island in Andel 3 EC. Second, only the Road startment of the promotion of equal terminant of all possions without discrimination on the control for promotion of equal terminant of all possions without discrimination on the grounds of testad or this coins (AH 13 of the Road Equal) Principles (International Conference on the Conference on

The text that certain grounds of discrimination benefit from a better protection than others does not consisting or as a varieties of the international level of them interface indeed, the idea that certain grounds are more suspect than others, justifying a shotler degree of scarting of differences in reterring based on such chreaterists, is familiar instantional jurisprusence However, even though the lote of a "hierarchy of grounds" is not part of production for year prohibitation international jurisprusence between determine categories as to the degree of protection they are afforded one only to acceptable in they are resconcibly and operative high which requires that they provide in the production of the protection of the production of the protection of the production of th

⁴⁹ UK/ Employment Equality (Sexual Orientation) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2003, Statutory Rules of Northern Tecland 2003 No. 497 (02 12 2003), available at: http://dx.com/pii/sexualible/at

⁶⁰ UK/ Equalty Act (Sexual Orientation) Regulations 2007 Statutory Instrument 2007 No. 1263 (30.04.2007), available at http://www.opsi.opv.uk/sis/2007/uksi. 20071263 on 1 (15.02.2008)

The Equality Act (Sexual Orientation) (Amendment No 2) Regulations (Northern Indiand) 2007, Statutory Ruise of Northern Indiand 2006 No. 439 (91 66 2007), available at: http://www.cpsi.gov.uk/stx/2007/insr. 20070261_cn. 1 (15 02 2006). See below for discussion of the successful challenge to part of these Regulations in Ris Christian Indiation and others' application for

judicial review (2008) Industrial Relations Law Reports (IRLR) 36

Ster recently the call of ILGA to the President of the European Commission, Mr Barrosa. "Put on end to hearded of of and-determination protections in EUF, 194, 2008.

www.secialplotform.org/News.ssp?news=17201 (last consulted on 1 May 2008)

Eur. Cl. HR (GC), Burden v. the United Kingdom, Appl. No. 13378/05, judgment of 29 April 2008, para...

Edison plan introduction of the Virgolanda Principles, sexual operations a understood to refer to incohprenent's capacity for producted minimum, clienton and ensured articles, not of minimal and any elitibilities with, reducibation of an different giornice or the same gender or more has no en pender', with greater dending a variety control or the first in Virgolanda princer to depth of the large or large dending and greater dending a virgoland principle or the same principle of the principle or depth and any dending denders principle. The principle of the

international human inglist law— and therefore, if any inversity is to east, these grounds should be placed at is too, rather than at its bottom. The adoption in 2006 of the Yogyakarta Principles on the Application of International Human Rights Law in relation to Sausal Ordensidion and Gender Identify by a group of emment human right experts because lestimony to the consensus what exists in the requer? Within the European Union testif, sexual creatation is explicitly included among the list of prohibited grounds of advarrational netface 21(f) of the Charter of Fundermental Pagist¹⁸, which appropriate an indication of the importance attacked to this ground and of the deer refusal to lordered documentation on grounds of seasor identation in the III.

The case-level of the European Court of Human Rights flustrates this in the context of Antice 14 of the European Convention in Human Rights, which profits has my discrimentation in the enzyment of the rights and relevance of the Convention portrally for the same markers that interference with the sexual fixed a person will only be justified by very serious reasons. "— being related to the most infinite aspects of one's personality, such markers shaded in principle or concern the public spirite. —— the Court has considered that differential free/ment based on sexual crientation sides in registers to personality, such as positionally when personal propriations in the comparison of personal propriations from the public spirite in the considered that differential free/ment based on sexual crientation sides in requires a personal propriation in the propriation of the control of the propriation prohibiting discrimination in employment must product the discrimination in employment must product the discrimination in employment must product the discrimination in the control of these products and product of policial copinion, reliquo, reso, began see, us, age and health," and the EGC of a legistration conducting discrimination only with missified in control of these granulas. Notativy, while this list of "suspend granulas sposs of the wave began of the product of the discrimination for the discrimination for the first product of the discrimination of the discrimination for the discrimination for the discrimination for the discrimination for the discrimination of the d

See www.yogyakartaprinciples org (last visited 1.5 2008). While these Principles have no legal status, they are an indication of the consensus of the legal community.

^{*} OJ C 103, 13 12 2007, p. 1

Soo, e.g., Ear CI. HR, Smith and Grody v. the United Kingdom, judgment of 27 September 1939, Lustip-Prean and Beckell v. the United Kingdom (Appl. N° 3141795 and 32377956), judgment of 27 September 1999, and Ear CI. HR (3d sect.), A.D.T. v. the United Kingdom (Appl. N° 3576597), judgment of 31 July 2000, ECHR 2009-IX, para. 37

See Eur. CR. HR (1st section), L. and V. v. Juszinia (Appl. N° 38392/98 and 3892/998), judgment of 9. January 2003, para. 45, Eur. Cl. HR, S.L. v. Austina (Appl. N° 45330/99), judgment of 9. January 2003, para. 35, Eur. Cl. HR (1st sect.), Kamer v. Austina (Appl. N° 4016/98), judgment of 24 July 2003, para. 37.

In record Conducions relating to last Ny, the European Committee of Social Rights canned the previous with charged that and domination in responsible relating to the Act of last 100 MINI (8 to 40 MINI (8 to 40

exception, and the ECSR might reasonably be expected to explicitly add sexual neighbor to the list

What makes the current situation particularly difficult to defend is that there appears to he no justification other than political for treating discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation any differently from discrimination on grounds of race or ethnic origin. The principles at subsidiarity and proportionality regulating the exercise by the European Community of powers in the areas in which it has no exclusive competence (ii) and indeed the very wording of Article 13 EC which refers to 'the limits of the powers conferred by Ithe EC Trestyl upon the Community' could have evaluated the adoption. of legislative instruments prohibiting discrimination only in employment, arguably because this area bears the closest relationship with the objective of the establishment of the internal market. But since the Racial Foundity Directive, which has the same legal basis as the Employment Equality Directive, ones beyond these soberes, such a justification simply cannot be invoked. It should therefore come as no surprise that in certain Member States, the idea that all discrimination grounds should benefit an equivalent degree of protection has been influential in guiding the implementation of the equality directives. When legislation was adopted in Germany in order to implement the equality directives, the extension to sexual crientation (as well as to religion and beliet, age, or disability) of the scope of the prohibition of discrimination was considered to be required, in order to avoid the exclusion of fundamental areas of legal life from the protection against discrimination 61. In Belgium, the Constitutional Court (Court of Arbitration) took the view in its judgment no 157/2004 of 6 October 2004, that the list of protected grounds contained in anti-discrimination legislation should not arbitrarily exclude certain grounds which are found in international human rights instruments (political opinion and language). As we have seen, already in eight EU Member States. (and this number may soon be growing), the scope of the protection from discrimination. on arounds at sexual orientation has been extended to all fields covered by the Radial Equality Directive, precisely in order to avoid a hierarchy of grounds of prohibited decrimination

See official reasoning in BT-Drs 16/1780 from 6th June 2007 p. 2.

1.2. The establishment of equality bodies with a competence extending to discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation

As already mentioned, aport from their different scope of application indione materiae, the Rosal Squalify forceive and the Employment Equalify forceive and the Employment Equalify forceive alocal filer in the Property of the Employment Equalify forceive alocal filer in the copy of the basis ent the ord of the section indicated the type of equalify body for completent to address discovered and thembod State and examines it an equalify body is completent to address dearment on grounds of sealand ententation, it is is specialized on sexual creatment or completent to re-invariant control of grounds, and what the powers are. From this comprehence analysis we

Taker is a general convergence bounds the model of a single equality body, competent to be deal with all distintations prouved. This is the model already in piece in 17 Member States (E.E., BG, DE, E.F., RE, CY, UV, LT, LU, HU, N., AT, RO, SI, SK, and most recently, with the registroement of population bodies concerning root, see and disability by the engle Commission for Equality and Human Rights, the UK), in addition, while more their Member States do not have in flower that the control that of the concept of the control of

Currently only Sweden has a body specifically steed to deal with discrimination on grounds of sexual certification, ameny left-mile, more from the use Equality Omorbuspersons. But this exceptional statedom my not lest, since there are proposals, currently pending, or to merge of flow Currently pending, and to merge of flow Currently pending, and within the next year or two within the next year or two within a state of the most year or two within the next year or two within the year of two within the next year or two within the year of two within the year of two within the year of two years or the years of tw

Nine Member States do not have an equality body competent to address discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation in place (CZ, DK, EE, ES, IT, MT, PL, PT, FI). In five of these, an Ombudgerson institution might be competent to receive complaints about

E Law or 387 of 27/05/2008 on Equal Treatment.

descrimation on grounds of secular directions (CZ, EE, ES, PL, FR). While there are segrificant variations in both the powers of these matilitations said in the resources they have at their disposal, it is deer that the establishment of a contain type of their disposal, it is deer that the establishment of a contain type of secular disposal disposa

Ombudsinstitutions are not in principle an adequate alternative to the establishment of equality bodies competent to address discrimination based on sexual orientation. It should therefore come as no sumrise that equality hodies have been set up in a number of States that have ombudsinstitutions, often established in the late 1980s and 1990s tollowing the 'Scandinavian model'. This may greate problems of a different sort. however, since the functions of both institutions may partly overlap. This is particularly the case since Recommendation No. R/R5)13 on the Institution of the Ombudsman adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe recommends the Member States of the Council of Europe to 'consider empowering the Ombudsman. where this is not already the case, to give particular consideration, within his general competence to the human rights matters under his scrutiny and if not incompatible with national legislation, to initiate investigations and to give princips when guestions of human rights are involved. This results in a clearly identifiable tendency to assign ombudsinstitutions with broad mandates, covering the full range of human rights rather than only the right to good administration. This explains why in some cases, the 'equality body' has in fact been established in the form of an Ombudsperson, albeit with larger competences than those normally attributed to such an institution (LV_LT_SE). Another consequence, as can be seen in at least four Member States, which have set up an equality body competent to deal with discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, is that this body coexists with one or more ombudsinstitution which may receive complaints about discrimination in similar conditions recarding the activities of public bodies (FI HU, RO and SI). It would be interesting to examine these cases in detail, in order to see how exactly relationships between equality bodies and ombudsinstitutions have evolved. and highlight the best practices available in this regard.

Similar questions of coexistence arise due to the competences attributed to libbour inspectants. Final supervision production is supervision complicated to supervision complication is supervision complication in supervision complication new perform inquision on the basis of compliants necessed or on on the term of the control installer of the c

As the table below shows, eighteen Member States have put in place an equality body competent to address discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation (in Sweden, this is a specialised body). But this classification obfuscates significant differences between those States and the table fillustrates certain of the most striking variations.

The first important choice Member States have to make when establishing equality bodies beyond the minimal prescriptions of Article 13 at the Racial Equality Directive, in order to ensure that such a body will be competent to address sexual orientation. discrimination is between establishing an equality body with a general competence or instead a body specialised on the specific around of sexual orientation. The advantages of having bodies specialised on sexual orientation discrimination are obvious. Such bodies will build up more rapidly their expertise, and may also be perceived by the LGBT community as more relevant to them and also open to their concerns. In that respect lift should come as no surprise that in the only Member State that onted for a specialised body on sexual orientation discrimination - HomO in Sweden -, the number of complaints received from alleged victims of discrimination on grounds of sexual discrimination is significantly bigher than in any other Member State, with figures which are even more impressive if we consider them in proportion to the country's population of 9 millions. There is therefore no doubt that the establishment of a specialised body will attracts complaints from members of the community for whom the institution will be both more visible, and presumably more attentive to their concerns

On the other hand, there are advantages in the establishment of single squality bodies who a general comprehence oversing of grounds of descrimations, as well alterated in the debate leading up to the establishment of the Commission for Equalities and Human RSP(s) CERFF/2 received britan attitude, he next of a tragements of exhibitions are seen in sex of a tragement understanding of the requirements of exhibitions of an extra descrimation in any any of the trade by todaes established for other grounds, economics of scriece in the sex of the sex

The second important choice conforting States seeking to set up an equality body concerns the nature of its tasks. Equally bodies may be charged with (1) promoting equality legistron and good produce, including the preparation of reports or surveys and addressing recommendations to the authorities. (2) essetting widers, including the filing of dams in court, (3) offering mediation, i.e., seeking to service as to be totalisting the filing of dams in court, (3) offering mediation, i.e., seeking to service as

See on this Christopher McCrudden (2005), The Contribution of the EU Fundamental Rights Agency to Combating Discomination and Promoting Equality', in Prilip Alaton and Olivier De Schulter (eds), Monitoring Fundamental Rights in Europe The Contribution of the Fundamental Rights Agency, Hart Publ. Dischool on 313-157.

services by the adoption of (non-noting) opinions for the recordation of dailyses, or even by the adoption of thinding sendines or dense, subject to review by courts. These are tour distinct functions, the first two of which should, as a minimum, be excrased by the equality bodies set in junior Anti-fiel 3 of the Recal Equality bedies set to body in Eart behavior of the Sender Sender Sender Sender United to the Sender Sender

Certain equality bodies do manage to combine the assistance to victims with the exercise of mediation functions or quasi-adjudicatory functions through the adoption of opinions In Latvia for example, the Ties/bsarga birojs (Ombudsman's Office) may represent victims of discrimination before courts, yet it may also mediate between the alleged victim and the offender and deliver non-binding gainlians on cases of alleged discrimination submitted to it. The position of the Centre for Equal Opportunities and Opposition to Regism (CEOOR) in Belgium is similar, although the CEOOR has no authority to adopt quasi-judicial 'opinions' In Romania, the National Council on Combeting Discrimination (NCCD) may assist victims, but may also mediate and decide to impose administrative sanctions where it finds a discrimination to have occurred. under the supervision of administrative courts. As they combine functions which require on the one hand that they act as advocates (or at least, as counsellors) of the victims and on the other hand functions which require that they act impartially, these equality bodies must maintain a fine balance between supporting victims as best they can, whilst tuffling their roles as mediators or quasi-adjudicatory bodies with the impartiality and objectivity befiting of such duties.

A good example of a system that is in principle well equipped to deal with both differences is the Austrian Equal Treatment Commissions (ETCs) and ombuds institutions. First, two

^{*} Existing presents an outsiness cases where the Equality Authority has only promotional basis to portion, which are phonofined of composition of vicinition of a discrimation, whereas the Equality Tribunal has an equal-public lookes educated by an east complexity. Settler the function of availability continue, not the function of availability continued to the activities of a settler desired by the activities of the settler desired by the activities of a settler desired by the activities of the ac

institutions coexist in this system, the ETCs are essentially set up as independent and impartial bodies, consisting of members of ministries and social partners, and competent to adopt recommendations which, although not legally binding, will be perceived as quasi-judicial in nature and in general will allow the perfies to avoid the burden of bligation, the ombudspersons are entrusted with counselling tasks, and may represent the victims before the ETCs . Thus instead at one single body basing simultaneously the task both to assist the victim and appear imperial in the treatment of complaints filed with it, the two functions are kept clearly separate. Second, while there are separate ETCs and Ombudspersons for (a) equal freatment between men and women in the employment area (b) equal treatment irrespective of ethnic organ religion, belief age and sexual orientation in employment, and (c) equal treatment irrespective of ethnic ongin outside the sphere of employment, the risks of inconsistent approaches by these different bodies are mitigated by the possibility for members of the Senates of the FTC and the embudsinstitutions for Equal Treatment to apply for a Gulachten (general oninion) of the Equal Treatment Commission in matters of general interest regarding discrimination. While this procedure has been hitherto dormant, it nevertheless would appear to constitute an adequate compromise between the risks of overspecialisation and fragmentation, and the dangers of dilution of certain forms of discrimination within hodies with a general competence

Finally if should be noted that complaints statistics regarding discrimination on grounds of sexual discrimination with the equality bodies, collected by the FRALEX experts, do not offer an edequate basis for useful comparisons. Reasons for the paucity of statistical data can be sought either to the tact that it is still early for the equality bodies examined. to have received an adequate number of complaints; or to the fact that the powers of such bodies as regards discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation still remain little known to those most directly concerned namely the victims. In the area of sexual orientation discrimination perhaps more than in any other area (with the exception perhaps of certain invisible disabilities), it takes courage to present oneself to an authority in order to complain, since this in almost all cases means revealing one's sexual orientation, which the individual concerned may seek to hide. Therefore, fewer registered complaints clearly does not mean that there is less discrimination, rather it indicates that the victims are largely unaware of the recourses available to them or are unwilling to use such mechanisms, due to the personal cost involved in terms of revealing their sexual identity. One partial solution to this problem of underreporting would be to allow equality bodies either to act on their own initiative, or to act on the basis of anonymous complaints, without the identity of the victim being revealed to the offender. Another solution could be to ensure that individuals alleging that they are

Victims of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation can thus decide freely whether they want to file a court claim, or an accilication with the ETC or to make use of the counselling services of the OET.

victims of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation are heard, within the equality body, by trained LGBT staff, in order to build up trust.

Table 1.2.: Equality bodies for sexual orientation discrimination in the EU Member States

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Belgium	The Certe for Equal Opportunities and Opportunities and Opportunities David Opportunities David Opposition to Reside David Opposition to Reside David Opposition to Reside David Opposition of Resident Opposition of Resident Opposition of Resident Opposition Opposit	The CEOOR receives complaints from victims of descrimination, and may provide counseling, investigate situations of disleged descrimination, act as a go-between or even medial between the defenders and plentiffs of descrimination, or, with the consent of the victim victims of courts. In addition, the CEOOR is to publish reports and recommendators on descrimination or.	Over the period 2003 2007, the CEOOR has received 418 complaints for secual orientation decremantion, mostly relating to media (98) and goods and services (82)
Bulgaria	The Concentra as assurer or or gascenoreau, (CIII) Production or gascenoreau, (CIII) Production Assurant Decommendent Commission (1920), I cover and greatest products.	The PADO'S powers include receiving and messigning complaints by victors, as well as their garber and, on that a series of the particular and their garber and property of their property of the	No statetics available

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Czech Republic	There is to equally body or special Ombudemen agained Discrimination on the grounds of Sexual Onsentation, although the Office of the Ombudeman (Public Defender of Rightly of the Czech Republic, agental ombudeman, seatablished in January 2001, to deal with issues of maladiministration, and could conceivably be confronted with issues related to sexual orientation descrimination by the public administration.		To this date no complaint was filed related to sexual orientation discrimination
Denmark	At the time of wining there are a equally body in Demmet Mid deals with descrimation on the ground of sexual orientation in the ground of sexual orientation in the about market However, a till on a new Equality of However, a till on a new Equality of Lagorethe configurations of the control	The new Equality of Treatment Board with Ination Complaints about cases of differential treatment (in and custised the labour market) on the basis of princher, race, sinc cubur, religion, faith, political view; sexual crientation (in the labour market), age, deability or national, social or ethics corgin. The Equality of Treatment Board will be able to handle cases of differential treatment—I both in and custised the labour market on race, ethics yet and princher ethics and generated.	Not applicable
Germany	Article 25 para 1 of the General Law on Equal Treatment (Magnemenes Gleichbehandungsgesetz - AGG] establishes the Federal Anh- discrimination Office (Antidskriminierungsstelle) as an independent body in the Federal Ministry of Family, Senior Citizens, Women and Youth	The Federal Anii discrimination Office assets widms of discrimination, inher also on grounds of sexual orientation it can provide information on claims and possibilities of legal action, as well as seek an amicable settlement between those involved it also produces studies and reports.	Write a more complete database is still being built, in the period from 31.7.200 to 15.12.2007, there were 3,659 consultation incurres of which 5.15 per consultation of sexual identity.

⁴⁸ Law nr 387 of 27/05/2008 on Equal Treatment (Lov nr 387 om Ligebehandingnævnet)

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Estonia	Alt he lime of writing there is no equally body in Estoria indicated with discremination on the ground of section and contraction. The section is a section in the section is section in the section in t		Over the period 2002- 2007, the Office of the Chancellor has received only three petitions concerning discrimination based on sexual orientation (1 in 2006 and 2 in 2007)
Greece	Chap. 5 of Law 330405 provises that disped cases of discrimination by public bodies may be substituted to the Greek that public public public public public that the discrimination of the public	For discrimination committed by public bodies, the pive of Ombudowan has the power to Ombudowan has the power to week public bodies and the pive of discrimination and to undertake adoption of non-bridgh the adoption of non-bridgh adoption of non-bridgh adoption of the pive of the p	2006, while the total number of complaints submitted to the Ombudsman relating to discrimination had doubled (total 51), not a single case related to sexual orientation

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Spain	There exists no equally looky per se, however since he misson of the Defensor del Pubble (Dmbudsmar) and of the Defensor del Pubble (Dmbudsmar) and of the Defensors del Pubble Autendericca (Dmbudsmar of the Autendericca (Dmbudsmar of the Autendericca) formatistale is to protect the rights and therites of 1181 et of the Constitution (naturing Article 41 of the Con		No statistics available
France	The tigh Authority to Equatity and the Elimination of Elizamistan (14.025) was created by the in 2004 1486 of 30 completed to the complete to deal with all grounds of discrimination.	The HALLE may receive completing and islauch investigations, and on that besit propose medium houses about process medium and besits propose medium and besits propose medium and besits propose medium besits processed and processed and the second of the may also file said on the own makes, productely following "shitudion lasts" it is authored to perform under the equal opportunity law of 2 April 2006 makes a normalise an occumentations to authorities.	Althrough HANDE in principle does not principle does not release statistics concarring specifically for sexual observations, it would appear that in 2005, 36 complaints dearing with discrimination based on sexual orientation were received by the HANDE (27% of all complaints received) while in 2006, 51 such complaints were received by the such complaints were such such complaints were such su

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Ireland	The Equally Authority, an independent statisticy body was established on under statisticity bed in a statisticity bed in a statisticity bed in a statisticity bed in the Employment Equally Act 1988. It is powers were expended under the Equal Status. Act 2000 and the indexicating Lugan Act 2000. It addoes more excels a body of quae-juddical specialar thirtunals know collectivity as the Equality Tribunal, which deal with comparison of contractivity of the Property	The Equalty Authority has activities of a promotion nature geard intowards the fatilitiment of equality.	Over the period 1.1 2007-31 12 2007 34 complaints in total were made to the Equality Tribunal under the Employment Equality Act, and 26 under the Equal Status Act
Italy	A decree of 11.12.2003 set up the Ufficion Nazionale Antidiscriminación Razziali (URAR) (Office against Razziali Discrimination) within the Department for Rights and Equal Opportunities ⁵⁷ An extension of the competences of UNAR to discrimination on grounds other than race and ethnic origin is currently envirsaged.	UNAR currently provides legal assistance for orbit and administrative proceedings undertaken by withins of discrimination, through a specific Contact Center, and it has promotional and monitoring activities, including by research and surveys	No statistics available

See http://www.pariopportunita.gov.it/defaultidesktep.aspx?page=91 (24.02.2008)

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Cyprus	The Equality Commissioner was set up by the Combating of Racial and Some Other Forms of Discrimination (Commissioner) Law, covering all grounds of discrimination,	The Commissioner may receive complaints alleging discrimination and, following an investigation, adopt a report on the case, address recommendations or orders, or impose fines.	Only one complaint to date filed with the Commissioner concerned discrimination on grounds of sexual onentation
Latvia	The 2005 emendment's to the Law on the Lawn on the Lawn national wheren Right Officeds shed transformed the Valeta Chelkitesthu Impre (VLCB) Blocked Human Right of Clinica (MRKO) Into an expanity body for Office (MRKO) Into an expanity body for Office (MRKO) Into an expanity body for Office (MRKO) Into an expansion (Lawn of the Clinica) of the Clinical Chelkitestham of the basis of MRKO and took over the duty of the KNRO to such as a specialistic body of the microtementation specialistic body for the microtementation of the Clinical Chelkitestham of the MRKO to such as a special body of the microtementation specialistic body for the microtementation of the Valeta Chelkitestham of the Valeta Ch	The HIRO could, with the camen of the victim, file claims on the victim, file claims on the victim, see a size of the victim she half if the size had promotional activities. The Ombustemar's Office mineted both surfaces, soul in addition may seek to mediate between the victim and otherder (concitation proceedings) or deliver non-binding aprinors about the alleged discrimination.	Over the period 11 2000-31 12 2007, 48 complaints related to sexual orientation discremental market and the sexual orientation were sted with the NHRO or (affer 2007) the Ombudamen's Office.
Lithuania	The Office of the Equal Opportunities Ombudgerous are created in 2015 by the Leve on Equal Treatment, which expanded the mandated in the previous mistificial (the Ombudgerous Leve Leve compared to the mandate on the Compared popuration of descrimination in addition, the Valleyine dathor impossing State Labour Impectionally, which in principle could impose administrative sandations for violation of the air-discrimination provisions of the time air-discrimination provisions of the Employment Code (athough this in practice is quite intrequent)	The Equal Opportunities of Combudges room may act on the basis of complaints, including anonymous complaints, or excitions (fires) or injunctions which are of a brinding nature. It may also provide information to investigating bodies. It provides automation to investigating bodies. It provides automation to the Law on Equal Treatment, by reports, recommendations, or surveys.	During the period 2005-2007, the Office of the Equal Opportunities Ombudsperson received 4 complaints for sexual orientation discrimination, and launched one investigation ex officio.

Latviac ikums Grozijum Litumā par Valsts Cilvēkhesītu broju ji aw Amendmants to the Law on the National Human Rights Office) (15.12.2005), availabile at hillp //www.saema.lubaeims9/mek_reg fre (24.02.2005)

valuation full ranges Ontice (1st 2005), available at http://www.sacima.lw/sacima8/mek_reg fre (25.02.2008).

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Luxem- bourg	The Law of 28 November 2006 on equal tradment establishes a Cente for Equal Tradment (ECI), which is operational since late 2007. The Labour and Mine Inspection Authority (Inspection du Travail et des Mines) supervisor compliance with the Labour Gode, including its Tille V (Equal Treatment in Employment and Occupation).	The CET is empowered to publish reports, opinions, recommendations, and carry out studies regardad descrimination issues, and assist victims of discrimination, although it cannot file legal proceedings.	No statistics available
Hungary	Article 10 of the ETM establishes the Eigeneil Bekander Heidsig (EBM). Equal Treatment Authority funder the remet of the Merella of Social and Labor grounds of descrimation. It is assisted by the Equal Treatment Advancy Board, a group of redeemdent excepts and addison, the Chaudhamn bot Cold Rights addison, the Chaudhamn bot Cold Rights addison, the Chaudhamn bot Cold Rights addison, the Chaudhamn bot Cold Rights descrimation is committed by Sale backers Finally, Intern Reh Act on Jacom Supervisors "markedgy felling/relselent Backer (magachad) extrains provisions."	The Eiths a wested with he power to assist and educe workins, to week park completing against allegad and the power to assist a power to a powe	Over the period 1,12007, 6 complaints (2 each 1,2007, 6 complaints (2 each year) related to sexual onentation decrimination were filed with the EBH

²⁹ Hungary/1996 by LXXV stryony/[18.10.1996]. Hereinafter referred in the body text as LSA

A related, 3, Hungany/1938 en LCXVI, Intervery (18 of 1939)
**In terms of Richts of Hangagnak (19 mile) of the ETA, the Authority has fee mandate to conduct independent revealpations soft need feels and also based on individual complaints. [..] This is a quase judical function, so in this regard the entire presented by the Authority pase begoing simple assistance in asserting claims. "EU Release of Independent Legal Experts (2007) Report on Research to Combat Destination on Destruction 2007 and 2007/REC - Country Report(Voluda 2009-Hunzary - 200

State Of Affairs Up To B January 2007, p. 76, available at http://ec.auropa.au/smpleyment.social/fundamental_nights/pd/signet/furce07, on.pdf (10 92 2008). In practice the importance of this bask, combined with the paucity of resources, results in a situation where the EBH comment adequately perform its other tasks, particularly the counselling of victims.

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Maita	The National Commission for the Premotion of Equality (NOPE), set up in 2004, monitors the implementation of the Cap 455 Act to Promote Equality for Men and Vitomen and of LN 85 of 2007 Equal Treatment of Persons Order, it is not competent as regards sexual orientation discrimination.	Not applicable	No statistics available
Nether- lands	The Equal Treatment Commission (ETC) is established as some judicial independent body	The opinions of the ETC, following (1) complaints from widins, (2) requests for guidance from courts or persons or organisations, or (3) ex official inquiries, are non-binding but nevertheless authoritative. If the ETC finds decrimination to have occurred, the agginered victim may go before a court in ask for this opinion to be "enforced" in order to obtain damages.	Over the period 2000- 2007, the ETC has received 45 complaints for sexual oventation mostly relating to goods and services (28) and employment (17) in 19 of these cases, it found that decrimination had occurred
Austria	At foots I level are the Gischehand augments and (GEK) Eraul Treatment Comersion (ETC) — consisting of three Semilers? — and the Gischehandungsarraulboth (GAW) (Dimotol for Equil Hammer (CET) — the Commisting of three Hammer (CET) — the Commisting of three Hammer (CET) — the Commisting of the Hammer (CET) — the Commission as open distribution of the Commission as open distribution of the Commission as open distribution of the Commission and Commission as open distribution of the Commission of	sensib in the ETC may receive complaints and biologists sparted in the state of such parts about proper such parts about proper such parts about proper such parts about proper parts than effective sanctions ²⁷ , while the Annalita To Gleitzbehandum in der Arteistweit (GAW II) (Ombud to Equal Trackland in der Arteistweit (GAW III) (Ombud Service blooming, religion or beleft, age or sexual orientation (OCET III) may represent wichins.	To date, 2 complaints related to sexual orientation discrimination have been filed with the competence ETC

⁷³ Senote I is responsible for equal breatment between men and women in the employment area, Senote II is responsible for equal breatment irrespective of others origin, religion, belief, age and sexual ententation in amployment, Senote III is responsible for equal breatment irrespective of others corgin outside employment.

OET is responsible for equal treatment between men and women in the employment area, OET it is responsible for equal treatment irrespondive of ethnic origin, religion, belief, age and sexual orendation in employment, OET III is responsible for equal treatment irresponds or of ethnic origin outside employment. Bundesponder there due described in the contraction and the distributional unabsorbance und the distributional unabsorbance and the distributional unabsorbance that distribution are supported to the distribution of the distribution and the distribution are described and unabsorbance that distribution are described as a support of the distribution of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and the distribution are described as a support of the distribution and distribution are described as a support of the distribution and distribution are described as a

³⁷ Bundesgesett über die Gleichehendlungsberneissen und Gleichehendlungsammaltschaft auf der die Jediche der Gleichehendlungsstendissen und Gleichehendlungsstendissen und der Gleichehendlungsstendissen der der der Engual Treatment Commission und die Ombud für Equal Treatment (Austria-GGBI 1108/1979) as amended bis RGBI IBEZ/DIG Laut amended bis PGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 in GROBER 1108/1979 as amended bis RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 as amended bis RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 as amended bis RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 as amended bis RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ/DIG 1108/1979 and RGBI IBEZ

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Poland	Since the removal in 2005 of the Office of the Peripotentiary for the Equal Status of Men and Women, which since 2000 had been developing promotorial activities in the anti-discrimination field, there is no independent equality body as such in Polland However, the Ombudsman, elected for the years by Perlisiment, may undefalse central interventions before the count's with respect to discrimination cases.	Not applicable	In 2000-2007 the Ombudsman received 26 complaints concerning discrimination of LGBT people, 10 of which were considered to werent further investigation
Portugal	While the Statute Law [Decreto-Lein n* 164/207 (30 15 2007)) ¹⁰ expanding the competences of the Commission for Clitzership and Gender Equality (CCGF) to citzenship; beyond its original focus on gender equality, without explicitly referring to sexual orientation, the CCGE would seem to envisige to include sexual orientation discrimination within its activities.	The CCGE may assist victims of discrimination, but not represent them in court or bring legal proceedings on their own instatute. The CCGE may issue opinions and recommendations.	No statistics available
Romania	The National Council on Combaining Discrimination (NCCI) was established to 2010 "It's independence was strengthment of 2006, when the Security of the Council of the Parlament For victims of discrimination by acts of pu	In addition to promotional coloribles, the powers of the NCCD include mediating between the parties, providing support for the voltams of discrementation, investigating compliers or reflex or the compliers or reflex or the compliers and subgring extremistrative annotation (within may be appealed before the courts), as well as making recommendations about harmonisation or flexil provisions with the equality principle.	Since 2001, the NCCD has received 34 complaints of discrimination on grounds of soural onentation, has started one case ex- officio, following media reporting and has issued decisions in 31 of them. Of this lotal, the NCCD bis discrimination to have occurred in six.

National Section 2017 Available at http://www.dre.ptlp.cffsdp/2007/05/06500/29422946 POF (15 02 2008)
Romarial Government Decision 1194 from 2001 establishing the National Council on Combating Descrimination (12.12 2001)

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Slovenia	The Zakon deleventh exzmeght Employment Relationships Actifi establishes the Seet Value zu establishes the Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet complaints may be lifed with the Advocable) in addition, since the Complaints establishes seet Seet Seet Seet and beginning the Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet and beginning the Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet Seet	White his Council of the Government for the Principle of Covernment for the Internation of the Principle of Equal Treatment and the spreamforms duthes, the Equality Advanced may act on the basis of complaints leading to optimism and or precommendations addressed to the author of the discrimination, and may also adopt advisory opinions	In total, 4 complaints were filed with the were filed with the Equality Advocate since 2000 based on sexual orientation discrimination (data for 2007 not available). None of these led to a finding of discrimination
Slovakia	The Anti-decemenation Act ²⁴ provides that the national human right institution for Slovalia, the Slovenske indochristodisk or putuals pravide (SINLE) Slovenske nationals redictation pre utuals pravide (SINLE) Slovenske National Centre for Human Rights (SINCHIN), shall assume the powers of an equality body, for all discrimination grounds	SNCHR provides legal assistance to victims of descrimination, which may include representation in legal proceedings, and preparation of expert opinions on compliance with the principle of equal treatment. It may prepare reports and recommendations on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment.	The only data available, which cove the years 2004 and 2005, do not mention any complaint for sexual orientation discrimination

Slovenia/Employment Relationships Act 42/02 and 103/07 as amended (63 05 2002)
 Slovanias/Zaton 355/2004 (20 05 2004)

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
Finland	There is no equally body in Friend declary with discrimention on the ground of sexual orientation. The Combustions for Microtillace discrimination with discrimination of the ground of sexual orientation. The Ground of the Ground of the ground of the grounds of	Not applicable	No state box aveilable
Sweden	The Office of the Chrisdathana against Discrimitation of prounds of Sexual Oseralabion (Sexidah acronys: HornO) (1 is now proposed to marge into one single misflution)	In addition to the premotional activities, heard gives advice and support to vicinity, and any accomments upon proposals for new legislation, and may file count actions in cases of discremenation on the grounds of sexual obsentiation.	in 2007, HomO received 52 complaints, and made 11 inequales on its complaints, and made 11 inequales on its complaints, while the figures were 45 and 11 inequales on its 2005, and 39 and 8 for 2004. However, the total number of sexual onentation cases examined, including requests for guidance etc., is much higher 907 in 2006 and 856 for 2005.

Member State	Equality body for sexual orientation discrimination	Competences	Statistics
United Kingdom	In Great Dirian the Commission for Equality and Human Right (CERH) seek established under the Equatity Act 2006 to replace specialised bodies concerning race, see and disability discrimination with one generic cepability body coverning all heads of unleved, discrimination. In Northern leduct recommission for Northern leduct recommission for those of the CERH fail within the remail of the Equatity Commission for Northern televisid (ECRH)	In addition to premotional activities, the CEHR has powers to assert an individual who is, or may become, a party to legal proceedings. If it may also conduct inquiries where descrimination may be occurring? and issue an 'unlawful act notice to an organisation where it considers an act of discrimination has occurred and can apply to a court or tribunal to enforce that notice.	The CEHR's mandate to address issues relating to sexual onentation discrimination only began in October 2007, and so far only two applications for legal assistance in cases of discrimination on the grounds of sexual constitution have been made to the Commission.

1.3. The prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and the status of same-sex couples

1.3.1. The general framework

In three Member States (the Netherlands since 2001 ⁵⁸ Belgium since 2003)⁵⁸ and Spain since 2005⁵⁹), same-sex couples mey many. A number of other Member States have established institutions distinct from minings, but slowing some-sex partners to publicly manifest their commitment to one another and to actieve the same degree of material socurity, so if there were spouses. The legal recognition of same-sex partnerships is

Under the Equality Act, s. 28(4) the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland has similar powers.

Under the Equality Act, s. 28(4) the Equality Commission for Northern Ireland has similar power.
See UK/ Equality Act 2006 c. 3 (16.02.2006), s.16 and s.20, available at: http://www.cosi.agus.uk/sacts/sacts/2006/accas.2006/003 in 1 htm (15.02.2008).

UK/ Equality Act 2006 c. 3 (16.02.2006), s21, available at http://www.cosi.gov.uk/acts/acts/2006/s/coga_20060003_en_1 htm (15.02.2008)

http://www.opsi.gov.uklacts/sets2006/ideggg_20060003_cm_1 htm (15.02.2008)
Notherlands / Wet opensfolling huwelijk [Act on the Opening Up of Marriage] of 21.12.2000 Steatsblad (Law gezettle) 2001/9

Belgum Low of 13 2003 extending mamage to persons of the same-sex (Lci cuarent le monage a des personnes de même sexe et modifiant certaines dispositions du Code oxiti), Moniteur belge, de 2 aous.

^{28 2 2003.}Spain / Ley 13/2005 (1.7 2003) (Low 13/2005 of 1 July, amending the Cédigo Civil (Civil Code) as regards the north to many).

examined in other registrie. In this section we shall examine whether the prohibition of sexual crientation discrimination entails a prohibition of differential treatment between manned couples and non-married couples, in those Member States where semi-secumentage is not recognised, and it so, whether the advantages recognised to manned couples should be endended to de flack durable relationships between two portiers of the same-sec, or only to the same-sex couples which are officially registered, at least where such readsteep orderestation possible.

The Employment Equality Directive does not clearly spoolly whether, in States where same-see minarys is not allowed, differential resoration based on whether a person is marmed or not may be leterated, or whether such differential treatment should be considered as a form of indeed discrimention or grounds of securior directive and 22 of the Priemthe does meritain that this instrument is without projuccio to noticnal lasses on martial states and the benefits objected the time of the view, while it is deem that it is compatible with the Directive to detrive manage exclusively as a unif unno between amount and awoman, ir remarks an open question whether, in countries were homosecusia are excluded from the institution of manage, it is compatible with the Directive than they are found allowed to be instituted to the projection whether in countries where homosecusia are excluded from the institution of manage, it is compatible with the Directive that they are durind causes to the hostiled with the product heart they were durind a consideration of the projective and the second of the projective and the pr

1.3.2. The interpretation of the Employment Equality Directive by the European Court of Justice

The case-law of the European Court of Lastele has evolved towerds assemiliating to more of our one puts of the European Court of Lastele has evolved towerds assemiliating to conhabitation) good per the service of the European Court of European Co

See, for a workdwide review of these developments, R. Wietlemale and M. Andersons (eds.), Logal recognition of some-see partnerships. A Rolley of Nikonical, Curpose and Herbandsond Law, Heat Publ., Ocherd. – Pertland, Oregan, 2001, and K. Washilg. (count.), for health of thindes notionals demographiques. [Print] (2009), Merc or less houghest. Levels of legal excess-quinces of inmittings, chalabitation and registered partnership for different sea and some-sex partners. A comparative study of nine European countries, switable or miles www.lags.

europe erg/Europeinstelse boardsreseuroseimere, et less, logether, 2005 (last consulted en 1,5 2008)

EGJ, Case C-24996, Lisa Jecqueline Grant v. South-West Trains Ltd., judgment of 17,2 1998, (1998)

EGR 1438

() who has entered into a registered partnership is not, according to the case-law of the Court of Justice, in a situation comparation is that of a married ordinal, the general principle of equal treatment does not require that the first be treated in the same way as the second "This protrion was tellowed by the European Court of Justice in the judgment of 31 May 2001 where, extending leveling the question of sexual certaintic advantmentaria, it considered that the ensuring situation in the Married States of the decoration of the product of the second state of the second of the proposite due reflects a great diversity of lies and the absenced of any general secondarial control of the product of the proposite due reflects a great diversity of lies and the assemblation of names and other forms of stations vince."

The judgment delivered by the Court on 1 April 2008 in the case of Tadao Maruko v. Versorgungsanstalt der deutschen Bühnen^(c) overrules this earlier case-law. Here, the Court takes the view that Articles 1 and 2 of Directive 2000/78 predude legislation funder. which after the death of his life partner the surviving partner does not receive a survivor's benefit equivalent to that granted to a surviving spouse, even though, under national law, life partnership places persons at the same-sex in a situation comparable to that of spouses so far as concerns that survivor's benefit (para, 73). In the main proceedings. Mr. Maruko for years had lived with his partner in registered partnership. After his partner had died the VddB, the pension scheme for German theatres, refused to pay him a survivors pension, as such pension are provided only for married partners. Mr. Maruko sued the VddB and the Bavarian Administrative Court Munich referred the case to the European Court of Justice for interpretation of the Employment Equality Directive Before the Court, Mr Maruko and the Commission had maintained that 'refusal. to grant the survivor's benefit at issue in the main proceedings to surviving life partners constitutes indirect discrimination within the meaning of Directive 2000/78, since two persons of the same-sex cannot marry in Germany and, consequently, cannot qualify for that benefit entitlement to which is reserved to surviving spouses. In their opinion. spouses and life partners are in a comparable legal situation which justities the granting of that benefit to surviving life partners' (para. 63, emphasis added). The European Court of Justice substantially agrees, although it treats this as a case of direct rather and indirect discrimination

The judgment of the Court in Maruko states, in essence, that where a Member State has created a form of union comparable to marriage, and open to same-sex partners, they may not create an arbitrary difference in treatment between marriage, which is not open to same-sex couples, and the form of union open to them, as regards advantages failling

ECJ, Joined Cases C-122/99 P and C-125/99, D and Kingdom of Sweden v. Council of the EU [2001] ECR 143/19, para. 89 of the pointing of AG Mischo

Bid , para 50 of the judgment

⁵⁰ Case C-267/05.

under the material scope of application of the Employment Equality Directive.²² On the cone hand, this color and munt to stalling that the Member States must create for the benefit of same-sex outgles an institution equivalent to mamage, allowing them to benefit the same advantages as those recognised to marriad coulder with mity form a statelle and permanent relationship. On the other hand, twoever, the Court desay rejects the loss that Recotal 2 or the Employment Equality Directive would uptility any difference of materials between marrage and other forms of unon. On the centrary, the Court control to the control by the Member States of their competition to regulate matters on the Court control to the control by the Member States of their competition to regulate matters to the state of the confidence to regulate matters with the country of the Court control to the control of the Court control

The paradox of the position of the Court, as expressed in the case of Tadao Maruko, is that while States which have created a form of upion open to same-sex couples are prohibited from deriving to those having entered such unions the benefits recognised to married couples, it would be acceptable under the Directive not to create any such institution alternative to marriage, thus making it impossible for same-sex partners to manifest publicly the existence between them of dose and permanent links. An interpretation of the Employment Equality Directive in conformity with international human rights law, however, would require that, in States where they cannot marry, same-sex couples be allowed to benefit the same material protection as that recognised to married couples, whether by the conclusion of a civil union, registered partnership, or other institution equivalent to marriage, or by the simple extension, to same-sex partners. living in a de facto stable relationship, of the advantages recognised to married couples. This solution respects fully the exclusive competence of the Member States in the definition of civil status, while at the same time ensuring equality of treatment between LGB persons⁵² and heterosexual persons. It is this solution which best complies with the requirements of international human rights law, as explained in the following section.

It must be noted however that the positioner of documentation under the Employment Equity Direction in reality a specific americation of a boosted procession explosity, which is not limited to be material sease of apportunition of the directive. According to the Court **. Decisive 2000/17 does not including under the position of the court of the court

Mangold v. Helm, judgment of 22 November 2006, at para 74).

As will be noted below, the situation of transsexuals is notably different, since they have a right to marry with a person of the sex opposite to their accurred cender.

1.3.3. The requirements of international human rights law

Under international human rights law, differences in treatment between heterosexual couples (whether married or forming a 'de facto marifal union') and same-sex couples are considered a direct discrimination on arounds of sexual orientation 93 This is also the nosting adopted by individual members of the European Court of Justice^(s). In addition international human rights law seems to have recently moved towards considering the exclusion of same-sex couples, which cannot marry, from certain advantages reserved. to married countes in order to protect 'marriage' or a traditional police of the family objectives which are recognised as legitimate in principle⁸⁵ - as discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, Indeed, where differences in treatment between married couples and unmarried couples have been recognised as legitimate, this has been justified by the reasoning that opposite-sex couples have made a deliberate choice not to marry 6 Such reasoning of course does not apply to same-sex couples which under the applicable national legislation, are prohibited from marrying. Therefore, a contrario, it might be argued that advantages recognised to married couples should be extended to unmarried same-sex couples either when these couples form a registered partnership. or when in the absence of such an institution, the de facto relationship presents a sufficient decree of permanency, any refusal to thus extend the advantages benefiting married couples to same-sex couples should be treated as discriminatory

This view is gaining support within human rights bodies. In the case of Josén v. New Zeabard, 19 two members of the Human Rights Committee, Messrs Lellah and Scherini, underlined in their concurring opinion that differential treatment between married couples and same-sex couples not allowed under the lawl to marry. 1 may very well, depending

See, under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Human Rights Committee, X v Celombia, Communication of 1361/2005, U.N. Dec. CCPR/CB9/0/1361/2005, final views of 30 March 2007, under the European Convention on Human Rights, see Eur. Ct. HR, Kamer v. Austha (Appl. no 4001/48/8) authorized of 24 May 2003.

See, in particular, the opinion of AG Elmer of 30 September 1997 in Case C-249/56, Lisa Jacqueline Grant v. Scuth-West Trains Ltd. [1998] ECR 1-636, at para. 35

In the case-low of the European Court of Human Rights, see Eur Ct. HR, Masurek v. France (Appl. N° 3440697), judgment of 1 February 2000, at pares 50-51 (although the Court corollades that dissemination has courted on grounds of brith), or Eur Ct. HR, Kamer v. Austin, judgment of 24 July 2003, para. 40 (although the Court concludes with a finding of discrimination on grounds of sexual parts of their court.

In the case low of the Haman Rights Committee under the International Convent on Out and Fellacia Rights, see Chammy, he Inheldment, Commissation In 1807/1914 (1964). The Rights and Chammy he Inheldment, Commissation In 1807/1919, Inc. See Spir to 40 (494/2014) at 151 (1987). Springer's the Inheldment, commissation in 78/2019 (Notice Oct (2004/2019/1919) (1991/2019). The Commissation In 1971/2019 (Notice Oct (2004/2019/1919) (1991/2019). And Deleview in the Inheldment, commissation in 78/2019 (Notice Oct (2004/2019)) (1991/2019). And Deleview in the Inheldment Commissation Information Conference of the Inheldment Commissation Inheldment Commis

Communication n* 902/1999, decision of 30 July 2002 (UN doc. CCPR/C/75/D/902/1999).

The same reasoning seems to be applicable under the European Convention on Human. Rights. In Sheckell, a woman which had cohabited with a man for 17 years until his death unsuccessfully complained that she was denied the widow's benefits she would have a night to had the couple been married. The European Court of Human Rights considered the application manifestly ill-founded in 2000 98, and the validity of this view was recently reaffirmed 99 The European Court of Human Rights found in Shackell that the situations of married and unmarried heterosexual cohabiting couples were not analogous for the purposes of survivors' benefits, since 'marriage remains an institution which is widely accepted as conferring a particular status on those who enter if. On at least one occasion, the privileged status of marriage has been invoked by the Court to justify a difference in treatment between an unmarried same-sex couple and a married couple 100 It is however noteworthy that, in Shackell, the couple had the choice whether or not to marry. In the 2008 case of Burden, the Court expressly notes that there can be no analogy between married and Civil Partnership Act couples, on one hand, and heterosexual or homosexual countes who choose to live together but not to become husband and wife or civil partners' (para 65, emphasis added). In that case, the applicants were two sisters sharing a common household, who complained that when the first of them would die, the survivor would be required to pay inheritance tax on the dead sister's share of the tamily home, whereas the survivor of a married couple or a homosexual relationship registered under the Civil Partnership Act 2004, would be exempt from paying inheritance tax in these circumstances. The applicants argued that the very reason that they were not subject by law to the same corpus of legal rights and obligations as other couples was 'that they were prevented, on grounds of consanguinity.

^{*} Eur Ct HR (1st sect), Shockell v the United Kingdom (dec), Appl. no. 45851/59, 27 April 2000

Eur. Cl. HR (GC), Burden v. the United Kingdom, Appl. No. 13378/05, judgment of 29 April 2008, para

SEIR CILHR (4th sect.), Mata Estevez v. Spain (Appl. No. 5650100), doc. (inadmissibility) of 10 May 2001, Rep. 2001-IV. In this case, a same-sex couple was unable to benefit from the advantages (surviving spouse benefits) they would be recognised had they been married, which they could not under Sourcella low the time.

tron entering into a svil partnership (para SS). But the Court rejects this augment on the grounds that the restancing between estimating is qualitatively of enterior into use the restancing between enterior decaying and interior special court of the between manned couples and homosexual ovil pariners' (para SC). Therefore, this that between manned couples and homosexual ovil pariners' (para SC). Therefore, this should not be tested on a par with manned couples, were mannings is unavailable to a should not be tested on a par with manned couples, were mannings in unavailable to the standard of the standard couples, where mannings is unavailable to the standard couples, where mannings is unavailable to the standard couples. The standard couples is supported to the country of the standard couples are supported to the country of the standard couples are supported to the country of t

It follows from the above that any measures denying to some-sex couples benefits which not are available to opposite-sex memoral couples, where memorals in not open to same couples, should be freated presumptively as a form of indirect discrimination on grounds of sound cerestation individuals within a homosecul or loneration are particular disabdemitigated by such measures, since they have not made the choice not to many, but are steam as lead are compared to the couples.

The Equality body established in Cyprus went even further than this on a complaint concerning Regulation 12 of the Educational Officers (Placements Transfers and Movements) regulations of 1987 to 1994. This regulation defines the family status of the employee (i.e. whether he/she is married and has dependent children) as one of the criteria in determining whether such employee will be transferred to a teaching post away from his/her base. The Equality body found that differential treatment of unmarried employees vis-à-vis married ones amounts to indirect discrimination against persons who remain single out of personal conviction, or who choose to cohabit with their partners outside marriage or who do not marry due to their sexual orientation. It concluded that this amounted to discrimination on the ground of belief and/or sexual orientation and recommended the revision of this regulation 101 In this particular case the Equality hody established that discrimination on grounds of civil status occurred regardless of whether those disadvantaged would have had the possibility to marry. This reasoning is not without foundation in international human rights law, since the right not to marry - which is is well established as a human right - could be seen to imply that the exercise of such a choice should not be penalised by the imposition of disadvantages. Therefore, while this would seem to go beyond the terms of the Employment Equality Directive, particularly considering Recital 22 of its Preamble, it cannot be excluded that, in the future, regulations reserving certain benefits only to those who are married should be more carefully scrutinised, even in situations where those disadvantaged by such regulations had made a deliberate choice not to marry.

The following conclusions can be reached by combining the recent case-law of the European Court of Justice with the requirements of international human rights (and

¹⁰¹ Report of the equality body No. A K I 11/2004.

specifically, with the equality clauses of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and of the European Convention on Human Rights). The ECJ clearly rejects the idea that Regital 22 of the Employment Equalify Directive would justify any difference of treatment between marriage and other forms of union, when regulating matters relating to civil status and the benefits flowing therefrom, the Member States on the contrary must comply with the provisions relating to the principle of non-discrimination under EC law. States which have created institutions, such as registered partnerships equivalent to marriage, are thus not allowed to discriminate between those partnerships and marriage. But this does not mean that Member States are obliged to create such institutions for the benefit of same sex counters so as to allow them to benefit the same advantages as those recognised to married couples, when they form a stable and permanent relationship. However, it is at this point that international human rights law complements FU law by requiring that same-sex couples either have access to an institution such as a registered partnership that would provide them with the same advantages that they would have if they had access to marriage, or, failing such official recognition, that their de facto durable relationships extends such advantages to them. This follows from the tact that where differences in treatment between married couples and unmarried couples have been recognised as legitimate, this has been justified by the reasoning that opposite-sex couples have made a deliberate choice not to marry - a reasoning which does not apply to same-sex couples which, under the applicable national legislation, are prohibited from marrying. Advantages recognised to married couples should thus be extended to unmarried same-sex couples either when these couples form a registered partnership or when in the absence of such an institution, the de facto relationship presents a sufficient degree of nermanency, any refusal to thus extend the advantages. benefiting married couples to same-sex couples should be treated as discriminatory.

Freedom of movement

2.1. The general framework

Directive 2004/38/EC of the European Parlament and of the Council of 29 April 2004. (Free Movement Directive)³⁰ defines the conditions under which EU obtains and helical training members may move and reside bredy within the territory of the Member States. The decisive question for the purposes of this report is whether the directive compiles with the requirement of industrained in parts a defined in Africa (50 EU and particularly with the requirement of non-decrimination or grounds of sexual orientation, and it so, under which intermentation of the territor fell.

The problem may be stated as follows. The Free Movemed Directive grants a number of night of fine noncennel and of temporary or permanent resolution to a) the distance of the Uhron who move to or reside in a Menther State of ther than the State of which they have the nationally and to b) their fairing intermetric (x1). S it namely member, for the purposes of the directive, is a) the spource; b) the partner with whom the Union officer has conhected or appreciate patternetry, or he basis of the legislation of a Merries State, if the legislation of the first of the directive state is registered patternetry, or any the conditional code on the retreatment state, and it is considered and accordance or with the conditional code on the retreatment significant or the host Member State; and c) contain describation of appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contain describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contain describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contain describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contain describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contain describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contained describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State; and c) contained describations or appreciate containing the contribution of the host Member State states are states and the contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the host Member States are states as a second contribution of the second contribution of the host Member States are states as a

The wording of the Free Movement Directive raises three separate questions, depending on the status of the same-sex counte in the Member State of origin ⁵⁰ A first question

Directive 2004/38/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right of ottzens of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the territory of the Member States amending Regulation (EEC) No. 16/2/88 and repealing Directives 49/27 (EEC, BRIGGERE C) 17/3/48/EC 27/3/48/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC 27/4/4/EC

SIMPRECO, OLI. 158, 36 4 2004, p. 17

On the question of the horomometr of semi-sex couples in the European Union, sex, site alia, E.
Gold, Free Neversent and Same-sex Rebiscarbuse Extends C.D. Les and Article 15 Cr., et al.
(1986). K. Valdaski, Tomortic Equitals in the Freedom of Network of Personal, and St. Armonic Semi-sex for Personal, and St. Armonic Semi-sex for Personal, and K. Armonic Semi-sex for Personal, and St. Armonic Semi-sex for Personal Semi-sex for Semi-s

For overviews of the various regimes adopted by the EU Member States, see M Bonini Baraidi, Le nuove convivenze to descriptive straintere e diffit in techno, Milano I PSOA, 2005, K Bode-Woelki, A Fuchs (ods.), Legal Recognition of Samo-sex Couples in Europe, Annews, Oxford, New York

arises were a attained for file full not is married, under the law of his or hard Member State of origin, with a person of the same-sex. Alt present, this question arises when some-sex couples are married under the laws of Belgium, the Netherlands, or Spain Should the same-sex married person be considered a 'spain's for the purposes of the Fire Movement Directly. by the host Member State? Or may the host Member State relocation to determine the sex of the se

A second question is raised in the situation where a same-sex couple, although they cannot many in the "State of origin, has access to registered principation," to some equivarient form of civil sunor, and has actually entered into such an institution. In this case, the wording of the Free Movement Divictories seems to may like the host State is not in principal colleged to recognise as "territy members" registered partners; under the detective, only when he host State is text-in registered partners; under the detective, only when he host State is text-in registered partners pas equivarient to manager in its downestic legislation, should it soul registered partnerships concluded in manager in the purpose of territy resultables. The control of the purpose of the purpose of territy resultables, and the state of the purpose of the purpose of territy resultables.

A third question arises when no form of registered partnership is available to the same sex couple in their State of origin and thus their relationship is purely de facto. In this case, the obligation of the bost Member State is to 'tacilitate entry and residence' of the partner, provided either the partners share the same household (Art. 3(2), a)), or there exists between them a 'durable relationship, duly attested' (Art. 3(2), b)). This obligation, which requires from the host State to carefully examine the personal circumstances of each individual seeking to exercise his or her right to family reunification is not conditional upon the existence in the bost Member State of a form of registered pertnership considered equivalent to marriage. It follows that, where a registered pertnership has been concluded between two persons of the same-sex in one Member State, the host Member State either has to treat this union as equivalent to marriage (if the host Member State treats registered partnerships as equivalent to marriage in its own domestic civil law), or must at least 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner, either because the partners share the same household (Art. 3(2), a)), or because such a registered partnership establishes the existence of a 'durable relationship, duty attested' (Art. 3(2) b)) as a matter of course.

The tollowing table provides a simplified summary of the obligations of host States under the Free Movement Directive, in accordance with the classification of the preceding paragraphs.

intersents, 2003, Y Merin, The Legal Recognition of Gay Partnerships in Europe and the United States, Chicago University of Chicago Press, 2002.

Table 2.1.: Obligations of host Member States under the Free Movement Directive

		MEMBER STATE OF ORIGIN		
		allows same sex marriage	provides registered partnership	provides no status for same sex couples
HOST MEMBER STATE	allows same sex marriage	Host MS recognises same sex married partner as 'spouse'	Host MS recognises registered partnership as giving rise to family reunification rights	Host MS examines if a 'durable relationship duty attested' obliges it to 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner
	provides registered partnership or other institution equivalent to marriage	Host MS recognises same sex married partner as 'spouse'	Host MS recognises registered partnership as giving rise to family reunification rights	Host MS examines if 'durable relationship duty attested' obliging it to 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner
	provides no status for same sex couples	Host MS recognises same sex married partner as 'spouse'	Host MS recognises registered partnership as 'durable relationship duly attested' and therefore must 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner	Host MS examines if 'durable relationship duly attested' obliging it to 'facilitate entry and residence' of the partner

It is this framework which should be kept in mind in the interpretation of the data collected for the preparation of this report. The results, covering the 27 EU Member States, are summarised in the table below. They are analysed in the sections below by distinguishing between three situations.

A married partner of the citizen of the Union seeks to join him or her in another EU Member State

In the first of the three situations distinguished above – where a married partner of the citizen of the Union seeks to join him or her in the host State –, the host State must recognise that married partner as 'spouse'. A refusal to do so would constitute direct discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, in violation of Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and of the general principle of equality, as reiterated in Article 21 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights. Indeed, since the sole reason for refusing to recognise as 'spouse' the same-sex married partner of a citizen of the Union is the fact that they belong to the same-sex, it constitutes differential treatment based on the sexual orientation of the individuals concerned, which cannot be justified. It may be noted in this regard that although the 'spouses' would presumably nevertheless be considered members of the same household, in the meaning of Article 3. of the Free Movement Directive, this would constitute for them a far lesser guarantee that they will benefit from family reunitication, since the obligations of the bost State in this situation are defined in looser terms instead of an 'automatic' right of entry and residence in the host Member State, which is recognised to 'spouses', the host Member State should in this case examine the request to enter 'on the basis of its own national legislation, in order to decide whether entry and residence should be granted (to the applicant), taking into account their relationship with the Union citizen or any other dircumstances, such as their financial or physical dependence on the Union difizen' 105

The problem, however, is had Directive 2004/39EQ, while fishing the persons who count in as Tamily members of the others of the Union who exesses inher freedom of movement into another Member State to except set a found in the person of the same-sets inher freedom of movement into another Member State to except set appear of the same-sets uniform and under the laws of the Member State to origin. As a result of its omissions in the working of the fine laws of the Member State to origin. As a result of its omissions in the working of the foundation of maritige as fitted for burnote between two persons of the opposite sex, are worked in order for the time to recognite semines maritagies within concluded under the laws of another Member State. A recent evaluation of the Dutch Aspassingwest geographer of partnership Registented partnership Registented Partnership Registented Partnership Registented Conditional Registence (approximate Applications) and the Dutch Aspassingwest geographer of partnership Aspassing Commissioned by the Dutch Markey of Justicions' care that is the condition that legal exceptions of same sex maringes within the European Union, is credented.

This is illustrated in the following example from Italy: Italian courts oppose the claim of two male Italian citizens married in the Netherlands, to have their marriage recognised in Italy – something which, according to the Italian courts, would be contrary to the concepting of marriage in the Italian Constitution as a union between a man and a

¹⁰⁵ Directive 2004/38/EC. 6th Recital of the Preamble.

K Boele-Woelki et al (2007), Huwelijk of geregistreerd partnerschap?, Evaluatie van de wet openstelling huwelijk en de wet oeregistreerd partnerschap. Deventier: Kluwer

woman ^{III} Almoigh that case concerned the marriage of Islaines, the same soution would presumably proved if the manage were concluded between a otizened sombter EU Member State heaving moved to take and a third-country automat, the latter seeking to benefit from territy varientisean Anlogare 11 Member States speeper to reject he recognition of same-sex manage conducted atroad, and might reture to consider as spouses, for the proposed of tarrity varientisean, the same-sex manage protect of a closure of the Urann (EE, EL, ET, IV, IT, MT, PL, PT, SI, and SK), the contents IZ close Member States usual protective recognitise such manages (quest from SE, ES, ML, legistran, the group noticion CZ, DK, DE, FR, UJ, ND, FI, SE and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique of SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE, SE, and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and UK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and VK), in four Member States, the studies on unique (SEC, PL, VI, ND, FI, SE and VK).

This results in a situation in which the treadom of movement of LGET prisons is interested and not undernly recognised introductive process the control of the second control of

A same-sex registered partner of the citizen of the Union seeks to join him or her in another FU Member State

In the second situation — where the same-sex couple has formed a registered pertnership in their State of origin —, there should normally be no difficulty either if the host State allows same-sex couples to marry, or if in its domestic law, it has a regime of registered portnerships which is equivalent to mannage. Although the Free Movement

See the decisions published in Ferrigia e Diritto 4 (2005), 411, and in Ferrigia e Diritto 2 (2007), 166, ottod by Matheo Bosini Baratidi, Freedom and Justice in the EU Implications of the Hague Programme for Lesbian, Gav. Buseau land Transpender Families and the Children. March 2008.

^{**} It is significant in this regard that the study referred as above, which was proposed for the the Duth Ministry of Autors, words of difference conclusions than does like compositive study, neight or example, that if was unclear whether the Duths same-sex manings and/or same-sex regalated particularly would be recognized at all in Finance, and that in Soudent and the Uniter Kingdrum, the Duths same-sex manings would not be recognized at an amount, and so a regulated partnership (see Bootle-Week) et al. (2007, 1907). This is an intendance of the consciousness legal uncontainty when used in some size.

Directive opplicitly mentions only the latter case, it would be dearly unacceptable for a State not to allow family reunshation or a some-eax regatered portnership under the prefect that that State allows gays and lections to many persons of the same-eax, instead of having created an institution apport to them. Where the host Member State nether subtraces some-eax manage nor the a term of registered patricership equivatent to marriage under domestic law, it is not obliged to grant an automatic right of entire word researces.

Seven Momber States have established terms of registered partnership in hear durentic legistation with effects equivalent to manage—1 in, with consequences identical to Discoption with effect equivalent to manage—1 in, with consequences identical to Discoption. This enduders C.D., R.D., F.D., E.S., and the V.C. (voil partnerships, but as to H.U.) shough the conductes C.D., R.D., F.D., E.S., and the V.C. (voil partnerships, but as to H.U.) shough the portnership introduced in Hungarian legistation will not yenter in terce in 2005. Those States must recognize registered partnerships concluded in another Methods State for the purpose of terminy number of partnerships concluded in another Methods. State for the purpose of terminy number of partnerships in the signal control of years determined partnership in this signal control only a seed termine strong it. E.D. and the signal control of the

In 13 other Member States there is no registread particeship in domedic legislation in these States, the registered parties of a clare of the Ulanion is therefore not granted automatic inglists of eithy and residence (BG, EE, EL, IE, II, T, O', IV, IT, MT, AT, PL, PT, and AS). Once of these States – Authars – manifel storly) in the trial group, as one party of the governing costillation unwelled plants to infectuoe registered partinerships. Two other States of this group plan to introduce registered partinerships, but reserve them exclusively to opposite-ass couples (EL and LT). The question is whether, following the introduction of such legislation, they would be deligible of necessities seem-see registered partinerships concluded altroad, when their own legislation exclusives seam-eas couples from the institution of such legislation, they would be chilghed to recognise seame-see couples from the institution of the reserve to this genome. The control of the particle search of the couples of the company of the couples of the

Four Member States provide for some form of recognition of partnerships, the effects of these are too weak to consider that they are equivalent to marriage, and these States

The Committee on Petitions of the European Parliament confirms this in its response of 3 July 2008 to petition 0724/2005 (a Member State which does not recognise registered partnerships under its own law will not be required to automatically great partners registered in another Member State the right of residence as fairly remoters?

therefore are not obliged under Directive 2004/38/EC to grant the registered partner of a citizen of the Union automatic rights of entry and residence (DE, FR, LU, and Si).

In conductor, while ten Member States (including three States with allow for some-axe memage) in her domest legislation) controlly mad recognise registered principlising concluded abroad as giving rise to farmly rejuntationing rights, seventeen chart Member States are not under sout challpation, effect because they have no explained from their domestic law, or because the forms of partnership they allow are not equivalent to memage. This does not mean that States belonging be to latest category may simply agrice the continence of a registered partnerships. Anchois (\$20, of the Directive states that a State man structure entry and resolution of the partnership and deliber the portnerships where the same household, or three exists between terms a "durable resolutionity, only stated of Axe the following section flustration, because them as a structure of the partnership and an interpretation and might lead to varying englementations across the EU Wind houseard does seem date—and has been confirmed by the Petition Committee of the European Petitionent in and has been confirmed by the Petition Committee of the European Petitionent in an analysis of the petition of the committee of the European Petitionent in an engineer portnership to establishes that there is a "durable restoration protectives which in the interpretation and the confirmed and the protectives which the materianship and the protectives which the materianship and the petition of the pet

2.4. A de facto same-sex cohabitant of the citizen of the Union seeks to join him or her in another EU Member State

In the thrist shallon – where the same are portions are neither moment or untid under a registerior patricists, but leve logister. — The host Sittle eigen must facifiate entire participation of the portion, provided either the patriers share the same household. (Af 32, a), or there exists between them or surface in entiropin, outly entirely (Af 32, b). These are two experted grounds for admission, and a shallon such as that of \$20, b). These are two experted grounds for admission, and a shallon such as that of \$20, b). These are two experted grounds for admission, and as that one such as that of \$20, b). These are two experted grounds for described the fact relationships, but experted provide neidence of the factor shallon shallon, and the solution of the decelve in estimated and the state of the elements concerned should be the same not should be two signal of according to the science of a "furnities" concerned should be the same, and should be weighted according to the same realized, whether the partners or expensels our common every efficient literation between the two statements of the same can be formed a formed to become the two statements.

The problem however is that, in the vest majority of the Member States, no clear guidelines are available concerning the means by which the existence either of a

common household or of a 'durable relationship' may be proven. While this may be explained by the need not to artificially restrict such means - i.e., by the need to allow for such proof to be provided by all available means - the risk is that the criteria relied upon by the administration may be arbitrarily applied, and lead to discrimination against samesex partners, which have been cohehitan together or are engaged in a durable relationship. Furthermore, the vacue wording of Article 3(2) of the Directive may be the source of legal uncertainty for the national administrations themselves. It seems clear that the absence of any reterence in the domestic legislation implementing the directive to the possibility for partners which have been cohebiting together or are engaged in a durable relationship to have their case examined is a violation of the requirements of the directive (EE, PL), and that denying to same-sex partners the rights which, in the similar dircumstances, would be recognised to opposite-sex partners, would equally result in such a violation - since this would create a direct discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation (IT) - But it is less clear for instance, whether the imposition of a one-year cohabitation requirement is acceptable under the directive (HU) - although it could be said that a condition such as this one does not take into account the fact that sharing a common household and having a durable relationship are two separate grounds which the Member States should consider for the purpose of facilitating entry and residence of the partner. In some Member States (LU and PT), the implementation of Article 3(2) of the Directive leads the national authorities to require the production of a certificate from the authorities of the State of origin. This may create a serious obstacle to the effectiveness of this provision, in cases where the authorities of the State of origin refuse to recognise any form of partnership between persons of the same-sex or decy the delivery of such certificates on discriminatory grounds

2.5. The same-sex marriage or partnership concluded by a citizen of the Union in a Member State other than the State of which he/she is a national

Finally, a supplementary problem results from the fact that same-sex marriage or registered portnerships are open in a number of EU Member States to no-conditions, anothering of course non-nationals of other EU Member States. Certain States opposing same-sex unions may be tempted to obstruct the possibility for their nationals to benefit tion these institutions actived for instance, in order to register the partnership or marriage abroad, Potish abzerse usually need to present a certificate issued by the Ursaf States Universities to the Certain State S urmanined. The Poisib Ministry of Internal Artificis and Administration however has instructed. The Brush and and a serial ficial shall only be issued to persons who wish to enter into heterosexual manage, and not same sex partnership, as the latter is not regulated or recognised by Polish law. As a result of this situation, people watering to enter into semisers manage or partnership must obtain a posal noday certificiate, confirming that they are not maned to enzyone. This imposes a supplementary burden and additional notary credit.

The fable below provides a more systematic overview of the position of each Member State, as regards their recognition, as host States in the context of the exercise of tree movement rights by same-sex couples, of a) same-sex marriage⁽¹⁾, b) registered perfuerships, () 'durable relationships'

Instruction of the Deputy Director of Departament Receiving Informaty's I Systemu Rejestrow Parastwowych Ministerstwa Spraw Wewnętrznych i Administracji (Department of IT Development and State Registruci of the Ultristry of Internet of 103 04 2002, addressed to all governors of vowodships, Statement of 303 4 2002; No. 1987-4500-24-2731/2002

^{**}On the position of the El-15 Mombes States as regards the use of the public policy exception in order to oppose recognition of same sear manager, reference in made is the Options of 2003 of the EU. Network of Independent Eponds on Fundamental Regist (Oprision on the possibility for each Member State is recognise he transcers amanages open in Beglars and the Heldersdam and he of not of the public policy exception of the panel or international laws of each Member State, 30 Janes 2000), see exception effects of the Policy State (2001), see

Table 2.2.: Movement rights of same-sex couples in the EU Member States

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Belgium	Belgum ecognises as 'spouze' his azme sax partiser martiad in another Member State	Archite Micros, § 2 of the Alera Act, as insented by the Act of 25 April 2007, includes among the Intelligence of the Utero from Common and Intelligence of the Intelligence of	A cruciate of the Minister of the line interior (1997) and in line interior (1997) are granted to unarrative granted to unarrative granted su unarrative granted su unarrative partners who lve to gapher as a stable residence, the stable residence, the stable residence, the stable residence is a stable residence, the stable residence is a stable residence, the stable residence is a stable residence that the stable residence is a stable residence is a stable residence in the stable residence is a stable resi

According to the travaux priopizations of the Act of 25 April 2007, the registered portnerships covered by pairs are in pathsular those this easil in Scendinivani countries (Parliamentary Documents, House of Representatives 2006-2007, no. 27-264517, 9.3) The King is to determine which profiterships, registered shroad, are considered equivalent to marriage (saf. 408s, § 2 Aliens Act, as insorted by the Act of 25 April 2007)

Act or 25 April 2001)

110 Circular of 30 September 1997 regarding the granting of a residence permit on the basis of cohabitation in the framework of a durable relationship.

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Nember State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Bulgaria	The sprincable legislation (Замга за вильяется, пребезванется и наступальнего и наступальнего и наступальнего из наступальнего из Регибрика България на граждането и реакраждането и техните совмейства (Еліту, Residence and Ezit of EU Cittzers and Accompanying Members of Their Families Act), "" in horse since 1 1 2007, does not spenify the meaning of spouse, which can be presumed to extend to same-sex married couples	Bulgarian family law does not include registered partnerships or other smiles forms of civil unions between same axe unions between same axe partners, therefore its uncertain the registered partnerships concluded strong will be threshed	No information is evaluated concerning the way virtice 3(2) of the Free Movement Directive with earth practice.
Czech Republic	Act No. 161/2006 Coll. amending the Alens' Act implements the Free Movement Directive, Sec. 15a of the Allens' Act defines 'family members' of EU offizers for purposes of family reunification, without specifying who will be considered 'spouse'	The Act on Registered Parthership (Ziskon or registrovaném partherství) was adopted in 2006, and Section 1806 of the Albans' Act assimilates registered partners to 'spouses'	No information is available concerning the way Article 3(2) of the Free Movemen Directive will be implemented in practice
Denmark Some-sex spouses legally married (or registered) under the lews of another EU Member State are considered spauses for the purposes of family reunification in Denmark		Since 1989 Danish law has allowed two persons of the same-sex to register their relabonship (known as 'registered partnership') and with some few exceptions obtain the same legal status as a traditional difference-sey marriane.	No information is available concerning the way Article 3(2) of the Free Movemen Directive will be implemented in practice.

¹¹⁴ Bulgona/Garen за вликането, пробивовочето и напускалието на Републико България на гражданите на Европейские коъз и членовете на техните севейства (Entry, Residence and Exit of EU Citizens and Accompanying Members of Their Families Act), (10.1.2.0.2.1).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Germany	Under Art. 14 of the Law Introducing the CNI Gode Einfuhrungsgesetz. zum Burgerfehme Gesetzburd], the effects of marriage are replaided by the law of the State of which the spouses are nationals or where they have their principal residence or with artich they are most closely connected. Therefore, same-sex partners having married in avoid the state of the spouses of the secondance of the spouses in accordance and the secondance of the sec	The Genetic bland for Engeletingene Laberspatrinenshalf (Act on registrated Life Patrinenship) of 16 Feb 2001 (ICBS 2001 p. 200) Feb 2001 (ICBS 2001 p. 200)	In order for the same-sex partner of the EU offizen to be granted a right to join himber, a partnership cchabtation must actually exist or be earnessly intended A common address is in principle required (Article 27 of the Law on Freedom of MovemenVEU).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Estonia	The Citizen of European Time Officen of European Time Movement Directive Free Movement Directive Free Movement Directive Spouze, however the Persdomnessed in Fairle Law Actiff edition European Free Free Free Freedomnessed Free European Freedomnessed Edition and Edition Edition and Edition Edition and Edition Edition and Edition Edition and Edition Editio	There is no replated purchasing or particular to a consideration of the institution controlled in manage open to source to couples in Editional New York Controlled in Editional New York Controlled International Controlled	The Officer of European Unitina Act does not recognize any other control of the C

¹¹⁵ Estonia/Rigikantsoler (1994) Rigi Tealaja I, 75, 1326. See also peragraph 54 (4) of the Government of the Regulation of 19 08 1997 no. 159 Perekormaseisuaktide koostamise, muutmise. parandomise, taastomise ja tühistomise ning perekonnassisutunnistuste väljaandmise korra kinnitamine The Confirmation of the Rules on the Compilation, Medification, Correction, Recovery and Annulment of Vital Records and Issuance of Vital Statistics Certificates), confirming that manage is reserved to persons of the epposite sex (Estenia/Rigikaniselei (1997) Rigi Teoloja I, 62, 1067)

Estenia/Diguskaniselei (24.04.2002) Rigi Teoloja I, 52, 217.

Estenia/Rigikaniselei (24.04.2002) Rigi Teoloja I, 35, 217.

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Greece	The reference to 'spouses' in PD 1682/2007 (FEK A 135, 216607) which transposes into Greek law Directive 2004/39/EC, probably would be interpreted not to include same-sex spouses, even validly married in another EU Member State	There is currently no registered partnership in Greek low and a draft low put florward by the current government for the recognition of registered partnerships (cohabitation pact) specifically excludes from its scope same-sex couples. The registered partner of a citizen of the Union is therefore not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	No information is available concerning the way Article 3(2) of the Free Movement Directive will be amplemented in practice.
Spain	Royal Deserve 20/2007 of 16 February on English de consideration of the Establish Deserved on the Establish Deserved Onton Des	Pathwas registered under the lass of another Sales thall be considered lamity members for considered lamity members for consideration produced the registered pathwaship is exclusive of took manage and concluded in another State	No information is available concerning the way skilled \$72) of the Firee Movement Directive will be implemented in praedice.

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
France	There is no unarimity about the question whether same- sex marriages validly concluded in another sometimes. State should be necessariated to the determination of the energistical fire frames for the determination of the quality of 'sources', however the introduction of the 'PACS' (soche civil de solidarity) would seem to lead to an affirming that same-sex marriage would be contrary to French public pokey would seem difficult to justify in this context."	The French PACS (gade cut) does not produce effects equivalent to marriage, and France Personal to strong the produce effects equivalent to marriage, and France Personal to spoty mutual recognition of partnerships	Article 22bis, parea 17, of the Ordinance of 2 November 1945 relative to conditions of entry and residence of foreign nationals in France, provides a temporary provides a temporary provides and temporary provides and temporal whose personal and family first are such the refusal to authorise are such the residence with a serial beautiful to authorise residence would reproportionately infining upon histhire right to respect of histher private and family.
freland	Inish law does not recognise same-sex marriage concluded elsewhere, as this would seem to conflict with the definition of marriage as derived from Article 41 of the Inish Constitution 1937. 790	There is currently no registered partnership in links haw and the registered partner of a citzen of the Union is therefore not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	No information is evaluable concerning the way Article 3(2) of the Free Movement Directive will be implemented in practice

See H Futchiren, 'La séparation du couple en droit intermational privé', Petites Affiches, 2001, n°62, p.5, H. Futchron, 'Retinesses sur les unions hors manage en droit international privé', Journal de droit international, 2000, p.889.

France / Loi n°99-944 du 15 novembre 1999 relative au pacte civili de solidanté, JORF n°265 of 16 11 1999, p. 18959 (www.legislation.cnay.txtps/des/doi/TLR-LOI 99944 15111999 htm. 1 5 2006)

The narrow definition of family was consistend recently in a case involving two women married in Canada who wished to be treated like a marred opposite sex ecuple for the purposes of high tax law but the case did not succeed and is now on appeal to the Supremo Court (Appende & Gilgan v Revisine Comessiones and Others: Unreported High Court design of 14th December 2006).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Italy	Directive 2004/34/EC has been implemented by Decreb legislativo. Legislative Decree 30/20/7 III However, Italy does not recognize same-sex marriage, since would be seen to conflict with Article 29 of the Contiluzione della Repubblica Bislana (Constitucion della Repubblica Bislana Repubblica Bislana Repubblica Bislana Repubblica Bislana Repubblica Bislana Repubblica Bislana (Constitucion della Repubblica Bislana (Constitucion della Repubblica Bislana Rep	There is currently no registered protection that the same the registered partner of a citizen of the Users is therefore not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	Although the wording of Artice (2) of the Free Movement Directive has been reproduced in Art 3 of Discrete legislative [Legislative Deligible 19 30/2007, there is case-less suggesting that a de facto relationship between two persons of the same-sax could not give rise to family conflict with the public policy of the talain legal system 50
Cyprus	The applicable legislation 124 does not define the notion of 15 pouse, and the authorities have decided to examine the question of same-sex marriage in family resurrification cases when the question will arise, based on the experience of other States.	Cypriot family law does not include registered pathenships of other smills forms of oxid unions between same-sex partners between same-sex partners herefore it is uncertain how registered partnerships concluded abroad will be treeted. 129	Article 4(2)(b) of the Law 7(1)/2007 allows for a Unior offizen to apply for the exercise of freedom of movement for 'hei-her parfirse with whom a Union offizen has a continuous relationship duly proven'; which is subject to the Migration and Aliens Law 12

121 Italy/Decreto legislativo 30/2007 (06 02 2007).
122 According to a decision of the Tribunale di Lafi

According to a decision of the Tribunale di Lahra [Tribunal of Lohna] of 10 6 2005, it is not possible in tally to recognice a same-sex marriage of the Italian observe concluded in the Nothenides, since the how antiducular or not off the opposite sex, on exceeding prerequisite for marriage in the Italian legal system. On appeal, the Corte di Appetio di Roma (Court of Appeal of Rome) of 13 67 2005 confirmed this usino.

¹²⁸ After the Tribunols of Frenze [Tiplicans of Frenze, by a decree of ID 07 2005, recognized the right of a citizen of New Zalazind is receive, a variant reducence parties for the basis of a dis finch a posteriority, absolided by the New Zalazind authorities, between this most an Italian otters, appeal was made between the Cache Cappeal of Frenze [Cache of Tripeal of Frenzero], when on the 2 2,000 for the very than the Italian reption recognizes sociously pathernings between a warrant and a man, and that I soud the pathernings and residual register. A passage file of the passage places between the pathernings and residual register. A passage file of the passage places between the second of the passage places and the pathernings and residual register.

pending.

124 Cyprus/Low on the Rights of Officens of the Union and their Family Members to Move and Reside

Feely in the Tenthry of the Republic N. 17(1/2007) (Fig. 12, 2007).

"There is a complicing harding with their or dwilling batter for Copyas Equality Body by a gay third country national with his registered a civil professor for Copyas Equality Body by a gay third country national with his registered a civil professor for expensed in IV. IV. with a U.K. national whose application to the immigration authorities for the right of innovement and resistence afforced to partner of EU clicters under Directive 2004/BVEC was rejected by the Cypinit minigration surfacilities on the organized that all resistant is lessable on deep or microsines usine serie minimages."

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Latvia	Latvian Civil Law explicitly prohibits same-sex marriage, ²⁷² and this would seem to constitute an obstacle to the recognition as 'spouse', by the immigration authorities, of a same-sex patter married to a citizen of the Unrion having moved to Latvia	There is currently no registered partnership in Lathan law and the registered partner of a citizen of the Union is therefore not granted automate rights of entry and residence.	No information is available concerning the way Article 3(2) of the Free Movemen Directive will be implemented in practice.
Lithuania	Article 3.7 of the Lithuarian Civil Code defines marniage as the umon behinen a man and a woman, thereby probably excluding the recognition of same-sex marriage validly concluded abroad	Although the Chit Code, in force since 1 7 2001, provided for the adoption of a subsidiary law on partnerships, such law has never been passed. Therefore, the registered partner of a citzen of the Unron is not granted automade rights of entry and residence. Article 3 229 of the Chit Code states that only a unron between a man and a woman can be recognised as a nathership.	No information is available concerning the way Arbeto 3/2) of the Free Movemen Directive will be implemented in practice.

Cyprus/ Alens and Immgration Law, as amended by Law 8(I)/2007 (14.02.2007) Latvia/Civifikums [Civil Law] (28.01.1937), Art. 35(2), available at http://www.tic.la/index.phg/?sixp=0&tid=likum&id=10&tid=69&ti=LV (24.02.2008).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Luxembourg	Pending he imministic adoption of a specific law implementing Directive adoption of a specific law implementing Directive Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution of entry and stay of certain standardises of foreignate which are the subject of imministrational agreements, as last amended on 21 microplants the Section of movement of EU Member of Constitution of EU Member of Constitution of EU Member of the Constitution of EU Member of the Constitution of EU Member	Although the Law of 9 July 2004 on the legal effects of ordain purheashey (the Furtheashey the Furtheashey the Furtheashey the Furtheashey an enablation resembling the Franch PAGS ruther than a unestudent resembling the Franch PAGS ruther than a unren equivalent to marriage, the Temporary Regulation provides the Commission of the Comm	It would appear that, as currently shifted, the Carrently shifted, the Carrently Regulation Frequency the production of a required to production of a required partition to the parties of the ELI clitzen having moves to the parties of the ELI clitzen having moves to the parties of the ELI clitzen having moves to as regulated the parties original right of mountains as regulated the parties original right of the who are provided for any sex unions.
Hungary	Act 1 of 2007 on the right to free movement, residence and entry of EU and EEA. Member States' attented to implements 10 trechve 2004/28/EC in Hungary. It refers to 'spouses' as family, without it being clear whether this will be miterpreted to include same-sex spouses validly married in another Member State.	The Hungarian government introduced registered partnership in November 2007 (Act No. 184 of 2007 on registered partnership) and the amendment will come tells force on 0.01 2000 ²⁸¹ No a result, after this dale, a registered partner of a otizen of the Urrion should be assimilated to family members for the purposes of family resurification.	Under the Act 1 of 2007 on the right to free movement, residence and entry of EU and EEA Member Stakes' citizens, registered partiners of EU/EEA citizens who have lived together for at least one year are granted the right to free movement and residence (122

Luxembourg/Röglement grand ducal du 28 mars 1972 relatif aux conditions d'entrire et de séjour de certaines categories d'étragers fissant l'objet de conventions internationales (RGD 28 03 1972), is lost amendéd on 21 December 2007

Luxembourgf, or du 9 juillet 2004 relative aux effets legaux de certains partenanets (09.07.2004)
 Hungary/2007 övi 1 torvény/05.01.2007) Hereinafter referred to in the body text as FMA (Free

Movement Act)

10 Under Artists 2 of the Act No. 184 of 2007 on registered perferently, the provisions of Act No. 4 of 1952 on marriage, family and guardanship concerning marriage shall be applied to couples Ining in registered partnership except the rules governing special forms of adoption (Nozes grammskie

fogadas") and the use of name following marriage
12 Article 1 (1) db), Hungary/2007, ex 1 torvery/(05.01.2007).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Maita	It would appear that Malta probably would not consider as spouses' for the purposes of family resumfication the same-sex partner married in another EU Member State to an EU offizer.	There is currently no registered partnership in Maltese law and the registered partner of a citizen of the Union is therefore not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	Contrary to what is required under Arbete 3(2) of the Directive, there is no procedure under the Immigration Act to allow for the partner with whom the Urrian dizzer has a durable relationship, duly affested, to have histher situation examined in order to be granted, where appropriate, a right to enrich.
Nether- lands	In 2001 cnill Marnage was opened up for same-sex couples since 2001 ¹³² The notion of spouse in the Visemdelingenbestut (Alens Decree)), implementing Directive 2004/36/EC, therefore exiends to same-sex married partners	Since 1998 Dutch law has provided for a registered partnership for both same-sex and different-sex couples. ²⁹ But the assimilation of partners registered in another EU Member State to farmly members bollows in any event, from the recognision of same-sex marriage in the Neiherlands.	Under Arbide 8.7, Vreemdelingerbesluit [Ahens Decree], the unmarried and unregistered partner with whom the EU citizen is in a duly attested stable long-term relationship has a right to residence. Applicants can simply submit a standard form in which they solemny declare that they have such a relationship.
Austria	The Niederlassungs- und Aufenthaltagesetz (Settlement and Residence Act) ¹⁷⁵ is not explicit on whether same-say married partners would be recognised as 'spouses'	On 1 October 2007, the OVP announced its support for a registrated pathership (a form of ovir union), it is thus fixely that the registred patherships or ovir unions will be legalised in the course of 2050, following which fustin would have to consider patherships concluded in another MS as equivalent to marriage.	The existence of such a partnership can be proved, e.g., by providing witnesses documents, photos or a registration card, there is no legal minimum period of time for which the "stable partnership" must have lasted in the country of ongin.

¹³⁵ Wet openstelling huwelijk (Act on the Opening Up of Marriage) of 21 12 2000 Staatsblad (Law gazette) 2001/9

³⁴ Aanpassigned geregistreerd partnerschap (Registered Partnership Adjustment Aet) of 17-12-1997 (Shatishind 1997, nr. 680). In fece since 01-01-1998.
45 Austhand 2011-1872005, Jast namenskod by 2001-142006 (04-01-2008).

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Poland	Although Article 2 Section 4 of the Law of 1 of 7 2008 on entry to Polish Heritary, residence on and exit from this terminary by European olizens and their family members 136 includes the spaces of the obtain of the Union among the tarrity members benefiting from their gland to entry and to the spaces of the state of the Union among the tarrity members benefiting from the right to entry and to the spaces of the spaces of the spaces for reasons of public policy and the cause of the spaces	There is currently no registered purchaselyin p-leaflul us and the registered patter of a citizen of the turns in the term of the turns in the effect and any distribution of the control granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	The Law on Entry into Potish Territory does not envisage any mechanism facilitating the implementation of Art 3(2) of Directive 2004/38/EC, therefore no critisma are set in Potish law
Portugal	Article 2(e) of Let 37/2006 implements Directive 2004/38/EC. It is sitent about the meaning of 'spouses', however same- sex marriage presumably would not be recognised as giving rise to a right to family resurfication.	There is currently no registered partnership in Portuguese law, the registered partner of a clitzen of the Union is therefore not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	The pattner with whom an EU offizen lives in a de fact union or permanent relationship duty attested to by the Member State in which they reside will be granted a right to family resultication.

Potand/Listawa z drira 14 lipca 2006 r. o wjeżdzie na terytorium Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej, pobycie oraz wyjężdzie z lego terytorium obywateli panatw członkowskich Umi Europojskiej i członków ich rodzin, Dzenenki Ustwa (Journal of Lawaje of 2006, No. 1441, letn 1043.)

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Nember State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Romania	Ordinance 30/2006 on the tee movement of officers of the EU and of the EEA** upgenerated of the EEA** upgenerated by the EEA** (2004-204CC Its and EEA** (Andrea 2(1)* of Lew 5002009 introduces the concept of partnership into Romanian (speaked, 2007) and the concept of partnership into Romanian (speaked, 2007) and the concept of the Union registered under the concept of the Concept of the Union registered under the concept of t	By defining the pathers as in general who have been always and the pathership is always and the Euglide has a distance of the EUglide has a distance of the EUglide has a distance according to the law of the withers Edited or the pathership is not expedience. The religionship can be provided, "10 Remains in legislated or the registered, the religionship can be provided," of Remains in legislated or distance and residence of registeries and residence of registeries, all virules and residence of registeries, all virules and residence of registeries, all virules and residence of a distance of a distan
Slovenia	The Zakon o tujch [Allens Act] ⁽⁴⁾ implements Directive 2004/38/EC in Slovenian law. The term 'spouse' which appears in this legitable in a reserved for the mantal relationship between heterosexual partners.	The registered partnership (same-sex union) as defined by the Slowerian Zakon or registracij istospolne partnerske skupnosti [Registration of Same-sex Partnership Act 142 zr not equavalent to marriage, and therefore the registered partner of a citizen of the Union is not granted automatic rights of entry and residence.	No information is available concerning the way Arbele 3/2) of the Free Movement Directive will be implemented in practice

¹³⁷ Romania/Governmental Ordinance 102/2005 on the freedom of movement and of residence of EU obserts (14.07.2005) was approved and amended by Romania/Law 500/2006 on amending and approving Ordinance 30/2006 (28.12.2005).

approving Ordinance surzoute (28 12 2006)
 Romania/Low 105/1992 on private international law regulations (22 09 1992).

Art 3 of Romania/Governmental Ordinance 192/2005 on the freedom of movement and of residence of EU citizens (14 07 2005).

Art. 2 (1) or RomaniaLaw 500/2006 on amending and approving Ordinance 30/2006 (28.12.2006).
 Sloverial Miens Act. 107/06 (17.10.2006), Art. 36.

Slovenia/Same-sex Partnership Act 65/06 (08 07 2005)

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Slovakia	Directive 2004/38/EC was transposed into Slovak legislation primarily by the Act on Residence Act on Residence Act on Residence of Alarnes ¹² . It is an Worphale under the legislation shall not exclude the same-sex married pathers of the officer of the Union moving solicy also slovaks, samb under single (\$10.12.050); and (\$10.12.050); and and a woman can be married.	There is currently no registered problemships in South Mr., the registered partner of a critizen of the University of th	The members of thinber households "we considered family members of the distance of the Utran moving to Stoyaka for the purposes of family recruitchaten. William to challed family recruitchaten. Will be meared of proving the meared of proving the presumes had the Act of the Marchadon of Allan, which provides that the Act of the Marchadon of Allan, which provides the the declared by a cartificate of year of the declared that the Act of the Marchadon of Allan, which provides the the declared that the Act of the Marchadon of Allan, which person is a dependant family member or member of the brought of the brought of the thought of the throught of the throught of the Marchadon of the
Finland	Same-sex partners validly married under the laws of another EU Member State would be considered 'spouses' under section 154 of the Alters Act [ultomadaistable (301/2004)]	In accordance with sections 8 and 12 of the Act on Registered Partnerships (like rekisserodysla parisuhteesta (950/2011)), which creates registered partnerships under Finnish law, registered partnerships under finnish law, registered abroad, have the same legal effect as marinage unless otherwise provided for by law	Under section 154 of the Altens Act individuals who, are spective of their sex, live in the same household in marriage-like orcumstances, provided that they have lived in the same household for at least two years, shall be considered as members of the family

Slovakra/zakon 48/2002 (13.12.2001).
 Art 45tr(2). Slovakra/zakon 49/2002 (13.12.2001).
 Art 45tr(3)c of the Act No. 48/2002 Coll

Member State	Same-sex marriage concluded in another EU Member State	Registered partnership concluded in another EU Member State	Recognition of de facto relationships as 'durable' and 'duly attested'
Sweden	The Allens Act (SFS 2005 716) Chapter 5(e), section 2, includes 5 spouses' among the family members authorised to join the offizen of the Union moving to Sweden	The term 'spouse' includes people who are registered partners within the meaning of chapter 3, section of offer Act on Registered Partnerships (SFS 1994: 1117), i.e. same-sex partners	Cohabiting partners', i.e. those who are living together in a durable relationship and who share the same household (Cohabiting Partners Act SFS 2003 376, section 1 paragraph 1), nobuling same-sex partners (Cohabiting Partners Act section 1 paragraph 3), benefit family reunification rights
United Kingdom	The Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2005 ⁴¹² Implement Directive 2004/38/EC. The definition of 'family members' would include the LGBT partners of EU observes who have entered a same-sex marriage legally recognised in another Member State.	Under the Civil Pathership Act 2004," same-sex couples are able to obtain logal recognition of their relationship by forming a civil partnership, whose effects are equivalent to marriage.	Under Reg. 8, partners who are not married or in a civil partnership with an EU citizen they must be able to show that they are in a "durable relationship" with each other.

¹⁸ UK/ The Immigration (European Economic Area) Regulations 2006, Statutory Instrument 2006 No 1003 (30 03 2005), available of http://www.opsi.gov.uk/sisis2006/20061003 htm (15 02 2008)

¹⁰ UK/The Cell Pachership Act 2004 c. 33 (18 11 2004), available at http://www.opsi.gov.uk/scits/ctc2004/upga_20040033_ee_1 1 htm (11 02 2008) The act applies to England and Wales. Smite provisions have been introduced in Scotland and Northern Internd.

3. Asylum and subsidiary protection

3.1. Asylum: the general framework

Council Directive 2004838C of 28 April 2004 on Minmum Standards for the Qualification and Station of Third County Protection and the Content of the Stationary of the Council Counci

The formation hierarche of a portular social group in the above definition mighes that the imembers of the group sinsers a common characteristics of bette fundamental to the members of leading the group is precisived to have a distinct identity, in the society of origin. Depending on the consumerances in the custry of origin, the nethod of 'social group' may include a group based on a common characteristic of sexual consistent of the group is set of the group' that is a group based on a common characteristic of sexual consistent of the group is set efficiently by the consistent Court for the Right of Anjuin (CUAN), which consistent of that the profition of homeoseus closed under the leave of Mauritians or 'Serra Looise constituted sufficient indicate for the personalize of the profit of the group of

¹⁸ OJ L 304/12 of 30 9 2004

¹⁰ CRR, 1 December 2006, 579547, Ms N., CRR, 18 May 2006, 559666, Mr J. On 16 April 1999, the Recourse Commission (Commission des recours) of the OFPRA had already recognised that Algerian homosexuals were persecuted and that they belonged to a social group subject to harassment and patential rumain prosecution.

order to lead to such recognition,²⁰ in Swedon, the existence of criminal provisions prohibiting homeous conduct in set officient loughty the granting of relapses of control However, if an explan-seever has lived openly according to his/her sexual orientation in Swedon H with in principle be sufficient to leagt the granting originary selection expected that the principle has different to leagth the granting originary selection expected that this person must had his/her sexual orientation upon return to the country of origin in criter to except prosecution.

As Illustrated in the case-law of United Kingdom courts, a number of quaetions emerge cross sessual reliablists is recognised as a ground for presidual histories recognised as a sessional processor of the processor of the processor of the processor of the processor of discretion upon semi-act reliablists (in 2 bedset) of the destinate of inferral relication alternatives. The processor of the processor of the processor of profession under the Qualification processor of the processor of the processor of the processor of countries of origin — leading to seyfum-seekers originating from these countries having the distinct processor of the processor of the processor of the distinct processor of the processor of the processor of seekers or processor of the processor of seekers or processor of the processor of seekers and processor is deather as some for conson.

Take 3 1 shows that once of the EU Member States has explicitly valuated to consider sessual centellation as outarnot personation for the purpose of persing refugee status, since this would constitute a diser violation of the Qualification Directive. However, in egalt Member States, this inclusions in so despricin their legislation (E.E., LES, U.M., PL, PL, PL and UK), although in Spain and the bullets Ringborn, the interpretation has been contented by courts. Where the domested legislation does not exploitly include season contention, and intelland registrates the definition of the 15G Genera Convention on the secondarios where the collection of the test of graphs of the desired for the collection of purposes.

The Qualification Directive specifies that 'sexual orientation cannot be understood to include actic considered to be criminal in accordance with inables and to select the oriental in the consideration of the oriental solution. States (Art. 101), d.), it is implicit but certain, that this conspien could not be invised by preference to any legislation which constitutes a validate of the right to respect for private like, or which constitutes advantage in the right or inspect for private like, or which constitutes advantage in the right oriental with Article 4.15 CEMT.

The European Court of Human Rights protects sexual title as an element of private like and and many and firm's conformation of order like articles.

^{190 &#}x27;s-Gravenhage Regional Court, location 's-Hertogenbosch, 12 10 2004, AWB 02/3863, LJN. AR6786

¹⁵¹ R v Secretary of State for the Home Department ex parte Vraciu 1995 Appeal No. HX/70517/94.

¹²² J v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2006] EWCA Civ 1238

RG (Colombia) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (2006) EWCA Cw 57.
Amaze v Secretary of State for the Home Department (2005) EWCA 1600.

⁸⁴

relationships between adults, 195 but also any differential treatment of homosexual and heterosexual sexual conduct 156 This qualification may be important where the legislation of a Member State remains in violation of the standards of the European Convention on Human Rights for example whereas according to the Cyprint criminal code sexual intercourse between two men where one of them is under 17 years of age is a criminal. offence nunishable with three years of impresonment 197 if would not be justified to deny refugee status to an asylum-seeker - referring to the rule that, under the Qualification Directive, 'sexual operation cannot be understood to include acts considered to be criminal in accordance with national law of the Member States' - because prosecution is possible in his State of opinin based on a similar provision of the criminal law. The same would apply to the provisions of Article 347 of the Greek Penal Code, which incriminates sexual intercourse between men a) when induced by an abuse of a relation of dependency b) when one party is under the age of 17 or when it serves to generate profit and c) when practised on a professional basis. Indeed, given the plural form used in the Qualification Directive (lacts considered to be criminal in accordance with national law of the Member States' (emphasis added)), it may even be questioned whether a Member State may invoke its own legislative provisions in order to deny refuge status. when these provisions do not correspond to those in torce in all the FU Member States 158

It may also be relevant to note that under the Qualification Directive the forms of persecution, which may lead to granting reliques status, may just due, first all, the infliction of acts of physical or mental violence or acts of discrimination (Art 19(2), a) and b) by non-State actors provided governmental authorities or parties or organisations condrilling the State or a substartial part of the territory of the State are unnitting or unable to protect victims of such acts (Art 6). This is connelment interpreted restrictively, however since the consistility of ulternal factor of the activities restrictive.

¹⁹⁸ Eur. Cl. HR, Dudgeon v. the United Kingdom judgment of 22 October 1981, Series A no. 45, Eur. Ct. HR (3d sect.), Smith and Grady v. the United Kingdom judgment of 27 September 1999, Appt. nos. 33965/96 and 33969/96, para. 99

^{**}Exr C. H.R. S. L. v. Auchta judgment of S. Jamsury 2003, App. No. 6553099, paras. 38-66 (constuding half Article 200 of the Austrian Common looks, which establishes a halpher age of consent for sexual relationships between how men than the other relationships, constitutions an visibilities of the nondiscrimination states of Article 14 ESCNI's monitoration with Article 6 ESCHI's and extensive the Report objected by the Escription Commission of Human Rights in Sutherhands: the Utilitied Graphics Report objected by the Escription Commission of Human Rights in Sutherhands: the Utilitied Graphics are stated from the Court's late below for resolved a pulpome.

Crimmal Code Article 1711, Java amonding the Crimmal Code N.145(I)/2002. Prior to 1998, intercourse between two men irrespective of age was a criminal offence purishably with up to the vyears of imprisement. The claringe in the law care after the European Court of Human Rights decided against Carous in the case of Modrose V. Resulble of Cavous, advancent 22 64 1993. 16 EHRR 455.

¹⁹ Comp. for instance, for Romania, the text of Governmental Decision 1251 from 2006 approving the methodological norms for Law 122/2006 on Asylum, which provides in relevant part that, "Sexual extratation cornol trigger the existence of a social group under the definition of the current provision when the activities secretic to execut ententiation are criminal and enablised by Romanian Isosoliston."

to reside in a part of the country where he / she would be safe from harm inflicted by non-State actors, such as members of his / her tamily or clan — may lead to a rejection of his asylum claim ¹⁵⁰

The protection offered to gays and features under the Qualification Directive should logically defend to Insenseurals, as they also form a distinctly locality group whose protection in the sould prough whose members share a common characteristic and have a distinct identify due to the procession in the souldy of origin Discrimination, in sum, constitutes the reliverant 'sould group' whose members, if subject to presentation, may claim a right to again. This extension of the inclined of 'sould group' is into its exception if Francise' and in Austra **Contain may also be considered, according to the same understanding of a locality group in the entities of the collection Directive, as ground to presentation inside to recognise of integers status. In Sweden, interessentals and generally these presents fall, according to the themse properties of the collection of the containing of the status of the collection in the entities of the collection in the entities of the collection in the entities of the containing of the collection in the entities of direction of the collection in the entities of the containing on the containing of the present because of the present because of the containing of the present because of the present because of the present because of the containing of the present because of the collection of the containing of the present because of the containing of the present because of the containing of the containing of the present because of the containing of the containing

3.2. Subsidiary protection: the general framework

Chapter IV of the Qualification Directive province, in addition to its adjustances on the recognition of religious estates that States shall great subsidiary protection, status to persons who do not qualify as relatigees, where such persons feer senous herm upon their retain to their country of crigins. Senous hom includes, an lend, doubt, or well as Totatro or instrumen or degrading reteriment or pursimented of an applicant in the country of origin (ref. 15.) and bij). The protection would these applicant in the country of origin, because the or site is an LCBIT person or the engined in terminosal conduct. The subsidiary of the engine of the country of crigin, because the or site is an LCBIT person or the engined in terminosal conduct. The subsidiary of the engine of the country of crigin, because the or site is an LCBIT person or the engined in terminosal conduct. The subsidiary of the second or the country of crigin, because the or their an LCBIT person or the subsidiary of the interior year unable or committee or correct (Article 6). This provision of the qualification directive is in the with the coest-leve of the Express COURT of Hamma Rights, accordingly owint in Septices or the coest-leve of the Express COURT of Hamma Rights, accordingly owint in Septices or the coest-leve of the Express COURT of Hamma Rights, accordingly owint in Septices or the coest-leve of the Express COURT of Hamma Rights, accordingly owint in Septices or the coest-leve of the Express COURT of Hamma Rights, accordingly owint in Septices.

Lucembourg/Tirbunal administratif du Grand-Duché de Lucembourg/22023 (33 05 2007) (Nigerian pay man fearing reprisals from his family for having refused to marry a girl).
CRR. 15 February 2005. 469-775. Mr B. Kloperan diZen. Having cublosh manifested his transsexuality.

and having suffered persecution from both State agents and non-State agents).
Austral / Unabharingper Bundesaystenat (Federal Independent Asylum Tritunal), 244.745/0-VIII/22/03 (28.3.2006) (asylum granted to a transacticus) faraina).

¹⁶² Prop 2005/06/6 p 22

Contracting State may give rise to an issue under Antice 3 (ECHR), and hence engage the responsibility of that State under the Convention, where substaintial grounds not been shown for believing that the person in question, it excelled, would find no a real risk of being substance to restiment contains by office 3 in the neceiving country in conconcurrations, Article 3 implies the obligation not to expell the person in question to that reventor 1911.

In implementing the provisions of the Qualification Directive on subsidiary protection, the FU Member States should be mindful of their obligations under fundamental rights as stocilated in naticular in the European Convention on Human Rights 154 In an inadmissibility decision of 22 June 2004, the European Court of Human Rights considered that an individual tearing persecution in Iran due to the intolerance of homosexuality in that country and the resulting risk of harassment, unless be concealed his sexual orientation, did not constitute an obstacle to his removal from the territory. 'On a purely pragmatic basis', said the Court, 'it cannot be required that an expelling Contracting State only return an alien to a country which is in full and effective enforcement of all the notits and freedoms set out in the Convention, 100 The Court seems thus to suggest that as a gay person can conduct him/herself homosexually in the private private sphere in his home country, the mere obligation imposed on that person to refrain from publicly exhibiting homosexual conduct in his home country should not be seen as a sufficiently severe restriction on his right to respect for private life to justify prohibiting the return of that person to his home country - a position adopted for instance, by certain courts in Italy and in Germany 100 This position may

Eur. Ct. HR, Scering v. the United Kingdom judgment of 7 July 1988, Senes A No. 161, p. 35, para. 88, Eur. Ct. HR, Chahal v. the United Kingdom judgment of 15 November 1996 (Appl. No. 22414(53).

para. 74

For a partial codification of this case-law, see the Gadelines on forced return adopted by the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on 4 May 2005, at the 925th Meeting of the Ministers' Deputhes.

Fur CI HR (4th seet), Fashkamin v the United Kingdom, Appl. No. 17341(03).

In liaby the Court of Cassation considers that in order to be granted asylum on grounds of persecution. based on sexual orientation, the asylum-seekers must demonstrate that homosexuality in private is punishable - i e , that it is not merely punishable as a form of 'public indecency' (Italy/Corte di Cassazione (18.01.2008) and Corte di Cassazione (25.07.2007)). In Germany, certain courts have adopted this position, although it is clear that a similar restriction of homosexuality to the private sphere would be unacceptable in any Member State of the Council of Europe under the European Convention on Human Rights (Court of Administration (Verwaltungsgericht) Düsseldorf, audement of 5th September 2005 case no. 5 K 6084/04 A. Court of Administration (Verwall pospericht) Premer, judgment of 28th April 2006, case no. 7 K 632/05 A. Court of Administration (Verwaltungspensht) Düsseldorf, judgment of 14th September 2006, case no 11 K 81/06 A) But even in Germany, the courts are by no means unanimous in this regard (for the view that homosexuals cannot be expected to conceal their sexual orientation in order to escape the risk of criminal prosecution or other forms of harassment, see Court of Administration (Verwallungsgericht) Frankfurt an der Oder, judgment of 27th January 2005, case no. 4 K 652/01 At similarly, with regard to Nipena. Court of Administration (Vernwaltungsgericht) Leigzig judgment of 21st December 1998, case no. A 2 K 30357/95 in In/AusiR 1999, p. 309, as well as Court of Administration (Verwaltungspencht) Chemnitz, judgment of 9th May 2003, page no. A 6 K 30358/97. similarly with report to Version Court of Administration Glotton decision of 26th August 1999, rose no 10 E 30832/98 in NVwZ-Bellage I 1999, p. 119, similarly, with regard to Lebanon, Court of

also be influenced by the perception that the public morals of the country of return must be taken into account in evaluating the severity of the infringement on the rights of the individual facing the threat of deportation 167 However, even if, according to that decision. EU Member States are not obliged to refrain from deporting an LGBT person merely. because that person may be subject to a climate of intolerance in the State of return harassment on grounds of sexual orientation may constitute either persecution, leading to recognise the individual concerned as a retugee if he/she seeks asylum, or a form of inhuman or degrading treatment leading to subsidiary protection, in according with the provisions of the Qualification Directive cited above. In the 1999 cases of Smith and Grady and Lustin Prean and Reckett the European Court of Human Rights did not exclude that treatment which is grounded upon a predisposed bias on the part of a heterosexual majority against a homosexual minority may tall within the scope of Article 3 ECHR, which prohibits inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, provided the Il-treatment attains a minimum level of severity 100 According to the case-law of the Court, a treatment may be considered degrading, if it is such as to arouse in its victims teelings of fear, anguish and interiority capable of humiliating and debasing them 159 No. specific intent from the part of the author is required for this qualification to apply it is sufficient if the victim is humiliated in his or her own eyes 170

In addition, the real risk of Ill-realment inflicted by private (non-State) actors in the focus output or grap profits the removal of a person to had county, inclined, the profits the removal of a person to had county, inclined, the profits the removal of a person to had county inclined. The present of the profits of th

Administration (Verenthungsgemick) Disseated, judgment of 14 September 2014, case no. 5. N. 1877/00.4, with repedie lo Sudno, Court of Administration Polition, judgment of 11% September 2016, 1877/00.4, with repedie lo Sudno, Court of Administration Polition, judgment of 11% September 2016, 1877/00.4, with repedied loss of 11% of 11%

For such an approach, see in the case-law of tire German courts. Federal Court of Administration (Bundesverseablungsgendth), Bi/erwGE 79, pp. 143T., Court of Administration Frankfurt on der Oder, judgment of 21th. Journary 2005, case no. 4 K 652/01 A, Court of Administration Potodom, judgment of 14th Sendenther 2006, case no. 9 K 158/01 A.

Eur Cl. HR (3d sect.), Santh and Grady vithe United Kingdom judgment of 27 September 1999, Appl. nos 33985/96 and 33986/96, para 121, see also Eur. Cl. HR (3d sect.), Lustig-Prearv the United Kingdom and Bockett vithe United Kingdom judgment of 27 September 1999, Appl. nos. 31417/95 end 32737/96.

Eur Cl. HR, Ireland v. the United Kingdom judgment of 18 January 1978, Series A no. 25, pp. 66-67, para. 167.

Eur. Ct. HR, Tyrer v. the United Kingdom judgment of 25 April 1978, Series A no. 26, p. 16, para. 32.

Eur. Ct. HR, HJ, R, v. France, judgment of 29 April 1997 (April No. 2457394), para. 49.

Board decided that there was no reason to assure that the applicant would risk being personated by the authorities because of his homoseausity! If he returned not however, the Board bound that the applicant would risk sessual as included in pragraph, 727,0 of the Densh Hares Act, if he turned to iran. The docision was board on the assaulist by the brothers of the applicant's boytened and the fact that the brothers and the certificant's belief the outflower.

In horse, ICBT individuals not subject to persecution or grounds of sexual orientation, in conclaious when would lead to as secressial enjoint under, could benefit turn the subsidiary protection afforded under the Qualification Directive as a complementary sistem. Foreign a practical studied for the encessary to evide this latter time of international protection in the case of EU Member States that comply with the recognitional protection in the case of EU Member States that comply with the recognition of social group when the recognition of social group when members are protected from persecution by graining them refuges status. Nevertheless, there are coses when, following a relation of the authorities to congrise that LOST belong to distinctive social group for the purposes of the recognition of the status of a confidence of the status of the confidence of the status of a confidence of the status of the status of the confidence of the status of the confidence of the status of th

Finally, it should be emphased that the Qualification Directive imposes imminum standards on EU Membra States, which provide more extensive protection for persons claiming to be at risk because of their homeoceasitity or innessessatility (Art 3). Thus, in the lieberfards, preserves who did not qualify either for protection, but for the Centre Convenion relating to the Status of Refugees or for subadily protection, but for whom the competent Membra and the Partiernam consider equation to result in exceptionally severe consequences (the occulied discretioning ground for distinting asylum of Artificial Status (Artificial Status and Centre) and the control of the Centre of the Centre

On France a Bensinal offices, Nr. S. not having outerably amendment for this messessality and not having been subject to legal proceedings, went or clearly not be soft of persons and person for the person of t

Family members of the individual seeking international protection

According to Art 2h of Councel Directive 2004/85/EC of 28 April 2004, family members in the content of rejently maders subdayed protection include both supuses and unaminand portines in a stable relationship, where the legislation or practice of the Member State concerned treats unminimal couples in one way comparable to mande couples under its law relating to where. The EU Member States must ensure that family with yon be manistanced by must therefore gard enablines permits the family inventions of the relationship of the person benefities from a subdisfully strong a procedure, and they must 2.73 4 and 34 of the Qualification Direction.

As the table below shows, "spowers" or fretupes or individuals benefiting from subsidiary protection would include same-size spouses in the EU Member States (EE, CZ, NC, EE, EL, UL, NL, AT, Fl., and UK), the situation is more dubtiff in seven other Member State, when the distribution of spouse in this contect still that to be tested before the courts (EE, FR, IT, PL, PT, RO, SE) in the Member States, by contrast, some-size spouses would protectly not be allowed to join their spower who was granted with an extension of the state before the situation of protection (EG, EL, IE, CY, IV, LT, HL, MT, SL, and SK), their, although the humber of jurisdictions allowing for same own manages is certified with similar states allowing for same own manages is certified jurisdigificent, should be considered as direct decrimination on grounds of several receivable.

Nine EU Member States allow the same-sex partner to join the person to whom international protection is granted, although the conditions between these jurisdictions as to the precise conditions for establishing the existence of a 'durable relationship' may vary (BE, CZ, DK, DE, ES, LU, NL, FI, and UK). The situation is doubtful in four other Member States (BG, FR, PT, SE). In the 14 remaining States, same-sex partners are not granted a night to residence (BG, EE, EL, IE, IT, CY, LV, LT, HU, MT, AT, PL, RO, SI), In. at least two of the States of this group, there is differential treatment between oppositesex and same-sex partners living in a durable relationship, because only opposite-sex partners are granted the right to reunite, this constitutes direct discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation and cannot be justified (LT and SI). In the 12 other States of this group, neither opposite-sex nor same-sex partnerships give rise to a right of the partner to reunite with the sponsor who was grapted a form of international protection. These States are thus not establishing a direct difference in treatment on grounds of sexual orientation. However, while the refusal to grant residence rights to non-married partners is allowed under the Qualification Directive, the regime thus established still has to be tested against the principle of equal treatment, where, as would be the case in the overwhelming majority of cases, asylum-seekers originate from jurisdictions which do not allow for same-sex marriages. Such inability to marry, combined with the legislation. of an EU Member State, which refuses to next ummand couples in a way comparable to barried couples in its legislation reliainty to altere size an text exist of EU Member to barried couples in its legislation reliainty to altere size an text exist of EU Member States, lacks to a situation where the territy runnication regits of gay and lectain significant to a district an extra existence of the state of the size of the size

The overall situation of the EU Member States as regards the questions above is presented in the following table:

Table 3.1 Persecution on grounds of sexual orientation in the granting of asylum and family reunification rights of same-sex couples in the EU Member States

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Belgium	Sexual orientation may be a ground for recognising the status of relugae (Art. 48/3 of the Act of 15 December 1980 concerning access to the territory, residence, settlement and removal of alters, as amended).	White only spouses and partners under the egistered partnership laws of Germany, Denmark, Frahand, Iceland, Norway, Swedon or the United Kingdom, will allow for family reunification daming the procedure for the determination of refugee shalus, once that stabus is granted, the usual rules on family reunification with third-country sponsors apply
Bulgaria	Persexulien on grounds of sexual animation may be used to recognise feature of religion (Science N12294 of 30 12 2005 of the Beyonase Auguster Charles of the Section of the Section of the Section of the Section of the Sec	And 24, Pare 1, illen 14 of the Act on Foreigners in the Republic of Budgman in principle restricts the notion of family members' to operation easi spouses or portions. Although the Commission of the portions, although the Commission of the production of the Commission of and Accompanying Members of The Eur and Accompanying Members of The Eur and Accompanying Members of the State or conspiration of the State or companying the substitution of the State or companying the when such certificates will be granted to same-sex cougles.
Czech Republic	Directive 2004/3/3/C was transposed into Crach law by Act No. 165/2008, which amended the Asykum Act (Zakon o acyku). The Ministry of Interior has issued several decisions since 2005 where the well-founded free of being persecuted on the grounds of sexual crientshorn was recognised as a reason for granting asyfum and several applicants were granted asyfum. This interpretation is followed by the Supreme Administrative Court 177	Under Sec 13 (146) of the Asylum Act, the tem 'family meminers' encomposes a spouse or a pertient, the term 'partient' is defined in the Asylum Act in Sec 2 (13) as a person who can prove title, pror to the entry of the sporsor into the Carech Republic, harden entered into a registered partnership, is a, a certified stable reliabionship of same-sex partnership.

¹⁷² Decision of Supreme Administrative Ceurt of 05 10 2006, No. 2 Azs 66/2008-52, www.nsscud.cz, Decision of Suprems Administrative Ceurt of 23 11 2007, No. 5 Azs 50/2007-71, www.nsscud.cz (opened at February 19, 2008).

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Denmark	In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of the Publishor in the Position of Demokrat Anneado to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing on European Union and the Treaty establishing the Publish of the Position of the Treaty establishing the Treaty of the Treaty establishing the Treaty established	Same-sex partners are scoppine as family members in the control displant and for subdrally protection in so for that they are co-subdrally partners, on equal footing as afterent sex partners, on equal footing as afterent sex partners.
Germany	Since 1988 in the case-law, and now in Article 80 para 1, 5th sentance of the Residence Law, "Property for the Article 80 para 1, 5th sentance of the Residence Law," to the Article 80 para 1, 10 par	Under Article 11 of the Life Partnership Law a life partner is subsumed under the term 'family member' of the other life partner.
Estonia	The Act on Granting International Protection to Alterio, which implements Council Directive 2004/83/EC, while it replicates in para. 4(1) the definition of the "refugee" signalated in the Directive, does not specify whether sexual operation may constitute a ground of persecution of the individual as a member of a social group. Nevertheless the legislation should be read in conformity with the requirements of the directive in this respect.	Under 7 of the Act on Granding International Protection to Alens, spouses are enabled among the Senty members of the entage or person benefiting from subsidiary protection. However, urmarried partners, whether or not in a registered partnership, are not included.
Greece	Implementation of Directive 2004/83/EC is still pending. However, Greece applies the definition of frefugeer of the 1951 Genevo Convention on the Status of Refugees, which allows for the inclusion of sexual orientation among the grounds presecution which may lead to granting asylum.	It would seem that Greece does not recognise same-sex couples, even married or under registered partnerships, for purposes of family reunification.

See the preparatory works. Bundestag, document no. 15420, p. 91.
 Federal Court of Administration (Bundesverwaltungsgenoht), BVerwGE 79,143 (146-147).

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Spain	Lam 5/1984 of 26 March on Derecha de azilo y de la condición de refugiado (Right la Asylum and Refugee Staba) (emended by Law 9/1984 of 19 May) refers to the 1951 Cenewa Convention for the definition of the refugee, and courts anterpret this la extend to persecution on grounds of sexual onentablon.	Article 10.1 of Law 5/1984 extends the right to residence to "the refugee"s spouse, or to the partner with whom the individual has a similar relationship of affection and cohabitation".
France	France anticipated the implementation of Directive 2004/83/EC in Law 2005-1176 of 100 ecrebser 2003 amending law n° 52-883 of 25 July 1952 relative to the right of asylum Persons with a particular season deneration are recognised in case-law as forming a Social group; leading to grant the status of refugee where that group is subjected to harassment or risks criminal prosecution. This protection exherts to transessuate.	No information evaluable
Ireland	Under the Refugee Act 1996 the ground of membership of a social group as a basis upon which refugee stallus could be recognised includes social groups defined by sexual orientation.	Irish law does not recognise same-sex partners – whether married or not – as family members in the context of asylum and/or subsidiary protection.
Italy	Directive 2004/85/EC has been implemented by Legislative Decree 25/12/007. ⁵⁰ Article 8 admonwledges that persecution for belonging to a particular social group characterised by sexual orientation is to be considered as among the grounds for protection.	The Italian legal system provides family reunfication only for the spouse, without specifying if same-sex marriage is included (Art. 29 a, Legislative Decree 286/1998). Partners are not considered family members.
Cyprus	Council Directive 2004/83/EC of 28 04 2004 was transposed into Copytolia ver 2007. Via mending the exoting refugee law "Pr. Arcide 10/11/6 of the Directive was transposed in Arcide 30/11/6 of the Refugee Law, as amended "Verthalm". The administrative process appears favourable to treeling insourably claims to refugee status filed by individuals on granded on persecution due to their sexual orientation.	Unmarried partners in a stable relationship are not considered family members', since (Cyprus does freat unmarried couples in a way comparable to married couples under it was comparable to married couples under it was relating to elatins. In addition however, Cypnot authorities do not recognise same-sex manages lawfully conducted elsewhere! 'sopuses' from same-sex manages' therefore are not treated as family members in Cyprus.

Italy/Decreto logistativo 251/2007 (19.11.2007)
 Cyprus/ Refugee Law N 8(0)/2007 (02.01.2009), as amended by, inter also, Law N.112(I) of 2007
 Act 4 of the amending low N. 112(I) of 2007.

⁹⁴

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Latvia	The 2002 Asylum Low replicates the definition of 'refugee' of the Geneva Convention without specifying whether persecution on grounds of sexual orientation should lead to the recognition of the status of retugee. "I however, draft legislation currently availing adoption would make this inclusion exprisit."	Lahvian law does not recognise same-sex partners – whether married or not – as family members in the context of asylum and/or subsidiary profection
Lithuania	Arbite 10(1)(d) of the 2004 Qualification Directive was iterally transposed into national laws on 0.0 40 5000? "If can be expected therefore that persecution on grounds of sexual orientation will tead to the recognition of the status of refugee.	The Law on the Legal Status of Alens defines the family members of an asylum seeker as covering the spouse of the registered partner of the asylum seeker, as occurring already seeker so country of origin (Arbide 2). However it would seem that this would not benefit same-sex couples under existing practice.
Luxem- bourg	Luxembroug's law of 5 May 2005 on the right to apply an accomplementary tome of protection, as amended, replicates the definition of the refugee of Directive 2004-85E. Can alread extend to b persecution on grounds of sexual orientation prescribes on a special extension of the persecution on grounds of sexual orientation.	The Aghan Law defines as a family member be unmained partner of the beneficiary of international profession when that partner is engaged in a shared community of the country of congruency recognised by the country of congruency recognised by the country origin of one of the partners. However, the legislation does not allow for the fact that some countries do not recognise any originary control or registered partnership, making it impossible for the couple to substantate any long-standing officially recognised.
Hungary	Homosexuality is recognised as a valid ground for the granting of asylum, although the practice in recent years of the Bevándulási és Alampolgársági Hivetal (BÁH) [Office of Immigration and Neltonality (OIII)] to submit the asylum-secker fo psychiatric expetites constitutes a worrying development.	Act No. 30 of 2007 on asylumist in force since 01.01.2008, does not include among 'family members' the spouse of the same-sex, or the cohabiting (or registered) partner.
Malta	Malfese law ¹⁹² borrows from the 1951 Geneva Convention the definition of the 'refugee', it should be interpreted in accordance with Directive 2004/83/EC.	The Mallese Refugees Act includes the spouse among the family members, however this would not extend to same-sex spouses, nor would the (registered) same-sex partner qualify.

¹⁷⁶ Lahia/Polvéruma likums (Asylum Law) (07.03.2002), Art. 23, available at http://www.likumi.lu/doc.php?id=507218/node=KDOC (25.02.2008).

¹⁰⁰ Amendments of the Order concerning examination of asylum applications, issuing and execution of the decisions, No. 1V-169 (04 05 2007).

Hungary/2007. or LXXX. Servery (29.062007). Herematter referred to in the body text as AA.

Chapter 420 of The Laws of Mallo, Refugees Act, ACT XX of 2000, as amended by Act VIII of 2004 and Legal Notice 40 of 2005

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Nether- lands	The definition of being persecuted for reasons of membership of a particular social group in the sense of Article 14.6 the Geneva Convention includes being persecuted for reasons of sexual crientation (Vicemdelingence	Under Article 29(1)(e)(f), Altens Act, the spouse or the partner of the refugee may be granted a right of residence, without any restriction as to the sex.
Austria	Under the Asylgesetz 2005 (Asylum Act 2005), ¹⁵⁴ LGBT people are considered to be a particular social group. ¹⁵⁶ The extension of the notion of 'social group' to transgender persons was confirmed by the Federal independent Asylum Tribunal in a decision of 28 March 2006. ¹⁸⁵	Austrian legislation and practice does not treat unmarried couples in a way comparable to married couples under its law relating to aliens. Therefore, only married same-sex partners would benefit from family reunification with the LGBT person recognised as refugee or as having to be granted subseitary profetchor.
Poland	The Law on Granting Protection to Aliens on the Territory of the Republic of Poland™ refers back to the Geneva Convention for the definition of the refugee.	Article 13 Section 2 of the Law recognises the spouse among the family members authorised to reside with the refugee, withou however specifying whether this may extend to same-sex spouses.
Portugal	Law 15/1998 of 26 03 1998, which borrows from the Geneva Convention for the definition of the refugee, seems to lend steel for an interpretation including persocution or grounds of sexual crientation as a basis for the status of refugee	Article 4 of Law 1598 includes the 'spouse' among the family members granted an girld care receiver. It is uncertain wheth this would extend to same-sex spouses. It is also unclear whether same-sex posses whether would be granted the same right, difflough in Portugal, Law 7000 of 11 to 52 0001 recognises the concept of de facto durable relationships.
Romania	Romania replicated the provisions of Article 10(1) of Directive 2004/83/EC in Article 10 d) (iii) of Governmental Decision 1251/2006 approving the methodological norms for Law 122/2006 on Asylum. ¹⁸⁸	Article 2.j of Law 122/2006 on Asylum ¹⁰⁰ includes spouses among family members, however without extending this to persons living in a stable relationship outside members.

¹⁵⁵ This policy was the result of a decision by the Afdeling Rechtsprask Raad van State [Judicial Division of the Council of State] of 1981 ARRVS, 13.06.1981, no. A-2.1113, RV 1981, 5.

Ausha BGB I 2005/100, test amended by BGBI 2008/4 (14.01.2008).
 Sae, inter alsa, Austria Unabhanger Bundersay/senst/240.4790/vill/22/03, (10.05.2004);
 Ausha Unabhanger Bundessay/senst/261.1324/vill/9405. (14.07.2005). Austria/Unabhanger

Bundsessylsenat/234 1780-PV/4403 (03 12 2004)

Mushka Unabhänger Bundsessylsenat [Federal Independent Asylum Tribunal], 244 745/P-VIII/2203, desision of 23 3 2006

Poland/Ustawa z drira 13 czerwca 2003 o udzielaniu oudzoziemcom ochrony na terytonum Rzeczpospolitej Polskiej, Dziennik Ustaw (Journal of Laws) of 2006, No 234, item 1695, as amended.

Romania/ Law 122/2006 on Asylum in Romania (18 05:2006)
 Romania/ Law 122/2006 on Asylum in Romania (18 05:2006)

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Slovenia	The Zakon o medinarodn' zaščiti (inkernational Protection Act) 190 replicates the definition of the refugee contained in Directive 2004/83/EC, including the reference to sexual orientation as a ground of persecution.	Article 3 of the International Protection Act includes 'spouses' and 'extra-mantal partners in long-term relationships as defined by regulations on the right to residence of alters in Slovenia' among the family members, however this would not extend to same-sex spouses or partners
Slovakia	The Asylum Act replicated the provisions of Article 10(1) of Directive 2004/63/EC ¹⁹¹	While spouses are among the family members authorised to reside in Slovekia with the person granded international protection, this would not extend to seme-sex spouses. However, Temporary refugir may be granted to persons who were living in the same household and were fully or partly dependent on himhes."
Finland	Persecution on grounds of sexual enembation is to be considered persecution on the grounds of immembership in a particular social group within the meaning of section \$7 of the Allers Act ¹²⁸ Section 88 in turn establishes a form of subsidiary protection, which would spee to extend the student of the Allership in the protection of the activities of th	Under the sast Article "Family members' in whom an gift of residence will be recognised whom an experiment of the spouse (which extends to to individuals in registered relationships"). (If persons himp continuously in amentage-like relationship within the same household reagardees of their sex, provided that they have lived logether for all least two years or that they have and find junit custody with the same other weighty reason for it where is some other weighty reason for it.

¹⁹⁰ Slovenia/International Protection Act 111/07 (29 11 2007), Art 1

¹⁹¹ Art. 9. Slovakia/zákon 480/2002 (20 06 2002)

¹³² Art. 31a. Slovakia/zakon 480/2002 (20 06 2002)

¹³³ Explanatory memorandum to the Government proposal for the Aliens Act, HE 205/2006. Similarly, Tapio

Kuosma, Uusi ulkomaolastaki (Helsinki Lalamiesirton kustannus, 2004), p. 395.

This is expressly written down to suction 37. See also the exploratory memorandum to the Government proposal for Alens Act, HE 205/2006, p. 133 and the Act on Registered Partnerships.

Member State	Recognition of persecution on grounds of sexual orientation leading to refugee status	Recognition as family members of same- sex spouses and unmarried partners in a stable relationship
Sweden	The Allens Act (SFS 2005.716) replicates the 1951 Genevia Convertion definition of the refugee, but membors explicitly sexual orientation as a ground of persecution (section 4, paragraph 1), transpersions are included under the ruther of gender, also explicitly stated among the recognised grounds of persecution.	No information available
United Kingdom	The relevant esystem legislation of defines the relegion accordance with the 1951 Genevo Convention, which has been interpreted to cover count of the cover count of the cover count of the cover cove	The cold partner of an individual who has been granted relapse stallus may pon htm, provided the cital partnership products the cital no saydum and provided the partnership been liming together personnershy (Part 1), paragraph 2304, of the limingsteam Ruise HC 385), the same ruises are extended to partnership with the partnership with the partnership with the partnership with the partnership with partnership with the partnership with the partnership with partnership with the partnership

WK Immigration Act 1971 c 77 (28 10 1971); UK/ Immigration Act 1988 c 14 (10 05 1988); UK/ Asylum and Immigration Appeals Act 1998 c 32 (07 197 1993); UK/ Immigration and Asylum Act 1999 c 33 (11.11 1999); UK/ Nationally, Asylum and Immigration (1702); UK/ Asylum and Immigration (1702); UK/ Asylum and Immigration (Treatment of Claimants, etc); Act 2004 c 19 (22 07 2004); UK/ Immigration, Asylum and Nationally Act 2006 c 13 (30 00 2006); Immigration as 167 209.

Islam v Socretary of State for the Home Department, Regina v Immigration Appeal Tribunal and Another, Ex parts Shah (1999) 2 WILR 1015, 15999 2 AC 629

4. Family reunification

4.1. The general framework

Council Directive 2003/86/EC of 22 September 2003 on the right to tamily reunification (Family Reunification Directive')197 seeks to contribute to the harmonisation of the conditions for entry and residence of third country nationals in EU Member States. 198 It ensures that the shouse will benefit from family reunification (Art. 4/1/a). It is for each Member State to decide whether it shall extend this right also to unmarried or registered. partners of the sponsor (i.e., the person who seeks to be reunited on the territory of a Member State with members of his tamily, or with whom the latter seek to be reunited): each State may grant a right to family reunification to 'the unmarried partner, being a third country national, with whom the sponsor is in a duly attested stable long-term relationship, or of a third country national who is bound to the sponsor by a registered partnership [...], and of the unmarried minor children, including adopted children, as well as the adult unmarried children who are objectively unable to provide for their own needs. on account of their state of health, of such persons' (Art. 4/3). Art. 5/2 of the Directive adds that 'When examining an application concerning the unmarried partner of the sponsor. Member States shall consider as evidence of the family relationship factors such as a common child, previous cohabitation, registration of the partnership and any other reliable means of proof

The Family Resurfication Directive that leaves if to the Member States to choose wwither or not to elected the right to family variabilisation the numerical position of the sponsor. However, in implementing the directive Member States should take into social their obligations under the European Coverention on Human Rights, and more generally, he fundamental rights which are part of the EU legal crade in may be noted in their regard that, under the EU-Rig crade right part to many controls, where the right to regard the present or simple states and not merely a florau they may controls, where the right to regard the grades or family the would be violated in the descrete of fermity and the state of th

¹⁹⁷ OJ L 251 of 3.10.2003, p. 12

However, Denmark, Iroland and the United Kingdom do not take part in this directive.
 See for example Eur. Ct. H.R., Sen v. Netherlands, judgment of 21 December 2001, application n°

of Article 8 ECH-R²⁰⁰ – which would be the case lypically where the relationship could not of develop deswhere, for instance of use to heresomen signant hemosexuals concerned are the nationals or where they could countrie of which the individuals concerned are the nationals or where they could be activate the countries of which the individuals concerned are the nationals or where they could be activated to allow the reunification of the perfect with the sponsor, robusthatanding the terms of the Directive which leave this to the cerecordion of the State.

Where a State decides to allow for the extension of the night to family reunification to the immamed pertner and his or her children, this is without prejudice of the possibility for any other EU Member State, who does not recognise the family test in such situations, not to grant to the persons concerned the benefits of the free movement of persons, as defined by EC Daviers.

The directive should be implemented in conformity with the requirements of fundamental rights, and, in particular, without discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation ²² The tallowing sections examine the different implications of this proposition.

4.2. The extension to same-sex spouses of the family reunification rights recognised to opposite-sex spouses

A list implication is that the issume size issues over spouse of the opposer should be granted the formal properties of the opposer should be granted to one opposels-see spouse. Whether the native of properties of the EU Member States comply with this obligation is a discuss to evaluate, and the contract of the States comply with this obligation is of discuss to evaluate, and contract list of the opposer of the spouse in official to evaluate, and contract list only does not peoply whether on the opposer of the opposer on the opposer is one opposer, and because the courts have not one opposer one opposition of the opposition opposition opposition of the opposition opposition opposition of the opposition opposi

Preamble, paras 9-10.
Preamble, paras 2 and 5.

4.3. The extension to same-sex partners of family reunification rights recognised to opposite-sex partners

A second registation of the non-descrimation requirement is that it as State decides to resident the right to family reundication to unrearmed partners living in a stable long-term relationship and/or to registered partners, this should not only benefit appositive supportines. "At It the time of writing, 12 Membro States have decided to extend the right to terminity reundication to bummanie partners." Four States in living support existing support section to unumanied partners. Four States in living support existing possibility to registered partnerships (CZ^{CC}, DC, CV, LU), but eight other States allow for termini reunification on the bases of any article relationships using into a designation of the state of the state relationships. Insert to advantage of the state relationship.

As regards Ireland, there is however anecdotal evidence to the effect that exceptional leave to enter for the purpose of reunitying some-sex or unmanned appoints are partners has been granted on an ad hoc discretionanch passes by the Minister for Justice, Equality 8 Luna Richem

See Beigum / Circular of the Minister of the Network of 11 Aay 2001 concenning the documents to be safemilled in redeel to obtain as vias with the west of contributing a range in Deligium or to obtain as vias starting, reuntification on the basis of a marriage contracted abread. Under Deligium legislation, a starting reuntification of the basis of a marriage contracted abread. Under Deligium legislation, as starting to a vialid contraction of the Deligium after when the Deligium after when the Deligium after when the Deligium after when the Deligium after 10 the International Contraction of the Deligium after 10 the Deligium after when the Deligium after 10 the North adjustant of the Only the Deligium after 10 the North adjustant of the Only the Deligium after 10 the North adjustant of the Only the Deligium after 10 the Deligium after 11 the D

The converse preposition in not tun, however ill may be acceptable for the ELI Member States (as in Cyprus) in resident is same-sex couples en of the possible for the present form in the present in the base of a partnership, since opposition-sex couples in principal caught have the pecsibility in many since opposition-sex couples in principal caught have the pecsibility in many since opposition-sex couples in principal caught have the pecsibility in many since produced in the principal caught in the principal caught in a stable and drainfel relationship without principal caught in the principal caught in the present of the previous of the Alexa's Act allowant for a vasia for interfer reasons' or many caught in the previous of the Alexa's Act allowant for a vasia for interfer reasons'.

official registration (BE, BG, DK, FR, NL, FI, SE, and UK). Filteen Morther States, tomming a second group, on of provide for the adeatises of farrity recrification egible to unmanued partners (EE, EB, LE, IT, CV, IT, U, HL, MT, AT, PL, PT, RO, SI, and SK), although in some of these States this restriction can be compressed to by the possibility to jon the sportner where the partner cam prove that heights is in a position of economic or social dependency (EE, SSI, of the Order restone of a humanufaran nature (ES) This possibility is tenseven by the Transity Reunificiation Directive which city definition mornious distances, which EU Ethemore States can access (MT 3.85)." Set design restriction, consistance an interference with the night for respect for protein lets under Ande 8 ECHR which, if distractionalistics, cool result in an eight for respect for protein lets under Ande 8 ECHR which, if distractionalistics, cool results are

The Earth Sealman Seal

4.4. The extension to same-sex partners of free movement rights recognised to opposite-sex partners

A first implication of the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation in the implementation of the Firmitip Renutation Directive is first, if an EU Member decided is to graft the benefits of the provisions of EC law on the tree movement of persons for the partners of a their country institution are climiting in another Member State which that other Member State reads as family members), this may not be restricted to concretelesses namely.

²⁰⁷ These counts, it might be recalled, include DK, IE, and the UK, despite the fact that these Member

Sistes are not taking part in the Family Rounification Directive.

This is an issue which the European Count of Justice did not address in its judgment of 27 June 2006, when the Family Rounification Directive was challenged before it by the European Parlament's see Case C-54003 Parlament's Council (2006) ECRI 5/560

Freedom of assembly

5.1. The general framework

Article 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights quarantees the freedom of peaceful assembly. A few principles regarding the interpretation of this provision may be recalled. First, such freedom is not absolute. Its exercise may be regulated by the national authorities, in particular by imposing a requirement of prior notification or prior authorisation, in order to ensure that the authorities will be prepared to profect the exercise of the said right. Such requirement of prior politication should not be used as a means to exercise a control on the content of the message brought to the public should this appear to be the case, this would constitute a misuse by the authorities of their powers and the courts should have the power to annul such a decision and, perhaps, to afford compensation to the individuals apprieved. As long as the polification does not lead to such a misuse of powers, however, it is compatible with the requirements of Article 11 ECHR 209 Nevertheless, an effective remedy must be available to the organisers of a demonstration who are denied the authorisation to hold it. this requires that the competent court or other independent body before which the denial of an authorisation can be challenged can adopt a decision prior to the time the demonstration. is planned to take place.

The European Court of Human Rights has confirmed that one cose not lose the beneat of orthost of 1 of the Correction smirply because one engagistion protest against some legistation write underlang at 20° Thus, the deperture pursued by the exercise of the telestorn of assembly my incluse to enlarge in the existing legislation 2° An association seeking to promote the rights of LGST persons, for exemple, may invoke the protection exhibits of which is of the Necestronia, even in their depote long a demonstrating sit to protest greater the content of the Cerminal Code, or an existing legislative born on same-see marings. Smittley, reaction of assembly cannot be devised many because the message is considered to offiend public mortally. The European Court of Human Rights has recalled that there can be no dimonstray without pluritatin, so that treedom of expression.— which freedom of sessembly constitutes one specific form of – endeded into only to Information" or 'dissest their are foundably freezedor of respected as informative or 'dissest their are foundably recessed or signed as informative.

Eur Comm. H.R., Appl. n* 8191/78, Rossemblement jurassien et Unité jurassienne v. Switzerland, doc of 10 October 1979, D.R., 17, p. 105

²¹⁰ Eur. Cl. H.R., Oisse v. France (Appl. n° 51346/99), judgment of 9 April 2002, pora 50 (tel fait de protester poolfiquement contre une législation vis-3-vis de laquete quelqu'un se trouve en infraction ne constitue por un but législatine de resintation de la blatefe a serie de /April 21 f 8 2¹).

²¹¹ See also the Fundamental Principles on the Status of non-governmental Organisations in Europe, MM ONG (2001) 1 Rev. 3, 2 April 2012, pare. 12.

on the control of the

The one exception to the rule according to which the content of the message promoted through a public demonstration does not just the emposition of restrictions on the excerse of the freedom of precedul assembly relates to the situative excerse of such tectorin, when it is used with the aim of obstructing the excerse in rights and relations of the European Convention on Human Rights. Whether or not based deploidly on Article 17 EGHR, thus contents in production internet to barded, verticent or determination, and in the content of the extension of prounds of relation or second correlation." Thus, demonstrations against LOGT protein, when my be seen to enter cliently to harder of conternation against LOGT protein, when my be seen to enter cliently to harder of conternation against the properties of the content of the second of t

The effective excress or the good of the exception of a sensity requires that subtractive protect those exercising such revended and exception of the exception

Eur. Cl. H.R., Freedom and Democracy Party (Ozdep) v. Turkey (Appl. nº 23885/94), judgment of 8 December 1999, page 37. United Communist Party of Turkey v. Turkey judgment of 30 January 1998.

Reparts 1998-I, p. 1, para. 43-44.

213 See Eur. Ct. HR (4th sect.), Baczkowski and Others v. Poland, judgment of 3 May 2007 (Appl. no.

^{1540/09,} jonn 42 jacot fe ban of a pay parake in Visnam. p. 2009.

The masters, this case of Steen and Consors in Turning Plag for 2021/2074 and 2477764, when the property of the property of

victimisation' 215 Under Article 11 ECHR, the States parties to the Convention must protect the manifestants against the attempts by counter-manifestants to disturb the assembly or demonstration. As noted by the European Court of Human Rights. 'A demonstration may annoy or give offence to persons opposed to the ideas or daims that it is seeking to promote. The participants must, however, he able to demonstrate without tear that they will be subjected to obvisical violence by their connects; such a fear would be liable to deter associations or other groups supporting common ideas or interests from openly expressing their opinions on highly controversial issues affecting the community. In a democracy the right to counter-demonstrate cannot extend to inhibition the exercise of the right to demonstrate Genuine effective freedom of peaceful assembly cannot, therefore, be reduced to a mere duty on the part of the State not to interfere, a purely negative conception would not be compatible with the object and purpose of Article 11' 215 The duty of the public authorities in this respect, however is by no means absolute. It should be understood as an obligation of means rather than as an obligation of result, the authorities should adopt all reasonable measures which could protect the freedom of assembly, and only where it would not be possible, within reason, to ensure that this freedom can be exercised due to the threats of a counterdemonstration, could the risks entailed justify a ban 217

Similarly, he againster of an assembly must be groticed from the disruption of their manifestation by against processaries, "desting an assembly his beginner of which hey do not share with the sole purpose of ceeting distributions which could lose to the themselves of the exceed either by the cognisers or by the solarities for lower, and assembly does not lose its peechalf character simply because of the potential or real sessembly does not lose its peechalf character simply because of the potential or real presence of such procedure. With the searchly, and such a presence, therefore, does not deprive the arguments of an assembly from the benefit of Andias II ECRIP. ²⁰⁸ Althought if may develope the required from the organises that they dood presence measures to ensure the maniferance of the possibility of the possibility measures to ensure the maniferance of the possibility character of the event, this measures to ensure the maniferance of the possibility and the procedurative through present will have a challing effect and discourage the exercised of the freedom of assembly.

The duty to protect the freedom of peaceful assembly requires from the authorities more than measures of a purely legisfelive nature. The measures adopted should also include the presence of sufficient police forces acting under clear directives with respect to the

²⁵⁵ See Eur. Ct. HR (4th sect.), Baczkowski and Others v. Poland, judgment of 3 May 2007, cited above,

²¹⁶ Eur Cl. H.R., Platiform "Artze für das Leben" v. Austria judgment of 21 June 1988, para 32

Eur. Cl. HR, Olinger v. Austra, judgment of 29 June 2006.
 Eur. Comm. H.R., Appl. n° 8440/19, Christans against Racism and Fascism v. the United Kingdom, doe of 16 July 1980. DR. 21. n. 162.

conditions and means of an intervention, and provided with the appropriate equipment avoiding any interpretation of their presence as a provided with the appropriate equipment.

The material provided in the national contributions allow us to address two sets of questions, relating respectively to the conditions under which LGBT individuals or organisations may exercise their freedom of assembly, and to the possibility for the national authorities to ban demonstrations which, being directed against the LGBT community may be seen as an incidence to that evidence or destinations.

Freedom of assembly of LGBT people or organisations demonstrating in favour of LGBT rights

In general, the freedom of peaceful assembly is respected by the EU Member States. which are all bound by Article 11 of the European Convention on Human Rights compliance with which is supervised by the European Court of Human Rights. In certain, Member States nublic demonstrations are subject to prior authorisation from the authorities (BE, EL, CY, LT, LU, RO, SI, and SE). In the majority of the Member States, no prior authorisation is required, however prior notification should be given to the authorities - specifying the date and duration of the event, as well as the itinerary (marches) or the place (assemblies) - in order to allow them to adopt the necessary measures to ensure the peaceful exercise of the freedom to assemble (BG, CZ, DK, DE, FR. IT. HU. AT. PL. PT. SK. and FI).29 In the Netherlands, in principle, neither prior authorisation nor prior politication are required under the applicable Wet Openbare Manifestaties (WOM) IPublic Manifestations Activo - although municipalities are empowered to adopt byelaws requiring prior politication and in general have adopted such byelaws in order to ensure that local authorities can take the necessary measures. According to the same provisions, the authorities may not enquire about the ideas to be expressed by the planned demonstrations

Cartain problems remain, however First, on occasion, even where the legal framework for the overdise of freedom of assembly is adequate, the authorities (particularly at the local level) may impose arbitrary or disproportionate restrictions on the organisation of events in favour of LOST rights. The beas imposed in the town of Varna in Bulgana, in August 2005, are one example. Of In Remains a LOST firm sort was inhight beamed in

²⁹⁹ The national reports on which this comparative report is based are unclear as regards EE, ES, IE, MT and the UK

Sizersblad 1988, 157.
 At the time of writing, the legal procedures following the ban are still not completed.

2005, arguing that the police would be unable to protect the safety of the participants, but later authorised it. In 2006 and 2007, the authorities seem to have had a more open attitude towards gay marches, despite certain irregularities in the process of authorising them. In Poland, a ban was imposed in Warsaw on the Equality Parade which was planned to take place on 11 June 2005, ostensitily on the basis of //stawa - prawn of runhu dronowym [Road Traffic | awl²²² and after a politician had expressed distaste for the public advocacy of homosexuality. This restriction to treedom of assembly was found by the European Court of Human Rights to violate Article 11 ECHR, in the judgment it delivered on 03.05.2007 in the case of Baczkowski and others v. Poland 223 In this judgment, the ECHR also established a new standard concerning the evergise of the treedom of speech by politicians who concurrently hold administrative office. Referring to statements made by a responsible politician on his position towards gay pride marches. expressed well before the issuing of a formal decision in case of the Equality Parade, the Court stated that politicians, 'when exercising their freedom of expression... may be required to show restraint, bearing in mind that their views can be regarded as instructions by civil servents, whose employment and careers depend on their approval (pera. 98). At the time, the ban imposed in Warsew was not an isolated event in Poland. In November 2005, after LGBT groups in Poznań announced their intention to organise an Equality March, the demonstration was banned, Just like the decision in Warsaw. however, which led not only to a decision of the European Court of Human Rights, but also to a finding of unconstitutionality of the Road Traffic Law by the Constitutional Court 224 the decision was struck down by the courts. The impact of these judgments, as well as of the subsequent judgment in the Baczkowski case, has been significant. Since these developments have taken place, there have been no particular problems for the LGBT community in organising assemblies. The problems which do remain relate to the effective protection efforded by the police to those participating in LGBT events from hostile reactions, or attacks, by counter-demonstrators - a distinct issue discussed helow

Vagua or overtroad expressions used to describe the response Executive walknowless may refly upon to profit and embedding may lead to self-interest expressions such as exemption are exemption as the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the public setely, distinguish they are commonly used. "Such an interest public setely," so or public setely and decision of the municipality of Villeia; in Lilliuderia to dairy premission to the public setely, distinguish or set of the contraction of the municipality of Villeia; in Lilliuderia to dairy premission to the public setely, distinguish or which the Lilliuderia to dairy premission to the public setely. All or which the Lilliuderia to dairy premission for the public set.

Diseanak Ustaw (Journal of Laws) of 2005, Nr. 108, Rem 908, as amended.
Eur Ct. HR (4th set.); Bajezkowski and others v. Poland, application No. 1543/06, judgment of 03.05, 2007.

²²⁴ Judgment of the Polish Constitutional Court of 18 01 2005, No. K 21/05

²⁰⁵ Cycrus/ Assemblies and Processions Law CAP 32 (17.04.1958), Art. 5

For example, France / Art. 3 of the decree law of 23 October 1935 regulating measures relative to strengthening the maintenance of jubilic order amended by the orientation and programming law n°95-73 of 21 January 1985 relative to security.

League (LSJ), was participating indeed, as a result of unsuccessful flagitation by LSJ, tolkhowing its subsequent attempt to organis and LSSF were in NYLINE, the Council of the Municipatity of Vibrius made an amendment to Travitymor's Avance stalystics (Rules on Disposal and Cleanasses) Producing a provision strillight that manuscripts can return to issue approval to events (including those which fall under the scope of the Law on Assembledy) which could lead to a negative readour in society, or when there are indicatents, capticities of the same manuscript, in the produce of the samements, it reads appear in the product of the samements, it reads appear in the product of the samements, it reads appear in the product of the samements, it reads appear in the product of the samements, it reads appear in the product of the samements and the same and the

In Green, Me applicable regulations gradienters after for a ban to be imposed on demonstrations with Prizable the public orde, a notion which sundenterod capital personal to industriated greater and public sense, protein exercises and order of public sense of the common public sense of the

A second problem is that in certain cases, the authorities seem not to have ensured a sublineal profection of treatment of LGEP propier or organisations. That was the case in Latria until 2007, where organisers of gap protein in Riga had to rely on cours in order to certain relatel relates them be authorities to sense protection term her about 100 per control organisation in 100 per control 2006 in Ections, organisers of the 2007 Gay Prifer comprised to the Chanceter of Justices store account the etitation of the 2007 Gay Prifer comprised to the Chanceter of Justices store account the etitation of profess. The Chanceter concluded that attrough his requirement of the Philip Professional Vision of the Philip Challescent of the Philip Professional Vision (Professional Confession 2008).

Wilniaus savivaldybės Tarybos sprendmas dėl Tarybos 2005-01-19 Sprendmo Nr. 1-865 (Dėl Tvarkymo ir šuraos starykiu) ir dėl Tarybos 2006-07-26. Sprendimo Nr. 1-1299 (Del Tarybos 2005-01-19 sprendimo Nr. 1-655 (Del Tvarkymo ir śvaros taisyklų tvirbnimo) pakedmo ir pagidymo b2007 m. lapkinžio 14 d. Nr. 1-563.

²²⁸ Greece / Legislative decree 784/1971 which regulates public assembles (Tupi δημοσίων συνοβροίτακ», Official Gazelle, FEK A. J. 0.101/1971), and Royal decree 289/1972 which regulates the conditors under which a public assembly can be depended (Tupi σγεριστώς του κανανισμού δικολυστώς δημοσίων συνοθορίστων Official Gazette - FEK A.9.2.2004/1972).

Supreme Administrative Court – Σωβούλιο Επικρατείας – decision 957/78.

security in the guarantee participants' safety was not in itself flegal, the released of the organisers to fulfill mercularrend could not be a ground for releasing to fallow the profession to take places. The commany, the obligation of the authorities to protect the disconnistration is intelligible to instances where of thick all of the Constitution (Countypassal's) is considered to be averaged, which is the case for demonstrations conveying a political message, but not for events such as Lone Printed, which are considered morely insects profess with no political content. ²⁷ The results in that the organisers of such paradic have to cover the costs of the protection they are provided, related on the large a day of the provided mental profession to the organisers of a folly their in July 2007, which was severed destinated by evolent advanced a counter-demonstrations.

While the requestly used regulated to the three three

Finally, it may constatue a good practice for States to provide in their clamatic legislation for an expaint diagition imposed on the authorities to protect the exercise of treadment of sesembly. ⁵² and for sendence on those who daugst such exercise of their treadment of sesembly, ⁵² by others ⁵² the later type of provision, however, is difficult to draft adequately and to exply in practice, since under the European Convention on the thread the sendence of the provision of the sendence of the senden

Estonia/Diguskantalen kantselei (98 2007) 'Soorbus diguspärasuse ja hea halduse tava järgmiseks' [Recommendation to observe legality and good governance'], leiter to politiselprefield [Police Prefect]. Ratvo Kulf. p. 13.

Federal Constitutional Court (Bundesverfassungsgericht), decision of 12th July 2001, case no.: 1 BvQ 2801 and 18xQ 30.01.

A similar, abelit hal identical, definction is made in Sweden under the tine Public Order Act (1993:1617, Ordeningslagen) events which are purely extentioning in purpose, rather than those which express a specific message, are less strongly protected against restrictions
For example, see in the Netherlands Massinitial Regional Court, 22 03 2001, US 2001/104

For mancine, in Fraind, under section 19 of the Assembly Act (Indocenturalise) (SSS/1990)) (II in Bespecific day) of the police to subsquare the eventure of the Tendern of assembly in Specific day) of the project to subsquare the eventure of the Tendern of assembly in Specific day of the Organic Law 9/1933 adjustes that The authority shall protect the consembles and demonstrationary against those who intell as used, distance of rich to legal eventure of this regist alone Aries 11; heregary Frostenian of Assembly Act (1980) and 3 torvery (AP 1990), Aries 22, and alone 41; the Project Act (AP 1990), Aries 22, and AP 1990 and AP 1990

See The Control of the Control of

messages, the national authorities are not expected to ban one of the messages in outer to allow the other message to be heard instead, they are to create the conditions ensuring that both demonstrations can take place without either being d'arupted, where this can be done without imposing on the authorities a disproportionale burden, for instance an excessive message and notes ²⁶

Demonstrations against LGBT people constituting an incitement to hatred, violence or discrimination

Most EV Member States provide in their domestic legislation for the possibility of bearing demonstrations within date for street, extended and extended and extended in a constraint on any quantities sessual cerebation. The most States, this possibility results from the easterned of a provision, contained either in legislation graphility results from the easterned of a probability results from the contained of the contained of the contained of the contained of probability in celebrate of the contained of the contained of the contained of the contained contained on the contained of the contained on the cont

In cartier cases, reference to sousid recentions as explicit. For restance, in Span, Artificial ST 60 of the Chimad Godd provides had consocial feely to inside decimination, heart or source of the control of the co

By contrast, general references to inditement to hatred, violence or discrimination, even when not limited to such inditement based on ethnicity, religion or nationality (which would not allow extension to inditement to hatred, violence or discrimination against 108T people—i.e. In homopologic demonstrations) and extended to 'social groups' or to

Eur. Ct. HR, Ollinger v. Austria, judgment of 29 June 2006.

No such possibility seems to exist, however, in Estoria, and possibly too in Matta in these States, the protection of freedom of seembly for pro-LGST rights activist is in therefore significantly seeker, since such freedom will be considered on more worthy of preciotion that that exceeded by counter-demonstrators, including when the latter should arti-pay hostific stagers or promote a message which incrites to be therefore demonstrators.

'a part of the population', 28 risk being interpreted restrictively, in tayour of freedom of expression, even in situations where the homophobic content of the message of the demonstration is beyond doubt.

Of course, the more existence in comestic law, particularly in criminal law, of provisions promibing inclinema to harder divisions of documentation, do not ensure that altitudes will effectively rely on such legislation, when necessary. In Romania, the co-called Normally Marches (an institute of the Conservative Perky, in cooperation with the Romanian Offinodox Church and extern inghlaving groups) have routinely been authorised, altitude, pilet yet and extern inghlaving groups) have routinely been authorised, altitude groups and externation of side and externation and violence algeriant brancisculars in authorities have not applied gestion criminalize goals and an in Newtonian to, the policy have reconsively been applied to the control of public code, particularly in statistics where nother one otherwise, were authorised to hid demonstrations.

²⁸ For example, section 118 of the Enrish Penal Code.

Criminal law

6.1. The general framework

A considerable degree of convergence exists between the ELI Member States regarding criminal lew combating reasons and xenophobies, due to developments in international human rights lew, both under the United Nations system and within the Council of Europe. Thus, Antide 20(2) of the International Coverant on CNVII and Particle Rights provides that Yang obsciously continued a least an extra description of provides that Yang contained to the contraction of provides that Yang contained to descript of provides that Yang contained to descript or violence shall be prohibited by law. All the ELI Member States are becaused this lice instrument.

In addition, all the EU Member States are parties to the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, Article 4 of which imposes an obligation a) to 'declare an offence punishable by law all dissemination of ideas based on racial superiority or hatred, incitement to racial discrimination, as well as all acts of violence or incitement to such acts against any race or group of persons of another colour or ethnic origin, and also the provision of any assistance to racist adivities including the financing thereof. b) to outlaw all organisations promoting such ideas and to make it a comical act to be a member of such organisations; and c) not to nemit public authorities or public institutions to promote or incite racial discrimination. Other studies have documented how the EU Member States have implemented these provisions in their national legal order 28 At the level of the Council of Furone, the Convention on Othercrime of 23 November 2001 and its Additional Protocol of 28 January 2003 concerning the criminalisation of acts of a racist and xenophobic nature committed through computer systems as well as the General Policy Recommendation No. 7 by the European Commission against Racism and Intelerance (ECRI) of the Council of Furone³⁴⁰ also constitute key instruments in combating racism and xenophobia The ECRI General Policy Recommendation No. 7 in particular recalls the essential minimal requirements of national legislation for combating racism and racial discrimination. It addresses not only racial discrimination, but also other legal aspects of measures to combat racism such as, for instance, the public expression of racism and incitement to racism, racist organisations and racially-motivated offences

See EU Network of Independent Experts on Fundamental Rights, Opinion n° 5-2005. Combising Razism and Xenophobia through the Criminal Law. The Situation in the EU Member States, 21 Neurobiscs.

European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) — General Policy Recommendation No. 7 of 13 December 2002 on National Legislation to Combat Racism and Decembation. CRI (2003) 8.

This section of the report examines whether a similar degree of convergence exists as regards combeting homophobia either through the criminal law or through other legal means. The case of racism or xenophobia is instructive, nevertheless, for two reasons, First, the experience of combelling racism and vencobobia through the criminal law has led to a clear consensus about the compatibility of such measures with treedom of expression, as protected under Article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights or, at regional level, under Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights. Article 4 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms. of Radial Discrimination makes a reference to the Universal Declaration on Human. Rights undesting that in the view of the drafters at the Convention, this provision was fully compatible with the requirement of freedom of expression, stipulated under Article 19 of the Declaration, and after the ICERD was adopted in Article 19 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. The compatibility of the prohibition with the right to treedom of expression has also been confirmed by the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination. Reterring also to Article 20(2) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which imposes on the States parties an obligation to outlaw propaganda for war or any advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes ingitement to discrimination, hostility or violence, the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination notes in its General Recommendation XV, that "the prohibition of the dissemination of all ideas based upon racial superiority or hatred is compatible with the right to freedom of coinion and expression. This right is embodied in Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human. Rights and is recalled in Article 5 (d) (viii) of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination. Its relevance to Article 4 is noted in the article itself. The citizen's exercise of this right carries special duties and responsibilities, specified in Article 29, paragraph 2, of the Universal Declaration, among which the obligation not to disseminate racist ideas is of particular importance²⁴¹

Indeed, the European Court of Human Rights has considered that the States parties to the European Court of Human Rights has considered that the States parties to I SCHR, which guizontees freedom of expression, write implementing their collipsions under Article 4 (CERT) Certains States have considered if necessary when notifying the ICERTO be enter reservations on Article 4 of this instrument, which refer to the conclisions of the incommon control of the collisions or in the confidence of the collisions or in the confidence of the collisions or in the confidence of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions or the collisions of the collisions of

Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination, General Recommendation XV on Article 4 of the Convention, adopted by the Committee at its forty-second session (1983)(doc. A4878), in Compilation of the general comments or general recommendations adopted by Juman rights treety bodies, UNIX

HRUGEN/IRev 7, 12 May 2004, at 207, at para. 4

Eur Cl. HR, Jarrald v. Dommark judgment of 23 September 1994, at § 30 (the Court takes the view that the opinion that this interpretation of Addict 0 of the European Convention in the present case is compatible with Dommark's obligations under the UN Convention?).

association.²⁴ Such reservations, however, serve little purposes Precedem of expression may be restricted by proportionate means, it the ends are legitimate and it the measures imposing such restrictions are compatible with domestic legislation, and are sufficiently accessible and clear, allowing any citizen to know which limits may be imposed in the severage of their referration of expression.

Furthermore, freedom of expression cannot be involved by individuals or groups whose objective is to identify the night and redeems of others by execting such freedom. This is stated in Anticle 30 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and in Anticle 5(1) of the International Coversal on Colo and Pothical Rights Anticle 17 of the European Convention on Human Rights also states that no provision in that instrument may be interpreted as implicitly as given and present any first deragate in many activity or perform any activity or perform any activity or perform any activities of a perform any activities of a perform any activities of the international or a peace selected than is provised for in the Convention (in so tax is all concerns individuals, the Court reads this provision as a smed at a mixing in approaches for them to deverted on the Convention of the state of the convention of the contract of the contract of the contract of the convention of the contract of the

²⁰ See in parforal the reservolvion or declaration made by Austin. Belgium, Belgium, Belgium selled out field yet when dishings the Conservation in the Elimination of Allina or Recoal Elicitation. These statements entrapeates the reportance althorists to be bed to Mexic 4 of the CEGIT provides to the in measures bed own in subappropriate) (a)); and of the conservation and selled minimate the provides of the measures bed own in subappropriate (a)). The conservation is subappropriated to the Convention and weight herefore consider that the collegation improved by Affect 4 CEGIT and the reconcised with the pilot 1 feedband of provided the conservation and the pilot 1 feedband or provided agreement and the self-the entraped to the conservation and the pilot 1 feedband or provided agreement and the self-the entraped to the conservation and the pilot 1 feedband or provided the conservation and the self-the entraped to the self-the e

See And 1(15) of the Intermisense Concent on Cale and Prisical Right, as well as Hamm Right Committies, Careard Careard 111 Acids 2(15); in Complication of the governed comments are Committed, Careard (15); in Complication of the governed comments are commented to the Careard Intermised Comments (15); in Careard 15, and the Careard Intermised Comment (15); in Careard Intermised Comment (15); in Careard Intermised Commented Cody and Prising Seep Right, See Careard Intermised Cody and Prising Seep Right, See Careard Intermised Cody and Prising Seep Right, See Careard Intermised Cody and Careard Intermised Cody and Prising Seep Right, See Careard Intermised Cody and Prising Seep Right, See Careard Intermised Cody and Car

Eur. Ct. HR, Lawless v. Ireland, judgment of 1 July 1961, Senes A no. 3, p. 45, § 7.

to the values of the Convention, for instance racials harted or discrimination. ²⁶ Thus, the foot Court has considered that, file any other remark directed against the values undergraded the the Convention, the justification of a pro-Naio policy could not be directed to eight the protection afforded inside white if 0 and with third or 3 and with their size is calcularly prof (pulled with statement feets—such as the Holocaust—whose negation or revision would be removed from the profession of Article 10b Articles 10b. Articles 17 ²⁶

A second reason why the comparison with racism and xenophobia may be useful for the discussion of a legal framework sanctioning homophobia relates to the requirement of effectiveness. Article 4 (a) of the ICERD requires that States parties penalise four categories of misconduct. (i) dissemination of ideas based upon radial superiority or hatred. (ii) incitement to racial hatred. (iii) acts of violence against any race or group of persons of another colour or ethnic origin; and (iv) ingrement to such acts 268. The Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Recial Discomination insists that 'To satisfy these obligations. States parties have not only to enact appropriate legislation but also to ensure that it is effectively enforced. Because threats and acts of racial violence easily lead to other such acts and generate an atmosphere of hostility only immediate intervention can meet the obligations of effective response 26 in the examination of individual communications submitted to the Committee, it also could not accept the claim by a State party that 'the enactment of law making racial discrimination a criminal act in itself represents tull compliance with the obligations of States parties under the Convention 200 indeed this implies that the freedom to prosecute criminal offences (expediency principle principe d'opportunité) while in principle acceptable 'should be applied in each case of alleged racial discrimination in the light of the guarantees laid down in the Convention 251 Indeed, this requirement may also be imposed under Article 6 of the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial

See in particular Glimmenven and another v. the Netherlands, Commission decision of 11 October 1979, Decisions and Reports (RR) 18, p. 198, and Peter Measis v. France, Commission decision of 24 June 1996, DR 86, p. 184, Eur. Ct. HR, Lehideux and Isom v. France, judgment of 23 September 1988, Reports of Judgment's and Decisions 1988-VII, pares. 47 and 53.

Eur Cl. HR, Lehideux and Isemi v. France, judgment of 23 September 1998, Reports of Judgments and Decisions 1998-VII. para. 53

Committee on the Elmination of Recist Discrimination, General Recommendation XV on Article 4 of the Convention, adopted by the Committee at its forty-second session (1993)(doc. AM8/18), in Compilation of the general comments or general recommendations adopted by human rights treaty bodies, UN doc. HRIGGS/WIRAY 17 July 2014, 2017 at Inc.

Committee on the Elmination of Racial Discrimination, General Recommendation XV on Article 4 of the Convention, adopted by the Committee at his forty-second session (1993)(doc. A44/18), in Compilation of the general comments or general recommendations adopted by human rights treaty bodies, UN doc HRIVGEN/URAV 7, 12 May 2004, in 207, et page 2.

Committee on the Elimination of Raida Discrimination, L.K. v. the Netherlands, communication of 491, para, 6.4 (Insufficit investigation and procedure) of a case of a legad incident to radial discrimination and to act of vivilence assigned receives of another colour or ethers onein.

²⁵¹ Committee on the Elmination of Racial Discrimination, Ylimaz-Dogan v. the Netherlands, communication of 1/1984, viveus of 10 August 1987, and Committee on the Elmination of Racial Discrimination. L.X. v. the Notherlands. communication of 1/401 care. 6 or 1/401.

Discrimination, guaranteeing 'effective protection and remedies' to the victims of racial discrimination.

A State will therefore be considered in volation of its obligations under this latter provision, if the investigation into integration of integration of integration into integration of integration into integration of integration into integration of integration into integration of integration of persons of another occurred many integration in Antide (a)) of the formation integration in Antide (a)) of the integration integration in Antide (a)) of the integration integration in integration in integration in integration in integration in integration in integration integration in integration integration in integration integra

in afterriging such a comparison, two issues are examined. The following solution locks at the defendent of hompshole as a criminal offence (in the form clusterent to harder, violence or discrimination against LOST people), or whether the EU Member States have used other instruments in order to protect LOST from what might be called verbal associal or abuse in practical, will level provisions on detentione or fellor, or criminal provisions subject to private prosecution. A separate section focuses on homephobic intention as on approximation promissions subject to private prosecution. A separate section focuses on homephobic intention as on approximation grantspace in the commission of other officences.

²⁰ Sec Committée en the Elimination of Restal Destinataion. Altanó vi Domans's communication n' 1400 (faiture y Domant ès mursiples and prosecute distribuye en alégal instance of recol destinacion.— En author habben essaded en the grander of his solvicio et efficir, origin — under sec 2586 of the Committé desse the Committée mont buil 17 ties produce en origin — under sec 2586 of the Committée desse the Committée mont buil 17 ties price envolver de l'exe card de discordanced their exvesigations, it emplish have been established whether the suther had indeed been insuffée de massil aground's (pass 8.2).

6.2. Combating homophobia through the criminal law or through other means

In 12 EU Member States (8E^{ID}), Dix^{ID}, Diz^{ID}, EZ^{ID}, EZ^{ID}, EZ^{ID}, R^{ID}, R^{ID}, R^{ID}, R^{ID}, N^{ID}, N^{ID}, R^{ID}, R^{ID}

- Belgium / Article 22 of the Arti-discrimination Act (2007) (making it a crime to publicly incite to discrimination, hatred or victions against a pression on the basis of one of the protected orbina, including sexical contrabition, or to make to descrimination, hatred, victioner or progregation against a group, a
- community or its members on the same grounds).
- Denmark J Section 266 b (1) of Straffeloven (Darish Criminal Code).
- 255 Germany / Article 130 of the Criminal Code 256 Estona / Article 151 of the Criminal Code
- Spain / Article 510 of the Criminal Code
- France / Title III of Law n°2004-1486, Arts. 20-21
 Prehand / Prohibition of Incitement to Hathed Act 1989 (although face-to-face abuse or 'drive-by aboutines' are not covered by the locististion unless they can be constitued as likely to struct or incite.
- ²⁰ Lifturario / Arcitic 170 of the Criminal Code, Latitures Respublicas Busidiamojo todeisto palvidrimo e papalviene palvidrama Busidiamonas decideasco Oficiala placitationa Valvitipia. 2016. pp. 300, bt. 199-2141 (sessibile in Ultrusiran et http://www.br. latipia/refrot/discipienta ethodeisc. Phy. jed-314141 (1402.2088); jed-34141 (1402.2088); jed-4514 (1402.2088); jed-4614 (1402.2088);
- http://www3.lrs.hipis/inter3/dokpareaka.showdor. Pp_id=286382 (14.02.2008))

 ***Articl 137c of the Dutch Penal Code outlaws addressher on grounds of (coming others) hatero- or homosexual orientation, Art. 137d of the Penal Code outlaws public incidement of hatred, discrimination
- or visitent action against persons on the grounds of sexual crientation.

 Since the amendments introduced in 2007 to Article 240 of the Cerninal Code Portugal/Codigo Penal (23° afteração) Lei n° 59/2007 (04 09 2007), available at http://www.che.ubodftsdam/2007/09/170000618106/258 PDF (15 02 2005).
- « Artica 117 of the Criminal Code, insented in 2006 in order to incrimate inclament to descrimantal order and of determination actioned by the Article Article (and a second order of the Article Article (and a second order) and order of the Article Article (and a second order) and article (and article (and article article (and article art
- senctioning of all forms of discrimination (30.08.2000)

 In Sweden, the criminal provisions on hale speech are found in the two constitutional Freedom of Press (Tryckfrithetsforordningen) and Freedom of Speech (Yttrandefrinesgrundlagen) Acts and in the Criminal Code (Caselor 16 nors a (Brothbacker 16 A).
- Color Unaper To para o (prossessiver to 6.).
 In Northern Intelland, Part III of the Public Ocder (Northern Ireland) Order 1987, after it was amended by the Criminal Austice No. 2 (Northern Ireland) Order 2004, eminalises acts intended or likely to str up harted or amuse foco on orannas of sexual contentation.

offenose of stiming up hatered against persons on religious glounds⁵⁵to cover hatered on the grounds of sexual orientation.⁵² In Scotland, the Sentencing of Offencos Aggravated by Prejudicio (Scotland) Bill infloational by Green MES⁵²⁰ Patrick Harvie, with support from the government.⁵⁵⁰ would allow homophobic hate speech to be prosecuted as a breach of the peces contraviet by sexual correlation rejudicio.

The total number of Member States where an explicit currinal effence of insterned to harbed, volence or desimalisation or ground of sessiol orientation exists may breaffer in the future be finitelen. This does not include the specific case of harassment in the workplace, which under the Empfoyment Equality Directive should be treated as a form of discrimination and should be subjected to effective, proportionate and dissussive searcies, which may be of a craimant alternal willharph have performed and existence which the proposition of the protection with the conflict of emptoyment, may consolate harassment signers which LGST protects must be protected useful for seal directive, this condition is not selected unables with the seal directive, this condition is an experience of the protection will be selected unables.

In addition to having an exploit oriminal law provision on inclinent to harbed or discommention against LOST people, extens offised or this group have other, more general provisions in the criminal flow which can serve a similar purpose, where the requirements for religion on specific provisions are not satisfied in literal for instance, have specified by the provision of the criminal state of the Chimmal Justice (Rable Jordey Add 1954 which makes threstellang basishes or installing behaviour in a public place an offerce in the United Kingdom, the common law offerine of breach of the person, as well as a range of statution yublic order and harbassment offeriors – personal provision of the provision of the provision of the which is the provision of the provision of the which is the provision of the provision of the Well some things of Well some things of Well some Well some well as Well some Well some

In 12 other Member States, by contrast, have speech against LGBT people - in, a functional to have violence or described violence or described and spatial CBT people - in and evaluately stated to the spatial contrast of th

³⁹⁸ UK/ Public Order Act 1986 c.64 (07.11.1986). Part 3A.

³⁵⁷ UK/ Draft Criminal Justice and Immeration Bill. clause 126 and Schedule 26

²⁰⁰ Member of Scotlish Parliament

²⁰⁰ See comments of Justice Secretary Kenny MacAskill, available at

http://www.equalityhumannights.com/en/newsandcomment/Pages/HatecrimelegistationinScotland.aspx (13.02.2008)

²⁰ See, respectively, UK/Anti-Social Behaviour Act 2003 c 38 (20 11 2003), UK/ Anti-Social Behaviour (Northern Ireland). Order 2004 (27 07 2004), and UK/Antisocial Behaviour etc. (Socialand) Act 2004.

asp 8 (26.07.2004).

211 Law 927/1979 (FEK A 139, 28/06/1979) only incriminates hate speech based on racial origin, nationally and tissue a modification infractused in 1984) reteion.

general provisions which, while drafted with hate speech against certain ethnic groups in mind, are sufficiently general in formulation to protect LGBT people from similar forms of speech aimed at providing hatred, violence or discrimination against them (Art. 47(b)). 48(f) 51 and 51A). In the Czech Republic, the Criminal Code provision on the crime of increment to national and racial batted (Sec. 198a) stigulates that a person who nublidy incites batted of another nation, ethnic aroun, race, religion, class or another group of people or publicly incites the restriction of their notits and freedoms shall be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of up to two years. In Finland, chapter 11, section 9 of the Penal Code provides that 'a person who spreads statements or other information. among the public where a certain race, a pational, ethnic or religious group or comparable group is threatened, defemed or insulted shall be sentenced for incitement against a population group to a tine or to impresonment for at most two years' - a formulation which is generally considered to include LGBT people. In Hungary, similarly Article 269 of the Penal Code²⁷² is generally interpreted to include LGBT people among the 'groups of society' against whom no speech stirring hatred may be directed although, under the restrictive judicial interpretation given to this provision, criminal liability would be found only it 'stirring up hatred' prompts direct and immediate violent action. Luxembourg is in a similar position. In Poland. Article 212 of the Criminal Code. may form the besis for prosecuting individuals whose statements discredit certain. persons or arouns of persons in the face of multic opinion 273 In Slovakia. Articles 359 and 421 of the Criminal Code make it a criminal offence to threaten, harm, or resort to violence against a 'group of people' (Art. 359), or to support an organisation seeking to destroy the fundamental rights and freedoms of others (Art. 421).

By context, in Austria (Section 283 of the Criminal Occes¹¹), Bulgaria (Art. 182 and 184 of the Criminal Occes¹¹), a through in this country, hale speech targeting LGBT people could less to administrative sanctions imposed by the Equality Commission (PROM). — It lies / Article 3. Logge [Lang 564/195], ¹¹ and Matia (Section 282 of the Criminal Code and sect of of the Pross Act¹¹), costing calmed layer prosses against hate speech are explicitly restricted to the protection of groups other than LGBT, making an extension of the protection of the layer LGBT districts on eversions.

²² Hungary/1978 évi IV lörvény (31.12.1978).

⁷⁷⁷ This was flustrated by a case in which, after councilors, members of Prawe I Sprawlediwost (the Low and Austice Party), compared hemosexuality with psedspinia, necrophita and zeophita, in a debate of Novomber 204 concerning the Equality Parade, four lesstens files a private bill of indetment On 04 99 2006 the contres entered or this activation in the course of the Inal before the Sustant Court in

Peznan

214 Austria / Strafgesetzbuch [Criminal Code], BGBI1974/50, fast amended by BGBI I 2007/112
238 12 2007

²⁷⁵ Bulgaria/Hassatereне кодекс [Criminal Code], Art. 162, para 1 and Art. 164 (2 April 1968, with numerous amendments: the latest one from 19 December 2006).

²⁷⁶ Italy/Legge 654/1975 (13.10.1975) 277 Chapter 248 of the Laws of Mata

In addition, apart from criminal law provisions, protection may be sought under the civil law in order to combet homophobic speech. Article 17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights provides that 'No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful affacks on his honour and regulation. The Human Rights Committee considers that it follows from this provision that States must protect honour and reputation through the law, and that provision must also be made for everyone effectively to be able to protect himself against any unlawful affacks that do occur and to have an effective remedy against those responsible 29 All FU Member States accordingly provide for the possibility for the victim of defamation or libel, to seek damages in civil suits, whether independently or in combination with the prosecution for the corresponding offences 279 in Finland for instance, a victim of hate speech may be entitled to obtain damages under the Tort Liability Act (vahingonkorvaustaki (412/1974) as amended e.g. by law 509/2004)]. Chanter 5, section 6 of the Act stigulates that a person is entitled to compensation for suffering where, inter alia, (i) his/her private life has been infringed by means of an act punishable under law. (ii) he/she has been discriminated against by means of an act punishable under law, or where (iii) his/her dignity has been purposefully or out of gross negligence seriously injured. Therefore compensation for suffering may be obtained where criminal acts as defined in the provisions of the Penal Code relating to bate speech - chanter 24 sections R and 9 and chanter 11 section 9 - or where discrimination as defined in chapter 11, section 8 or chapter 47, section 3 are at stake. A victim is entitled to damages even where the perpetrator has not in fact been charged with any of the above-mentioned offences 293

An intermediary category between hate speech provisions in the criminal law and the introduction of civil actions for defarmation or libel, are the criminal offences subject to private prosecution – i.e., which will only be prosecuted on the basis of a complaint of the victim. In Austria, thus the element Palehitishum (filled) is requisited in Section 115 of the victim. In Austria

²⁷⁹ Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 18" The right to respect of privacy, family, home and correspondence, and protection of honeur and regulation (Art. 17) is April 1988), page. 11.

For protection of the institut and regulation of the individual, or protection from invasion of principal countries. Author Districtivelying Denial 2 is side only ext. 1250 Registerines (2015) Registerin

See HE 167/2003 vp, p. 54 The situation was interpreted differently before the amendment of the Tort Liability Act in 2004, see e.g. Helsinix Court of Access 30 6 2005, case no. 2327.

the Criminal Code²⁸¹ and is - according to Section 117 of the Criminal Code - such a Privatank/agedeit/f⁶⁰².

Homophobic motive as an aggravating factor in the commission of criminal offences ('hate crimes')

Ten ELI Member States consider homopolable intent as an agravating latera in common centre (E.E. N. E. S. F. N. R.*9. F. P. A. D. F. S. K. N.)** The routdess the Unified Kingdom. Although a distinction should be made in line State between England and Wase and Notherine intent on the one hand, and Scotland. On the Other hand in England and Wales, section 156 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003 extended existing hand-pare studying agreements to include sexual centrations. The provision care made reflect in April 2005. In Northern Intend. Art 2 of the Criminal Justice No. 2 (Northorn Jessel) Conference State S

Among the States of this group, a turther sub-division can be made between States in which homophobic motivation is an apprayating groupstance for all offences (such as

³⁹¹ G. Bertel, K. Schwaighofer (2008) Oesterreichisches Straftecht. Besonderer Teil §§ 75 bis 168b SIGB,

Vienna New York: Springer, pp. 138-143.

20 C. Bertel, K. Schwaighofer (2008) Cealernichtisches Straffecht: Besanderer Teil §§ 75 bis 168b SIGB, Wenna New York: Sciencer, pp. 144-147.

²⁰⁰ In the Netherlands, although nother the Penal Code nor the Welthout van Strukerdering (Code of Grining Proceed) growth for homesphole resolutions an an agraywishing butter in extending, since December 2007 lee Anavegrag Discriminable pleakudon on Discriminable (2007/k0/10) of the Public Prosociation Service do recommend of this public processor are the level of sentencing requested where the offence is committed with a discriminatory relieful.

Crimmal Justice No. 2 (Northern Ireland) Order 2004. No. 1991 (N.I. 15) (28.09.2004)

A roord spoot, prepared for the Equality Commission for Hardman Indianal ECON) and the Equality Authority (EA) in Stendy discribed invitation beload to Sempols beload center on one of the "violable legislative successor that have resulted from the patients/service and pulse by the stitutiony equality day or control is section." Set the bentherm beload AR INSS Set at Visitat, October, B. Expandic and U. Harsson (2007) Exabiting Lockano, Cop and Discount Individuals to Access their highly device of the Copy of the Set and Copy of the Copy

DK (Section 81 no. 6 of Stratteroven³⁶⁷), ES (Article 22(4) of the Penal Code³⁸⁸), FR (Article 132-77 of the Penal Code), RO (Article 75(1), point c. of the Criminal Code), FI (chapter 6, section 5 of the Penal Code), or SE (Ch 29 § 2 of the Criminal Code)), and those in which only a defined set of criminal offences follow this regime. Within the latter category. Portugal provides for homophobic intent as an aggravating factor in the commission of homicide, assault and severe assault (Articles 132 and 145 of the Criminal Code). In Belgium, homophobic motivation constitutes an aggravating factor for a large number of common crimes, including rape, assault, manslaughter, murder, criminal pedicience stalking arson detamation and stander desecration of graves vandalism etc

In 15 other States, homophobic intent is not an apprayating droumstance in the commission of criminal offences (BG, CZ, DE, EE, EL, IE, IT, CY, IT, IU, IV, MT, AT, SLSK). However, a distinction should be made between the States in which the notion. of 'hate crimes' is known, but does not extend explicitly to crimes committed with a homophobic motive (being restricted, in general, to crimes committed with a racist or xenophobic intent, or using only general formulations) (CZ_DE_LV_MT²⁸⁹_AT_SK²⁹⁰). and States to which the notion of 'hate crimes' is entirely unknown 201 In the States belonging to the first category, an extensive interpretation of the existing provisions on hate speech may, in certain cases, be envisaged, in order to cover also homophobic intent among the 'aggreyating dircumstances' in the commission of criminal offences, as is the case in Austria²²² and in Germany ²⁵⁹ In Ireland, homophobic motivation may be dealt with at the sentencing stage of the criminal process, but statutory sentencing guidelines dealing with this do not exist and this is left to the appreciation of the courts Luxembourg is in a similar position

inserted into the Criminal Code by Act No. 218 of 31 March 2004

But see also in the specific context of the Law 49/7007 of 26 December, established the offences and sanctions recording equal opportunities, non-discrimination and universal accessibility for disabled people Article (6(4)(e) which approvates the sentences when the author has been motivated by the sexual orientation of the victim (Spain / Lev 49/2007 de 26 disjembre sobre el regimen de infracciones y sanciones en matena de igualdad de oportunidades, no descriminación y accesibilidad universal de las personas con discapacidad)

³⁹⁰ Criminal Code, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, Section 251D 200 Art. 160 of the Criminal Code.

²⁹¹ In the following States, the situation is unclear, CY, EE, EL, IT, LT and SI.

²⁹² Section 33 para 1 of the Criminal Code.

²⁰⁰ In Germany, it is a general principle that the muturation of the perpetrator can already be considered in the centext of sentencing in accordance with Article 48 para. 2 of the Criminal Code. However, there are considerations about introducing hate crime as a separate criminal effence.

Transgender issues

The situation of transgender people may be defined across two dimensions. First, transgender people about the proficied term discrimations. Second, the legal rights of transsessuals must be recognised as regards the conditions imposed for the exquisition of of a different pension. The childra's recognised on the pender expected following pension reassignment, and their ability to marry a person of the gender exposed to their postoperative gender in the following sections, belies suited as recommend, by presenting the approach adopted in EU law and in interrelateral human rights law, and by committing whether and how the demantic fligiblations of the EU Member Bullets corply with this whether and how the demantic fligiblations of the EU Member Bullets corply with this properties.

7.1. The requirement of non-discrimination

In the obsence of a specific prohibition of discrimination on grounds of transperiodnem, sour protection, can be afforded either under persuré equality desuises, not bissing begrands of discrimination or institut a purple exemptative (i.e., non limitative) lat of grounds, of through the prohibition of discrimination on grounds of sex or season consistion, where clauses addressing specifically such forms of discrimination east. In the transevinor EU, two, howeve approximation discrimination easts in the transevinor tellural implications about the ability for the European Union to adopt measures against this form of discrimination on grounds of transgenderman is seen as a discrimination of grounds of size of sexual denterlation, the containing instruments with implicant the practice of capital framement between man admits protection of the containing instruments with implicant the practice of capital framement between the admits a containing instruments which implicant the practice of capital framement between the admits a containing instrument and containing instruments are used only the protection of EU size which they will not benefit from them one settineity and clausely the protection of EU size which they will not benefit from them one settineity the decipion of EU size and inquisitive instruments.

In the 1996 case of P. v. S. and Cornwall City Council, the European Court of Justice took the view that, "in view of its purpose and the nature of the rights it seeks to safeguard", the 1976 Directive on equal treatment between men and women in

²⁹⁵ See Council Directive 2004/113/EC of 13 Detember 2004 implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women in the occess to and supply of goods an ervierce, OLL 13/2, 11 t. 22004, p. 37, and Directive 2006/5-4/EC of the European Parlament and of the Council of 5 July 2005 on the implementation of the principle of organ opportunities and organ treatment of men and wemon's missters of employment and occuration freeders. OLL 13/4 of 37, 2006. p. 23 (Research Clerkoff Directive).

employmen⁽²⁾ should be interpreted widely in order to afford a protection against a decimination to a process of the anomal of the temporal contract of the anomal of the word be undergoing or procedure, including an operation, for gender reassignment (pow 20). ²⁰ The Court or anomal order contract or anomal order reassignment (be losed, essentially to not exclusively, on the sex of the person concerned. Where a person is dismissed on the contract word order the contract order or the sex of the person concerned. Where a person is dismissed on the sex of the sex o

This case law his been codiment on more needs cases. In K.B. w MSP, Pearsions Applicable This process the process of the proce

In a judgment it delivered on 27 Art 2008,²⁰ the European Court of Justice considered that a transsecular worker had the right to collect her precision as a women although she was born as a main. It need Directive 2707.²⁰ as applicable not only to deflivences in treatment between men and women in matters of social security, but also to differences in a freediment resulting man agend revenagement. They subgrame properates the most exceed confirmation of the view of the European Court of Justice that discrimination or grounds of souther resonances in a worker association and a social processing the southers and a social process Court of Justice that discrimination or grounds of souther resonances in which section and social processing the social process court or a rounds of souther resonances in the section of social processing through the section of social processing the section of social processing through the section of social processi

Thirteen EU Member States treat discrimination on grounds of transgenderism as a form of sex discrimination (RE DK ER IE IT LV300 NL301 AT302 PL SK303 EL SE LIK301).

Directive 76/207/EEC of 9 February 1976 on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vacational training and promotion, and working conditions (0.1 976 L. 35, p. 40)

ECJ, Case C-13/94, P. v. S. and Cernwall City Council judgment of 30 April 1996, ECR [1998] I-2143
ECJ, Case C-117/01, K.B. v. National Health Service Pensions Agency, Secretary of State for Health.

judgment of 7 January 2004

ED, Case C-423/04, Saroh Margaret Richards v Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, judgment of 27 4 2006

Council Directive 797/EEC of 19 December 1978 on the progressive implementation of the principle of

equal treatment for men and women in matters of social security (CJ 1979 L 6, p. 24)

Lakusa, alvipar Republikas Augetikkas besse Senára Administratīlive lietu departaments/A42226506 SKA

–5/2008 (14 01 2008)

atthough this is generally a matter of practice of the anti-discrimination bodies or courts rather than an explicit stipulation of legislation.

At a minimum, this means that the EU instruments prohibiting sex discrimination in the areas of work and employment and in the access to and supply of goods and services, will be fully applicable to any discrimination on grounds of a person intending to undergo. undergoing, or having undergone, gender reassignment. However, transgendensm may not have to be reduced to this narrow understanding. linking if to 'gender reassignment' defined as 'a process which is undertaken under medical supervision for the purpose of reassigning a person's sex by changing physiological or other characteristics of sex, and includes any part of such a process' 36 Whereas transgender people in this narrow understanding do find themselves in a specific situation due to the operation of gender reassignment - a situation which raises specific human rights issues examined in the following section – there is no reason ant to extend the protection from discomination. beyond these persons, to cover 'cross dressers, and transvestites, people who live permanently in the gender 'opposite' to that on their birth certificate without any medical intervention and all those people who simply wish to present their pender differently 306 lt. has been recommended that protection from discrimination on grounds of 'gender identity', more generally, should encompass not only transsexuals (undergoing, intending to undergo, or having undergone a medical operation resulting in gender reassignment), but also those other cateopries 307 Indeed, this is the position adopted in Finland by the Ombudsman for Equality, on the grounds that the fext of the Act on Equality between Women and Men is open enough to support this interpretation and as otherwise legal protection for transgendered persons (broadly conceived) would be insufficient 358 It is also the position of the Dutch Equal Treatment Commission, which recently issued an opinion stating that discrimination on the ground of 'transvestism' is

²⁰¹ Lecuwarden Court of Appeal, 13.01 1995, NJ 1995 nr. 243 and, for example, ETC Opinions 1998-12 and 2000-273

202 Art. 6 (3)a Slovakia/ Antidiskriminačny Zakon 365/2004 (20 05 2004)

As in the fermulation of sect. 82 of the Sex Discrimination Act in Great Britain or in the Sex Discrimination Order in Northern Ireland.

Mushia / Erituterungen (Explanatory Notes)/ RV 415dB XXIII. GP, available at http://www.parisment.gv.at/PGIDEXXIII.NL_00415/finame_066505.pdf (08 01 12008) (explanations appended to the government bill for the implementation of Council Directive 2004/11/3/EC prohibiting discrimination between men and women in access to and supply of prods and services).

Of In Great Britain, the relevant provisions are centained in the Sex Discrimination Act 1975 (SDA), as amended by the Sex Discrimination (Gender Reassignment) Regulations 1999. In Northern Intend, protection is conferred by the Sex Discrimination (Ni) Order 1975 (SDO), as amended by the Sex Discrimination (Gender Ressignment) Regulations (NII) 1999.

S. Whittle, L. Turner and M. Al-Alemi (2007) Engendered PenaBes: Transgender and Transsexual People's Experiences of Inequality and Discorrington (A Research Project and Report commissioned by the Equilibre Review). 7-4, available of a hittle Johns White Discoulibresexwee you kid (12 02 2005)

ECNI (2007) Commission Response to OTMOPM's Consubstion Implementing EU Equality Obligations in Northern Incland The Gender Goods and Services Directive", p. 5, available at: http://dx.ecn.ecuslubrity.org/12/07/2008

²⁰⁰ Information from the Office of the Ombud on 11.2.2008 and 13.2.2008 (by telephone and email).

also to be regarded as a form sex destrimation. ²⁰ If may also be the consequence of lating resund sciently, alloegied resund contention, in the Equil Terestiment Asdedprist in Hungary ²⁰ And it corresponds to the proposal of the Commission of Inquiry and up to Section 1997. The Commission of the proposal of the Equil Terestiment As-Cept and Commission of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment). The description of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment) and the Commission of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment). The Commission of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment) and the Commission of Equil Terestiment (Commission of Equil Terestiment).

In 11 other Member States, forming a second group, discrimination on grounds of transgenderin is stead enhelien as see discrimination not as sexual centation discrimination, resulting not only in a situation of legal uncertainty as to the process protection of transgender persons teller Good, 2E, E, E, CV, LT, LU, MT, PT, RO, SI) in three States, the legislation prohibition discrimination on grounds of sex should be inferenced in the future is accordance with the case-lave of the European Court of Justice, treating the strangender discrimination as in institute of successful demination. Such as the second court of the second court of Justice, treating the strangender discrimination as in institute of successful demination. Such as in elemptosistion may be difficult to serve at by contrast, in the two other Windows Court of Justice, treating the strangency of scorimination as in institute of successful demonstration.

In addition, however, transgending people may be protected from discrimination as such, when they are freeded differently than other persons of the same gender as the acquired gender. In Hungary, the Act on Equal Transmerti²⁰ includes essential dentity as one of the grounds of discrimination. ²⁰ In the UK day, where a person has a full Gender Recognition Certificate under the Gender Recognition Act 200 (Ref) it would not be leafed to discriminate other than on grounds that would apoly to anyone else of his or her considered more ²⁰.

²⁰⁰ ETC 15 11 2007, Opinion 2007-201 See also Annex 1

²¹⁰ Article 8, Hungary/2003 evi CXXV torveny/(28 12 2003)

²⁶¹ See the Explanatory Memorandum to the General Law on Equal Treatment: Bundestag, publication no. 16/1780, p. 31

³¹² The total is below 27 since no information was provided by the point as regards IT.

Hungary/2003 evi CXXV Tervery/(28 12 2003)
 Article 8-n), Hungary/2003 evi CXXV Tervery/(28 12 2003).

There is one exception: it is possible for an organised religion to discriminate where there are gorium religious reasons to refuse to employ a transsessual person even if the person has a Gender Recognition Contificate.

The legal status of transsexuals: gender reassignment and legal recognition of the post-operative gender

A second dimension along which the situation of transservation may be measured concerns their legal status, perticularly as regards the conditions imposed for the acquisition of a different gender and the official recognition of the gender acquired tollowing gender reassignment, including by changing one's forename in order to ensure that corresponds to the newly acquired sender.

7.2.1. The availability of gender reassignment operations

It is not entroy dear from this purporent whether the possibility for includuals to seek equivalent medical insertment alternack from france by previge no Antide 48 EC, could consist the an acceptable alternative. This may be particularly relevant for smaller States having no medical personnel specialised on these highly debeloe operations. It is reported for instance that of be took to seek or tagely leading medical personnel, a Loumbourg resolved would be seen to see the complex description and protection and the second of the control of the second of the control of the second of the control of the second of

Most EU Member States impose strict conditions on the availability of gender reassignment operations, generally including waiting periods, and psychological and medical independent expertise, but also, in certain cases, prior judical authorisation. In

¹⁰⁶ E.E. C. H.R. Card sed. J. L. u. Lithaurin, Appl no. 2752783, adapted of 11 September 2007. And of 227 of the Lithaurin Cald Code, which determines the epid in the change of the designation of sex, state that the carditions and the procedure for the change of designation of sex shall be prescribed by law However, no legislation was obligated in sords on periment the processor, although the Colf Code is in force since 1.7 (2001. This led the Court to find a violation of Article 8 ECHR, which guarantees the next to respond for reviales (in.).

the Cock Republic for example, the Health Care Ad²²² provious that a gender resessignment operation must be approved by a commission of the persons, including the physicians not periodipoling in the operation and one lawyer. In Demmist, the Sunchheidsylveries (Internal National Board of Health) handles applications for general resessignment surgery with reference to chapter 33 in Sundandations¹²⁸ and Administrative Order his 1, 100 and January 2006 regarding settlession and costerols, including in reference to gender resessignment in Estons, regulation of 0 (75 1999 no. 232 by the Minstry of Social Affairs Soundeblase credits brainings birthed reduced [Common requirements to medical acts of six charge]¹⁹⁷ provides the brain is modical and legisl state related to genderine contenting in Purpulgia, councillag for an expension of the common legislates related to genderines contening in Purpulgia, councillag for a six of the specific properties of the properties of th

White undoubledly necessory, in many cases, in order to protect involvable in psychologically variented stututers, these cladacies to obtaining occess to south modical services should be circularly sorutinesed, in order to ocurrine whether they are justified by the need to protect political applications of this protection, and whether they are not imposing dispropriations be burden on their pills to seek medical resement for the purposes of opened resemptions. In other dispropriations of the processing of the p

In other States, such as Bulgaria or Lakina, the availability of gender resessignment medical operations is not regulated by lew which may orere an exist of abuse, and may in addition be in violation of these States' obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights: it should be emphasised that, since gender reassignment constitutes a manyor and inversetial medical operation, subsequents (see long as they do not result in imposing undea burdens on the availability of such medical procedures) are preferable to the ostetence of lesistative avocum.

³⁴⁷ Zak. č. 20/1986 Sb., o peči o zdravi idu (Act. No. 20/1986 Coll., Health Care Act), available at http://portal.gov.cz/apaphortal/_s.155/7017numbert=20%2F1966&number2=&name=&text= (Czech, only). (Sociend on February 19, 2008).

^{**} The Act on Health, No 546, 24 June 2005

Estonia/Riigikontseler (27.05 1999) Riigi Teotoja L, 87, 1087.

Sex reasspurment surgery may fall under the scape of Article 156 of the Penal Cade that prohibits

causing serious damage to health, as it results in lotal infertility.

201 Consent from the person concerned does not exclude the illegably of the act. In the legal doctrine there are voices arguing that sex reassignment surgery can be exculpated by the state by necessity, which constitutes consumatories recluding the illegality of the common act.

There is no unformity between the Member States as to the coverage, by seath care schemes, of the medical operation leading the grander reassignment would be fully rembursed to submitted by courts, surpery leading to gender reassignment would be fully rembursed by the health services in immay offer cases however, the health care system would be lesse generous, and the costs of the operation, it not rembursed or rembursed or the season of the costs of the operation, and the costs of the operation, the lest of a uniform approach is a regards the prosens of medical services they be respectively. The protect is not detain, the lest of a uniform approach is a regards the prosens of medical services they be respectively and the services of the se

7.2.2. The legal consequences of gender reassignment: recognition of the acquired gender and right to change one's forename in accordance with the acquired gender

A remarkable evolution has been place in European humann rights lave on the heal later assistant referrable in this section.— The folior lacogation of the gender conjusted folior lacogation of the gender conjusted folior lacogation of the gender lacogate resistant resistant in the section of the debty in person thering undergroep gender reseasingment to many person of the gender reposition being proceedings and the same procedure personal procedure personal folior procedure personal folior procedure personal folior procedure that the States peries to the European Courant on Harman Rights did not oversign their manyon of approaches by not according legal extension of the procedure personal procedure that the States peries to the European Courant on the Harman Rights did not oversign their manyon of september generation by not according legal extension of the secondary personal procedure and the States and the secondary personal procedure and the secondary and procedure and the secondary and procedure and the secondary and procedure an

²⁰⁰ See Err. C. HR, Rees v. Re Listed Kingdom jedgment of 17 October 1986 (Series A no. 105, pp. 18–18, 4 CH, Eur C. HR, Casey v. Hundel Kingdom jedgment of 27 Septembre 1990 (Series A no. 184, p. 17, § 41), Ear C. HR, S. v. France judgment of 25 Hurth 1992 (Series A no. 23-C), Ear C. HR, P. Y. and 2. v. the bliefs Kingdom jedgment of 26 Judy 1997, Report of 1997, and 1997, pp. 19

European Communities passports, etc.), which also appeared in social security registration numbers, and in everyday operations of according life. the sum number of inconvenences resulting from the impossibility, in the French legal system, to ensure that the sex indicated on those documents correspond to the appearent sex, in the view of the Court was sufficiently sensitive in subty a fainfund or viction of Article 8.89

This minkl jurisprudence thus observed the relissal by the States puries to reluse a certification of the sore registered at bits 6, in the relusal or all position recognition of the gender reassignment), provided the inconvenement is not expensed by the remain limited it. It also folkword that, should plant of ECCHR guarantees the neglit to many to men and women of manageable age, ²⁰⁰ this provision was not considered to be violated by the impossibility for approximate processing to many persons of the poposite gender to the gender occurred by the transsexual Biseng state on the case that the night to many jurisprace but Africa 12 refers to the inclinant manageableship persons of opposite bidogical sext, the Court considered that such an obstade to manage of the court sequence of the court of the cour

blowers the Court overrided this previous cessel-levin the case of Christine Goodwin v the United Proliginal concerning a post-operative mice to feemile this resisceaut 38 **Deling the stress and allenation arring from a discordance between the position in society assumed by a post-operative transsessual and the status improved by we within reliases to recognise the change of genderf (pora 77), the Court in addition emphasised that the applicant's gender reassignment was continued by the melonial health service, which I provides, inter also, re-assignment by surgery, with a view to activously as one of its promopile purpose is edice an essentiation as possible to the gender in which the transsessual parceives that the or the properly before; in this context, if appears debugged to returate to recognise the legal implication of the restart to which he treatment electric (para 78) in finding that the right to respect to private life, quantitated under Arthree of the Convention, not done because — portion in the sectional concerning conventional organization, lateful to the control of the Court 39 (Lephan controlled and provided and p

²⁰⁰ Eur. Cl. HR, B. v. France judgment of 25 March 1932 (Senes A no. 232-C) (distinguishing the Roes and Cossey judgments). Following the B. v. France judgment of the European Coard of Munin Rights, the Plensy Assembly of the Court of Cossestion amended its jurisproudence relative to transcessionism. It now allows the brith certificate to be amended after a sex change in the name of phasey rights. The principle of the right to princip suities that the out lettand on the harsessoral person indicate the text he

or she appears to be' (11 December 1992, JCP 1993, III, 21991)

According to Article 12 ECHIR: "Men and women of marriageable age have the right to marry and to

found a family, according to the nabonal laws governing the exercise of this right."

Eur Cl. HR, Sheffield and Horsham v. the United Kingdom judgment of 30 July 1996, paras. 66-69.

Eur Cl. HR, Chretine Goodern v. the United Kingdom, Appl. no. 28975/95, judgment of 11 July 2002.

Eur Cl. HR (4th sort), Crant v. the United Kingdom, Appl. no. 32570/03, judgment of 23 May 2006.

Sop paras. 5-58 of the judgment.

not permit a change to be made to a person's birth contribute in one term or another to reflect the ne-assigned set of the person in cesses where gender ne-assignment was legal and publicly funded, only the United Kingdom and triends did not give that legal recognition to the new gender identify in adoldom. As tragraded the eligibility of posiperserve transsessuals to many a person of sex opposite to their acquired gender. Liberty's survey indicated that SHA of Contrading Shales permitted such marriage (Armas 6 leided Austria, Belgium, Dermark, Estron, Fritand, Franca, Germany, Greece, Section, Rhy Lakos, Luceritous, the Method Franca, Germany, Greece, Celebrat, Rhy Lakos, Luceritous, the Method Franca, Germany, Greece, detail, Rhy Lakos, Luceritous, the Method Franca, Germany, Greece, dat not permit marriage, while no legislation existed in Mickson, Potson, Romanes and Russall The lead october in the remannes 22% was uncloser.

The case of Christians Goodenie also tre-exemined the installment position of position of the Court as regards the proposition for position present parts and the present of the gender opposite to that of their acquired gender – for exemple, for a marke to termise threassount to many a man. The Court rejected as inflation the argument (sharin the UK government had put forward on the Christians Goodenie casel) that typost-operative transsessuals have not been adoptived to the right to many as according to law, they remain action formary person of their former oppositie sour. The reality of the case submitted to the Court in its view, was called the 10th applicant in this cost level say according to a man and would only with to many a man. Other laws no possibility of caring a feet and investicate does him to the very escence of the right to many to special influence to the investicate does him to the very escence of the right to many to special influence to the control of the control of

As a result of the Christine Goodwile v the United Kingstom judgment of 2002 and of occasions delivered by demostic counts in the UK.²⁰ the Gendreit Recognition Act 2004 (GRA), which came into force in April 2005 and applies throughout the UK, allows an individual who is assessed in applying for a lid Gender Recognition Cardiffices (GRA) to obtain a new birth certificate. The Department of Trade and industry size funded the publication of a workforce good practice guide to employee, reflecting the changes introduced by the Gender Recognition Act 2004 and making deer the responsibility employees and the size Till Persolatically through, the reform company about by the SRA has created some contains, since the obtenion of a GRC has sometimes been unterpreted as a condition for changing means on downerms such as a childing license is a passignity, which in last is not the case. Instead, in the UK any person on chair a passignity, which in last is not the case. Instead, in the UK any person on chair likeher name²² settled by the works of Changing of Nimer by Ded Pdil's excelled by a

See Bellinger v Bellinger (2003) 2 All ER 593 (UK House of Lords)

The Guide is available at.

http://www.womenandequalityurst.gov.uk/publications/gender_reassignment_guide05.pdf (14.02.2008). 2º1 See generally, Gender Trust, Information Sheet. Changing Your Name and Documents, available at http://descriptings.com.kr/12.02.2008).

solicitor, ³²² or by completing a "Statutory Declaration of Change of Name", ³²³ As noted in a report commissioned for the Equatities Review, there is a need to provide clear information about how a change of name can be effectuated, in order to overcome this confusion, based on a misinformatiation of the GRA.

Official recognition of a new gender

In general, as a result of the case-law described above, the EU Member States allow for the official recognition of the new gender acquired after a gender reassignment operation, and they may also allow for such recognition in the obserce of any medical procedure, and they allow the transgender person to marry a person of a sex opposite to the needer belief he acquired.

There are exceptions, however, in Ireland, there is no provision for transsexual people to be officially recognised in the gender in which they identify. As a consequence transsexual people do not have a right to marry in their reassigned gender or to change their birth certificate or to enjoy any right legally confined to the gender with which they identify. As was confirmed by the High Court in the case of Linda Foy v. An tArd-Chlaraitheoir (Registrar General) and others (No. 2) (judgment of 19 October 2007), the legislation governing Birth Certificates in Ireland is incompatible with the European Convention on Human Rights, made applicable in Ireland by the European Convention. on Human Bights Act 2003. The Court issued a Declaration of Incompatibility of the law as set out in s. 60(8) at the Civil Registration Act. 2004, and the Taciseach (Prime Minister) is accordingly required to lay an Order before each House of Parliament. It may appear that I usembourg too is in violation of the ECHR in this regard, since there are no legal provisions specifically addressing the issue of gender reassignment to be annied by the Luxembourn Civil Status and Population Administration (Ftat civil et population du Luxembourg). A similar lack of legal certainty exists in Latvia, resulting in a situation where the Registry Office (in charge of maintaining the Birth Register) refuses to take the decision on change of entry on gender in the Birth Register itself, but instead asks the Ministry of Health to issue its conclusion with regard to any particular case, with the risks of arbitrariness and lack of uniformity this entails - a situation condemned by the administrative courts, which recently ordered the Registry Office to amend the Birth Register in cases of gender reassignment, without invoking the lack of a clear legal mandate to do so as a pretext for refusing to do so 334 in Malta also, courts have had to

W UK/ Enrolment of Deeds (Change of Name) Regulations 1994 (01 04 1994)

WK/Stalutory Decisinations Act 1835 e 62 (09.99 1835). Such a declaration states the name by which an individual withles to be known, and is witnessed by a socilotor, justice's clark at a magistrate's court or other authorised efficer of the court. It is sent with a copy of the individual's birth certificate and a dioptor's or coverhalters's lattle to allow the individual's name to be channed on statutory documents.

Administrativá rajona tiesa (Administrative District Court), case No. A42229505 (judgment of 6.02.2005), Administrativá appabaltiesa (Administrative Regional Court), No. AA43-0445-07/14

intervene to compensate for the failure of the legislator to allow for the official recognition of a new gender acquired following treatment. ²³⁶ It is unclear whether this is sufficient to quarantee the legal certainty which could be required in such cases.

The studion in the other EU Member States, whose legal systems are in full contembly with the requirements on the European Convention on Human Religion, can be described as follows, in four Member States, there is no requirement to undergo hormonal testedenant or support of any kind in cords for obtain an official recognition of persist reassignment (ESD¹⁰, HL, Fill¹⁰, ML), in this group of States, gender reassignment is proposable simply be bringing evidence of gender deplayarea before the competent actions (such as a doctor or disrucial psychologist in Spain, expent from the Ministry of Health in Hungary, who weigh the evidence stimulated by the exploitant, the Gender Resessignment Parla in the IK) in other Member States, you consider such passing only following a medically supervased process of genetic reassignment (EEC¹⁰, St. DE, EE, R. ^{10,10}, ^{10,10} contentions requiring, as a separate sported contribution of the former accordance with history former accordance with which former accordance for Religion (PIP¹⁰, PIP), in Germany for instance, the law of this contention of the changing of given manners and the determination of source

(judgment of 11.04.2007), Augstilklis Sesse Seniste Administrative fiets departaments (Department of Administrative Cases of the Seniste of the Supreme Court), case No. A42239505 SKA-5/2008 (judgment of 14.01.2008).

- MeltaFirst Hall of the Cwil Court/688/1999, Francis sive Mandy Zammit vs. AG and Director of Public Remarks (24.99.2001)
- Spen / Law 20207 of 15 Morch on Rectificación registrá de la mección mitativa al term de las personas (line Recidenta en of lite medien de 16 Morch offen Repaired). The logislation mibes al capacita de la desiración de la gender recessignment without having la undergo a media operation in bal refedir son en lite occasión les perderer reassignment without having la undergo a media operation in bal refedir son en lite occasión les pedientes de 16 Morch 2020 pt la per haveria Court of Cadar (Sentensas 12/2007) a la Audenna Perurinosi de Cadar, de 16 de mayol, and the judipment deleter del mitante, pelan (Sentensas del Teburia)
- Supremo num, 929/2007 de 17 septembre, Sala de la Civil, Seccian Pleno).

 Finland / Act on the Recognition of the Sax of a Transsexual Person (laki transseksuaalin sukupuclen vahristamsessis (553/2002) (in force on 11, 2002).
- In Belgium, his is offer under the Act of 10 May 2007 concerning transsexualism, inserting edicies 62bes 62ber in the Carl Code, see K. Uytterhoeven, G. De Cuypere, P. Senoreve and T. Wuyler (2007) De well sangsande de rechtspositie van transsekrualen, Leuven, K.U.Leuven, Instituut voor Familierrecht en Jeundmeth.
- Through a judgment in April 2007 five Court of Appeal of 's-Heriogenbosch raded that the applicant's physical change of sex was not yet sufficiently complete for a through of sex to be granted in his birth certificate within the meeting of Arthau 128 of the Cut Octo. The court beared for deciration upon the finding that hormonal tradments had only started in September 2006 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2006 Sex 1008 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2006 Sex 2008 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2006 Sex 2008 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2006 Sex 2008 Sex 2008 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2008 Sex 2008 Sex 2008 and surgery was yet to take place sex 's-Heriocorbosch Court of Appendix 2008 Sex 2008 Se
- The procedure an old described in death in the national report relating to FR, RD, and SK. This regime is offered in the Loggle Land (IndiVISE2 of 140 FLSE), Kemen in material or destification of artification of sensitive through the continuous described (IndiVISE) promote the recognition of sexual distribution (IndiVISE) (In

identily in special crossiss² provides that for the distemination of whether a person belongs to the other genderhors, (Articles 8-12 of the Law on Transsessuish), the transsessuish must be unmarried and have undergone a sex-change operation missing them inceptible of reproduction (Article 8 pars 1 of the Law on Transsessuish). In this youlds process the completed imagnifisher our intrust allow, in accordance with Article 9 para 3 of the Law on Transsessuish, obtain have expert opinions before missing its feveragen.

Under the European Convention on Human Rights, a) a transsexual person has the night to have higher new gender deathly recognized, and by immange with a person of the gender copprise to the gender occupred by the transexual should be available. However, it is generally considered that there nuised not may be that fire-recognized in the gender reassignment should be possible for a person who is marined, areas such a management should be possible for a person who is marined, areas such recognized mountain extra in a marrange extragal persone now is marined cannot recove to full GRE Checause, in the Witter Minargian is not permitted between how emitted or the series sex. 20 A transgeredor person who is marined cannot recove to full GRE Checause, in the Witter Minargian is not permitted between how members of the seminesses. 20 A transgeredor person who is married with be issued with an interm GRE CRE CREAT. The excitors then to obtain a full GRE to a simplified procation in they annual firm marriage or their spouse dist. This was also the situation in Belgium port to the opening with the person of the person

in other States, conversely, proder reassignment teads to the martiage being dissolved, in other States, conversely, proder reassignment teads to the martiage being dissolved. The same partial reason of the same gender are not all abused to sits married (Borry) Huggers to the current Code of Errory Lungers control to the current Code of Errory Lungers Code that is currently under proportion explicitly mentions this sa a reason of Code that is currently under proportion explicitly mentions this sa a reason of the reason of the code of the currently under proportion explicitly mentions this sa a reason of the code of the code of the currently under proportion of the code the co

[™] BGBII p. 1654.

This was held not to be in breach of the ECHR in the case of Parry v UK (2005) (App No 4287105).

In Soutand, the grain of an IGRC previotes a ground for divorce rather than making the mamage verdable; in the rest of the UK, an IGRC as a ground for immange being variable.

²⁶ Art 99, para 2 of the Family Code

Hungary/1952 on IV torvery/(06.06.1952). Herematter referred to in the body text as the Code of Family Law.

According to Article 17-1 (flungary1952, enr IV. törvény/105.06.1952), Code of Family Low a manhage tominates if a) other of the spouse does or b) a ceunt terminates it.
Article 3.21 of the dart of the new Chill Code, (hereinafter referred to as the Draft). Available at.

http://imm.gov.hudownloadiptic-narmaszovag-tervezet_20071029.pdf/ptic-narmaszovagtervezet_20071029.pdf, (13.02.2006)

Acticle 2: 101 of the Draft. The issue of registered partnerships is dealt with in item 7.1 of this satury.

questioned, since it obliges the individual to have to choose between either remaining married or undergrap a change with viall recorder institute braidgal and sold with with institute properties of the submitted an eport in Morah 2007 (SC) 2007 16, Andread Morah Stoffens (ST) and submitted an eport in Morah 2007 (SC) (2007 16, Andread Morah Morah 2007 (SC) (Andread Morah 2007 16, Andread Morah Morah 2007 (SC) (Andread Morah 2007 16, Andread Morah Morah 2007 16, Andread Morah 2007 16, Andread

Finally it may be noted that while the ECHR does require that individuals having undergone a gender reassuppment have the possibility of having their acquired gender officially recognised it is not required that they also have the possibility not to be assigned to either sex. After an individual who felt inter- or asexual, neither male nor temale, requested that his sex be crossed out in his birth certificate, the Dutch Supreme Court dismissed this claim in 2007, ruling that it talls within the margin of appreciation of national states under Article 8 of the ECHR to require that a person's sex in his/her birth. certificate is either male or temale and not gender-neutral 200 This area may have to be revisited in the future, however, Scientific studies have shown that in Germany for instance, there are around 150 children born each year who can be classified as intersexual, and that the total number of people affected by severe variance in sex development is around 8,000-10,000 351 This is a significant number. But the German legal system, no more than the others, has been able to accommodate this reality, so far the courts have refused to change the registered sex of an intersexual in the birth register to 'hermaphrodite'. It has been arqued⁶⁰² that the right to legal recognition of a third gender on the basis of the right of self-determination in accordance with Article 2. para 1 of the Basic Law, in conjunction with Article 1 para 1 of the Basic Law (free development of personality), would justify the recognition of intersexuals, just like it has been with regard to transsexuals 263 At yet however, this could not be achieved, partly because two fundamental institutions of law - marriage and military service - require the categorisation of people into two genders, additionally, even the Basic Law, in its Article 3 para. 2, 1st sentence, assumes the differentiation of people as males and females. 354

Change of forename

One specific manifestation of gender identity is in the choice of the forename, where that name indicates the (male or female) gender of the person. In a minority of Member

²⁵⁰ Supreme Court, 30.03 2007, LJN AZ5685

Supreme Court, 30.03 2007, ESN A23005
St. Bundestag, publication no. 16/4786, p. 3

³⁵² See Teimein (2002). Zeitschrift für das sessente Familierrecht on 957 ff.

⁵⁶⁶ Federal Constitutional Court BVerIGE 49, 285

Germanys/Moelsgerich/1722 UR III 302/00 (13th September 2001), Neue Jurisfische Wochenschrift (NJM) – Rochtsoprochungsreport (2001), p. 1588, Darlact Court (Landgeriche) München V18 T 1944/02 (30h. June 20/3), Zotschrift für das gesamte Familiernecht (2004), p. 269, Neue Juristische Wochenschrift (NJM) – Rochtsoprochungsreport (2003) n. 1586.

States, it is relatively easy to change forenames, including by adoption of a name identified to the other cender than one's gender or crigin, without this being made conditional upon a medically supervised operation of gender reassignment (BE, DE, IE. SLUK) Among these States are trained where although there is no legislation. reparding names and changes of names for transpendered persons, nor is there any prohibition in practice on a person adopting a new first name or surname by deed poll and using this on passports, driving licences, medical records, tax and social security documents. In most Member States, by contrast, changing names (acquiring a name indicative of another gender than the gender at birth) is a procedure available only in excentional circumstances, generally conditional upon medical testimony that the gender reassignment has taken place (BG, CZ²⁶⁵, EE²⁶⁶, EL, CY, AT³⁶⁷, PT, SK²⁶⁹, SE), or upon an official recognition or gender reassignment, whether or not following a medical procedure (FI). Various intermediate positions exist. In Relgium, a two-tracks procedure exists whereas in principle any individual may request a change of name without having to offer a particular justification (and this request may be granted by the Minister of Justice as a matter of discretion), transgendered individuals have (under the Act of 10 May 2007 concerning transsexualism which introduces a separate procedure) a right to register the name change, which may only be refused where the new name will cause confusion or cause harm to the applicant or to a third party. In Denmark, the Administrative Order on Names (No. 438 of 11 May 2007) states in section 13 that a person who has not had a gender reassignment operation, but who has been evaluated. as transsexual by the Sexological Clinic at the National Hospital of Denmark, can obtain a name change, thus, while gender reassumment is not a condition for obtaining a change of the first name, the individual nevertheless must provide evidence that he/she has a valid reason to request such a change. In Germany, the 1980 law on transsexuals allows a change of forename even without a prior medical operation resulting in gender reassignment, following the seminal decision of the Federal Constitutional Court of 1978.39 However, prior to authorising this change, the courts must consult two experts. who give their opinions on whether, in accordance with the findings of the medical sciences, the applicant's feeling of belonging will likely not change (Article 4 para, 3 of the Law on Transsexuals).

^{***} Czech Republic / Zák. č. 391/2000 Sb., o matrikách, jmönu a příjmení (Act. No. 391/2000 Coll., Act on Registry Office), available st.

http://portal.gov.cz/wps/psrtaV_s.155/701?number1=301%2F2000&number2=&name=&lext (Czesh only) (operad on 19.2.2008).

See § § § of Minescodon Palemas And Esterior J Rightantscie (2005) Right Trataga 1, 1, 1 minescodon Palemas And Esterior J Rightantscie (2005) Right Trataga 1, 1 minescodon Palemas (1904) Representation of the Palemas (1904) Representation of the Palemas (1904) Representation of the Palemas (1904) Representation (1904) Repres

Advanta Ver lassingsgett than 00004 / 100 (21.00 2000).
20 Art. 7. Slovakia / zikon 300/1003 (Art on Name and Surname) (24.00 1003).

²⁵⁹ Federal Constitutional Court BiVerIGE 286

In Lakins, a pocular characteristic of the system is that according to administrative practice, following a quoted measurement. The provious treatment is smithy treatment into the other gender, by changing site entire, as according to Lakinan gramma rendrings and the treatment of a manus differs depended not gender in Immany cases however, the name created in such view younds unusual for the acquired gender. Although in theory, the person can lake regyly for change of name according to the law on the Change of a Gene North Suramma and Ethnectly Record," as change in gender in not mentioned among the free interesting studies of the laws of the Change of a Gene North Change in the laws of the Change of the gene name or summer in addition, the interest isalation—where a preson is being assigned a name which her or she has not doctored and which offers from his or the original name given at bith—may be considered in violation of the requirements of the informations Coverant on Chail and Political Rother's and of the European Convention on Human Brothing and the European Convention on Human Brothing northers.

39 Latvia/Likume Par vărda, uzvărda un taulibas ieraksta marņu (Law on the Change of a Given Name, Sumame and Ethnicity Record) (15 05 1994), available at

http://www.lkuml.indsc.ps/?46574(88mode:XDOC (25.02.2003)

*See Haman Rights Committee, Coeniel and Aust. v. The Notherlands, Communication No. 452/1991,
U.N. Dioc. COPPRESS/DINSS/1991 (1994) (final views of 31 October 1994), para. 10.2 (fin.l. a State
were to compet all firetgenes to change their sumanies, the would constitute interference in
continuation of Arbitact IT (CDEC), quaranteers of the most to research for notice and family fisf 1).

8 Other relevant Issues

In the nestonal contributions that form the basis for this comparative report, a number of the comparative report, a number of the comparative report, a number of the previous discussed under the previous chapters were addressed. These were mostly reported to formly law, and the pathod, in the particular, to the est basis of semi-sex relationships under the previous comparation of the pathod of the pathod

The collection of data relating to discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity

It is striking to see how few distributed date could be found by national FFALEX experts, in an order to evaluate the effectiveness or impost of the legislations commended upon in their report. This could, in port, be due to the feet that sexual crientation is still an emerging issue, which had been lepsyl jerored in pickle document and public policies until the beginning of this decode — which may explain that date collection in this feet of its contributed products in the second policies of the report that date collection in this feet of its contributed products in this opening that of the this policies is decoded in the second contributed products in this opening that of the this policies is decoded in the contributed products in this opening that of the this policies is decoded in a decoded in calculation is decoded in a decoded in calculation in the procession of date related to execute of product of products in the procession of date related to execute of product of products in the procession of date related to execute of product products in the procession of date related to execute of products of products in the procession of date related to execute of products of products in the procession of date related to execute of products of products in the products of the pro

There is medicatel evidence to suggest that the fears solut absuses being committed in the collection and processing of data relation jo several centration are not ill-disurded in Bibligaria for instance, the prison system collects information regarding the sexual centration of prisoners, and say such instancion is tell rinds that is assessment of the distance The Bibligarian Heleinaki Cormitties (human applix NOO) reported a case at the Stiven prison concerning of a ternale prisoner of beward incentation, in which conclusions about the sound contribution. A wordly determined to be increaseously were accided in the Accommendation, Ferning Posterior and Contribution Contribution and Contribution Contribution. A state of the contribution of the Contribution

determining the rights ensuing from a prisoner's behaviour during the term of imprisonment.

It is these necessary to protect the personal date relating to sexual contention, which are producially sensitive own the risks of misses of such data it is should however to recalled that both the main proce of EU legislation regarding personal data protection the 1955 Personal Data Directories—and the 1955 Consumal of Linguistic Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data,²⁰ which all EU marries states are party by, or end/concerned with personal data, insensity ininternation relating to an identified or identifiative invalvasa.²⁰ But no personal data see wrived writer internation is collected on an energinative size or one the information collected is made encognised in order to be used in statetics, since such data carried be formed to see ground protection. The control of the control of the control of the producing the right of the control of the control of the control of the purportion of the control of the control of the control of the purportion of the control of the control of the purportion of the control of the control of the purportion of the control of the control of the purportion of the control of the control of the purportion of purportion of

In addition, even in croumstances where the legal requirements of the 1981 Council of turped Convention of personal personal participation of the processing of personal data and personal data and discretization of personal data and discretization of personal data and individually, and individually, and individually, and the despitiation, there are legal results of the committee of the discretizations of the discretization of the discret

Directive 95/46/EC of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, OJL 281 of 23 11 1995, p. 31.

C ET.S., No. 108.
Article 2 of the Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automotic Processing of Principal Data (1981).

See e.g. Eur Ct. HR, Leander v. Sweden, 26 March 1987, S. A. 116, p. 22, § 48, Eur. Ct. HR (GC), Robaru v. Romania (Appl. nº 26341/95), Judgement of 9 May 2000, §§ 43-45. But see, for the limits of this protection, Eur. Ct. HR, Zdanska v. Latria (Appl. nº 58278/00), partial inadmissibility decision of 6 March 2010.

In the mealulation in Non-destination on set qual apportunities for six A. A turnevisk shirting adopted on May 2006 (2005-2006), IEEE 2006-2006 (pp. 12 Chansha) is Engosen Prisinated cells for a cultification of the requirements of data prediction injection on this issue, and select or sold received in the control of the requirements of data prediction injection town this receive compliant of the instead of the requirements of data prediction in selection and selection for the categories of direction and employers. Thoursing, clockation and encourage and washable to receive the direction of the control of the categories of direction and the control of the categories of the control of

http (fee europa eufustice)nemeidf _cdfindex_en htm), the European Parkament called for the Working Party established under Arbels 29 of Directive 95448/CE of the European Parkament and the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data to deliver an openen on the question of have the use of stabistical data.

legitimate public interest for the pursuance of which such treatment could be allowed. subject to adequate safeguards. In addition, given that the data which would have to be collected in the framework of anti-discrimination policies are used to constitute statistics. the principles enumerated in the Recommendation No. R (97) 18 of the Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe on the projection of personal data collected and processed for statistical numbers of also should be taken into account. This Recommendation provides in particular that the data collected and processed shall be made anonymous as soon as they are no longer necessary in an identifiable form 300 lt also states that where personal data have been collected and processed for statistical numnses, they shall serve only those numnses, and shall not be used to take a decision. in respect of the data subject, nor to supplement or correct files containing personal data which are processed for non-statistical purposes 300 In addition, in order for the processing of personal data for statistical purposes to remain proportionale, the principle of finality should be strictly observed; only those personal data shall be collected and processed which are necessary for the statistical purposes to be achieved 370 These are important safeguards, but they are safeguards, again, which do not impose insuperable obstacles to an improved monitoring of the practices of law enforcement authorities in order to identify patterns of discrimination.

At the same time, it is doer that surveys, encuryous quastionnesses, or even statistics book compating filed with the euthorities or with NOSe, would provide a very unrelated posture or the sound record of scientification or grounds of sexual orientation in the EU. The the EU. The scientification with they may be general conceal, and which only pust them of a risk of being scientification on the public ground on the being due to cross it is uncovered to EU. The EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that if the EU. The EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that of the EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that the EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that the EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that the EU. The survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that the survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it experient that the survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it is experient to a feet for the first survey or survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it is expected to the survey concluded in Sovenia in 2002, ²⁰ it is survey or su

for the purposes of combating discrimination could be reconciled with the requirements of data protection legislation.

Adopted by the Committee of Ministers on 30 September 1997 at the 802nd meeting of the Ministers' Deputies

[≫] Pera 3 3

³⁰⁰ Para 4.1

ST Para 47

With the exception of discrimination on grounds of assumed sexual chentation or on grounds of association with LGBT persons

M. Šipešova, P. Jojant, A. Daužiková (2002) Správa o distriminácii lesbických žien, gejov, bisexuálov a bisexualok na Slevensku, Bratislava: Q archiv.

Such numbers merely confirm the oblicus, viz. that due to social hostility, LGBT individuals do not reveal their sexual constation and prefer remain invisible to the majority of the population. This implif also explain vity very few victims of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation have darmed their rights in court. LGBT individuals often prefer to six invisible and avera from unwanted outpliction.

Apart from exemples are single events of a promotional nature and information companies, public bodies, recording events and events of a promotional public bodies, could develop ways to consider the public bodies, could develop ways to complian when they are subject to discrimination. The submitted is the public bodies are subject to discrimination of discrimination or public bodies are submitted to discrimination or growths of several consistent or provided propriets propriets on their basis and to improve of several consistent or provided and on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and to improve of several consistent or on their basis and thei

8.2. Access to reproductive health services

A futher challenge in the promotion of the rights of LGST persons in the EU concerns on the control of the rights of LGST persons in the EU concerns on the control of the promotion of the right of LGST persons in the control of the right of the challenge disposals og forstring in print of the challenge of the challenge

The Act entered into torce on 1 January 2007.³⁹⁴ Similarly, in Spain, Law 14/2006 of 26 May on techniques of assisted human reproduction recognises the right of any women to have access to such techniques, 'regardless of her marital status and sexual orientation' (Art. 6/1)).

It may be asked whether, considering the tree provision of medical services in the EU, such inclusionary approach to defining the conditions for having access to such reproductive health services should not be promoted at the level of the EU.

Act 1997 No. 460, Act on Arbitical Insemination in connection with medical treatment, diagnosis, research, etc. (extent of treatment in regional hospitals, insessment of parental unifferess, releasation of the rules regarding and pontation, and extensine of the storage of freezh namen equal.)

Act no. 535 of 8 June 2006 amending Lov om kunstig befrugfring.

It is noteworthy in this regard that in 2005, the Romanian Constitutional Court concluded that the draft law on reproductive health and medically assisted reproduction³⁵ was docriminatory, since it excluded individuals who were not in an established relationship trom accessing medical reproductive services and reproductive assistance ³⁵

Romania/ Proiect de lege privind sănătalea reproducerii şi reproducerea umană asistată medical, L334/2004, available al. http://80.97.216.132/senat.proiect.asp?cod=9290&pos=0

²⁰ Romana D DCCIZIE n 45 m 18 un 2005 as approach approach approach approach as a Republished a Legi provind similates reproducers urman asstallationed reproducers in preproducers urman asstallationed real, published in Romania/Monitorul Orical nr 65476 in the 2006 control 5 Sec asses in Annex 1.

Good practice

Four sets of good produces are highlighted. Two of these are means to overcome the undersproting of documentation originated a desaud inventation, or the lock of relative statistics did no rities subject, as illustrated by the passity of such date in the network contributions. A first set of good protectice concern the provider profess public submitmes could take in order to promote the visibility of homosexuality and various general relativistics modern to certain contributions. A first various general relativistic modern to certain contributions where COEFF process with home notified to test from their good modern to detail to certain the set of the first first point produced print and contributions of the production of the production of the contribution of the production o

Establishing specialised units within the public administration

A number of surveys demonstrate the restlence of homophobia in the EU Proactive policies are therefore required from the public authorities, in order to create awarenses and to establish a climate of toterance which could encourage LGBT persons to publicise their sexual orientation or gender identity without fear of intolerance or horsessment.

One approach consists in establishing units in public administrations which would be specialised on LOST rights and could age in the trust of those concerned, and contribute at the same time of training awareness. As mentioned when referring to the establishment of quality bodies with a completione to bediese administration or prounds of securior accretions, his setting up, either within such bodies (such has HernO in Sweeds, or the setablishment and recovering of an Arderey Grupo in LOB serves within the Equality Authority in trained), or within public administration of providers and consideration of the endocreament agencies, of units specializing of security desired and according to the endocreament agencies, of units specializing of security desired and according to the endocreament agencies, of units specializing of security and according to the security of the security of the proposal contribution of the security of the security of the contribution to the development of a specialize apportion on these resides, in administration which otherwise medium is authorized according to a sufficient provinces in their research which otherwise medium is not actioned as actioned awareness to that research

Exemptes abound of good preadces in this direction from which inspiration may be sought in Belgium, here is a person in the office of the Commissioner-General pressures Refugees and the Stateless Persons – the administration completer for the processing of explant dame. Another sources of explant and any object of explant and any object protection, beset on sex (and freessexualism) or sexual onerfallor. Another good protection, beset on sex (and freessexualism) or sexual onerfallor. Another good protection in this region is provided by the indend 25 Control is protected in this region is provided by the indend 25 Control is formed in the region of the control is provided by the control is provided Officers have been appointed to act as a point of contact for LGB people reporting homophobie, hate speech or homophobic violence.377 In the 2006 LGBT Hate Crime Report 70 per cent of respondents stated that they were aware of these Liaison Officers 378 The Gay and Lesbran Equality Network (GLEN) has worked with the Garda to develop a LGRT Community Safety Strategy for the Dublin Metropolitan Region. launched by the Minister for Justice. Equality and Law Reform in June 2006 which includes, inter alia, a drop-in service at an LGBT community centre. 379 In the Netherlands, in response to the lack of willingness among homosexuals to report homophobic offences, the police established the Roze in blauw (Pink in Blue) network, of which shout 70 leshian, day and hisexual (LGR) notice officers are members. The network represents the interests of LGB people within and outside the police. Victims of homophobic offences can call a specific telephone number to report violence against LGB people. If so desired the police communication rooms bring the victim into contact with a member of the Pink in Blue network to report the offence 300 Many police forces in the UK have LGBT or minority fisison officers in every borough or police district. These officers have been specially trained to support victims of homophobic and transphobic incidents. They may also have an additional responsibility to engage with individuals and groups who support victims 381

In tally, initialives adopted by senous boat administrations are now being scaled up of through the adoption by some mutagodities and regions, of the so-colded Cost artifacts por in costituzione della Relia nazionale della pubbliche amministrazioni per il costituzione della Relia nazionale della pubbliche amministrazioni per il generale Charter di intenti on the constitution of an entire nazionale autiliterationi deministrationis for invoceromo giacommendino no grundo di sousi direstationis dei administrationis for correctione giacommendino no grundo di sousi orientationi and penderi intentity alvolichi amministrationi per sono della periodi penderi intentity alvolichi amministrationi periodi penderi intentity alvolichi amministrationi periodi and commendino della disconsistanti and commendino della disconsistanti periodi periodi and commendino della disconsistanti periodi and commendino della della periodi and periodi periodi and periodi

All females with the stabilishment of specialised to the order profits of underreporting of underreporting of underreporting of stabilised to the stabilised of the stabilised

²⁷⁷ Informal Basson and support has been in existence at Pearse St. Garda Station in Dublin since 1998.
206 LGBT Hate Come Report: Stop Hate Comes in Iroland Compage. available at

http://ohnny.fruitdesign.ie/uplead/hatecrimereport.pdf at p.35 379 It is expected that this will be expended to a national level

www.art1.nl; http://www.politie-omsterdam-amstelland.nl/frameset/get.clm?id=586;

M. van San and J. de Boom (2016), Geweld tegen homoseksuelen, Rotterdom RISBO Centact Research BV. p. 24

²⁰¹ Sec. e.g. http://www.med.police.uk/contacts/LGBT htm (11 02 2008)

See http://www.primapagina.regione toscana.it/dentitasessuale-igbt (13.02.2006)

various parts of the UK, including Greater London and Northern Ireland, and is advertised to the public 383

Measuring the extent of discrimination on arounds of sexual orientation

In order to develop awareness of the issue of sexual orientation discrimination and to create a climate of tolerance, it may also be possible for the authorities themselves to take initiatives to collect better data about the extent of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation. For instance, the Minister of Justice in Belgium has issued a circular letter on the registration of all bomophobic crimes and offences, prescribing a uniform way for the registration of such crimes, which expressly takes account of their homophobic nature. The Danish Ministry of Justice took a similar initiative in 2007. establishing a new reporting system for decisions in criminal cases where the crime has been committed on account of inter alia, the victim's sexual orientation. In the Netherlands in order to get a better overview of the level of homophobic aggression in the Netherlands, the police and the National Expertise Centre for Diversity (LECD) of the Public Prosecution Service developed a system to improve the registration of offences and crimes with a discriminatory aspect. Moreover, the Public Prosecution Service introduced a new information management system that provides for the option to specify the grounds of discrimination involved in an offence or crime 394 Such initiatives should enable to gain a better understanding of the extent of discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, and to more reliable statistical information on the level of homophobia

9.3. Creating awareness by proactive policies

But the public authorities may also have to move beyond improving their internal modes of organisation. In November 2007 the Dutch government issued a policy paper on homosesual emancipation policy (homo amanogisetabetaly) for the period 2008-2011.²⁸⁰ The man purpose of this policy is the advancement of social acceptance of LIGST people in the Netherlands. In the oction paper the operment announced that this si two

³⁰⁰ For Greater London, the police work with Galop, an LGBT community safety charity details available at

http://www.galop.org.uk (11.02.2008)

Parliamentary Documents of the Dutch Lower House of General-States, 2007-2008, nr. 130 (herdruk).

pp. 279-280

Emancipathenola "Gewoon homo zyri," Parisamentary Papers II 2007-2008, 27017, no. 3. The first version of this policy paper dates back to 1980. Overheddelpolied on homoseksushteti Beleiddenet van het ministens van we. Kamerstek 1984 nr. 11. Reseals, Salu.

goals for the aforementioned period (a) to ensure that homosexuality can be a topic of discussion in all population groups. (b) to tackle the problem of violence and harassment against LGBT people. (c) to stimulate the setting up of civil society organisations, at both local and national level, (d) to contribute to an LGBT-friendly environment in schools. in the workplace and in sport; and (d) to fulfill an active role in the international and Furnnesn field

One important terret of promotional campaigns is in education. In the Netherlands, one of the goals of the policy paper on 'homosexual emancipation policy' is to contribute to an LGRT friendly environment in schools. Although it is nort of the mandate of the Education inspectorate to ask for a school policy for LGBT students and staff, schools are not legally obliged to pursue a security policy ('verligheidsbeleid') specifically focused on LGRT people 396 However, the General Teachers' Union, calls for specific policy on homosexuality in secondary schools 397

In addition, the organisations, COC Nederland and Art 1, have developed teaching materials aimed at making homosexuality a subject for discussion in secondary education. These teaching packs were warmly welcomed by local government. For instance, in January 2008 a pilot with the teaching pack 'Spreek ie uit' ['Speak cut'] started in The Hague and, in the province of Limburg, the campaign 'Vrollike Scholar' was launched, which aims to inform schools about how to be more gay-friendly 368 Similar examples of initiatives in education can be identified in a number of EU Member. States

Such initiatives are often controversial. At the beginning of 2006 the Polish version of Compass, the guide for teachers on methods of educating young people about human rights, published by the Council of Europe, was withdrawn from circulation in Poland by the Ministry of Education, and the director of the National In Service Teacher Training Centre (NTTC), was dismissed for publishing the guide. The grounds for dismissel were the content of the chapter on homosexuality contrary to the general programme of education as well as the charge that the publication promoted homosexuality in schools 369 The Commissioner for Human Rights of the Council of Europe subsequently. also had to excress his concerns about the draft amendments to Ustawa o systemia oświaty (Law on the Education System)300, which carried a view of homosexuality as an unnatural tendency of people who require special care and are subject to a 'deviation'.

²⁶⁰ Equal Treatment Commission 27.01 2005. CGB cordect 2005-13.

www.gayandschool ni and the website of the Dutch General Union of Educational Personnel

www.acb ni, last accessed 31 01 2008.

www.art1 nl. last accessed 30.01 2008 and www.coc.nl. last accessed 30.01 2008 300 For considerations on the Higation initiated by Miroslaw Sielatycki against the Mirister of National

Education, see Chapter 1 200 Polandil Islama z dnja 7 wrzetnja 1991 r. o systemie oswaty unified text - Dziennik Listaw Cloumal of Lawsl of 2004. No. 256, item 2572, as amended.

and which prohibited the promotion of homoscurality in schools.²⁰¹ While these draft emendments never passed, that they could even be proposed liberate how much still needs to be done to ensure that homoscurality will coses being a stigma, and will simply be one way of living one's sexuality among many others, in a society respectful of clusterity.

Protecting the privacy of transgendered individuals in the context of job applications

One of the problems transpendered people may face is that even after their gender reassignment has been officially recognised information may have to be collected about their past, particularly in the context of applications for employment. In the United Kingdom, the Criminal Records Bureau (CRB) provides access to criminal record information in order to help employers in the public, private and voluntary sectors to identify job applicants who may be unsuitable for certain work, especially positions that involve contact with children or other vulnerable members of society 300 To perform this role, the CRB has to be aware of any previous names and/or gender of job applicants. However, the CRB has created a separate application procedure which allows transgender applicants to exclude previous names from the disclosure application form. Applicants are still required to send details of their previous identity in a senerate letter directly to the Sensitive Casework Manager within the CRB. The CRB then checks the data sources held against both current and previous names. This procedure avoids the need for disclosure of former name or gender history to the employer at the application stage, whilst allowing the CRB to carry out the requisite checks against any previouslyheld identities

Memorandum to the Polish Government, Assessment of the progress made in implementing the 2002 recommendations of the Council of Europe Commissioner for Human Rights, 20.06 2007,

CommDH(2007) 13.

222 See http://www.ords.gov.uk.(11.02.2008). For Scotland, see the Scotlish Criminal Records Office, available of http://discissurescotland.org.uk.(11.02.2008).

10. Conclusions

10.1. The Employment Equality Directive

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 21)

Any discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, colour, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or any other opinion, membership of a national minority, property, birth, disability, age or sexual orientation shalf be prohibited.

In 18 Member States (BE, BD, DE, EL, FR, IE, CY, IV, IT, IU, HU, NI, AT, RO, SL, SK, SE, UI) there as a requisity body competent to deal with discrimination on the grounds of sexual valentation within the modern Member States (CZ, DK, EE, ES, IT, MT, FL, PT, Fl) and nat have in place at the time of wirting an equality body competent to address descrimation on grounds of sexual crevitation, four of these States (DK, EE, IT, FT) are morning in the direction of creviting one english body for all discrimination of product in the competency of the sexual crevitation of the sexual crevitat

10.2 The Free Movement Directive

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 45)

- Every citizen of the Union has the right to move and reside freely within the ferritory of the Mamber States
- Freedom of movement and residence may be granted, in accordance with the Treely establishing the European Community, to nationals of third countries legally resident in the territory of a Member State.

In order to correly with the requirements of fundamental rights as defined in Article 9(2) of the EU Treaty, the implementation of Directive 2004/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the right of officiars of the Union and their family members to move and reside freely within the ferritory of the Member Steles (Fice Movement Directly) should ensure that Spouses' or parliament of orders of the Union having excessed their few movement rights are recognised as such, even when their was resinuses, excrisives or particles.

Three situations need to be distinguished.

(1) When a same-sex married partner of a citizen of the Union wishes to join his or her partner in another EU Member State. Concerning a same-sex married partner of a citizen of the Union (whose marriage with another person of the same sex is valid under the laws of BE, ES, NL) seeking to join him or her in another EU Member State, 11 Member States (EE, EL, IE, IT, LV, LT, MT, PL, PT, SI, and SK) appear to reject the recognition of same-sex marriage conduded abroad, and might refuse to consider as 'spouses', for the purposes of family reunification, the same-sex married partner of a critizen of the Union. In contrast, 12 other Member States (BE, CZ, DK, DE, ES, FR, LU. NI RO ELSE UK) would recognise such marriage. In 4 Member States (BG CY HU AT) the situation is unclear. However, any refusal to recognize same sex marriage validly concluded abroad for the purposes of freedom of movement constitutes direct discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation, in violation of Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights and of the general principle of equality, as referated in Article 21 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights. This results in a situation in which the freedom of movement of LCRT is restricted, and not uniformly recognised throughout the Union. It also is the source, in many cases, of legal uncertainty in the yest majority of Member States, the legislation relating to freedom of entry and residence of 'spouses' of diffzens of the Union does not clearly address the situation when these 'spouses' are of the same sex as the Union citizen, and there is no case-law to guide those wishing to exercise their free movement rights

(2) When a same-sex registered partner of a citizen of the Union wishes to join him or her in another EU Member State. Ten Member States (BE, CZ, DK, ES, HU, NI, RO, SE, PI, UK) currently recognise registered partnerships concluded detoed as gying lists to tamily reutrification rights. Seventeen Member States (BG, DE, EEE, EL, FR, ET, TC, VC, UL, TT, UL, MT, AT, PP, FT, SK, SI) are not under such an origination, whether that is because they have no such institution in their demantic law, or because the torm of contraction to her wild over an of oursident for marrage.

(a) When a same-sex of hobe partner of a obzen of the Union (without registered protecting) or same-sex manage, but will when a common household or a disubser relationship, obly effected when the joint more of the machine EU Member State. In the visit majority of the Phember States, no closer justifies are available concerning the means by which the ossistate of a disable exhausting may be effected. While his may be explained by the necessity of the protection of a disable exhausting may be effected. While his may be explained by the necessity of the protection of the protection

10.3. The Qualification Directive

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 18)

The right to asylum shall be guaranteed with due respect for the rules of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 and the Protocol of 31 January 1967 relating to the status of refugees and in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Community

Regarding Council Directive 2004/88/EC of 22 April 2004 on Minimum Standards for the Qualification and Status of Trito County Promises or Statisties Preson as Refugered as Persons Who Otherwise Neder International Protection and the Content of the Protection Content for Qualification Directively appears of richages or individuals benefiting from subsidiary protection would include same-sex spouses in the EU Member's States (EE, CC, OX, DE, ES, LU, N. A. F, II, N.C.) has industrian since outdoorned in seven other Member States (EE, FR, IT, PL, PT, RO, SE), where the definition of spouse in the notifiest of this to be feet observed before courts in the Member States (EE, FR, IT, PL, PT, RO, SE), where the definition of spouse in the notifiest of this to be feet observed before courts in the Member States (EE, EE, CT, VI, T, IT, M, IT, SE, SD, by portners, same eas spouses would probably and considerated affect determination or promote of sexual nometable. None EU Member States (BE, CZ, DK, DE, ES, LU, N. F, LV), allow the some-sexpetter to join the person to whom infermational protection is graited, although the conditions may vary between these jurisdictions as to the precise conditions for establishing the estimations of a "durable relationship". The situation is studdled in low or the Member States (BG, FR, PT, SE). In the 14 emaning States, same-sex partners are not grained an grift or residence (BG, EE, EL, EL, FL, CY, VL, HJ, MR, AT, PE, RO), entire opposition-sex or scarce sex partnerships given sex to an grid of the pattern for resulted that the proposition of the pattern of the patte

10.4. The Family Reunification Directive

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 7)

Everyone has the right to respect for his or her private and family life, home and communications

A similar problem arises under Council Directive 2003/86/EC of 22 September 2003 on the right to family reunification ("Family Reunification Directive"). This directive ensures that the spouse will benefit from family reunification (Art. 4/1/a).

A second implication is that if a State decides to extend the right to family reunification to unumaning planters hinging in a state long time redistancing more for orgationary parties, this should benefit all such printers, and not only oppositie-sex partiess. At the time of unumaning, 12 Member States have decided to extend the right to family varietization to unumaning partiess. Four States of this group resets the possibility to repaired portientarily (E.C., CVI, U.E.) gifty them? States of this group allow for tarrilly reunification on the basis of any durable relationship, seen not authenticated by official required for the State of this CVI, File. A State of this group resets for compartation (SE.E.O.K., R.N., R.S. E.V.). (File file whether State, forming a second prographism (SE.E.O.K., R.N., R.S. E.V.). (File file whether State, forming a second prographism (SE.E.O.K., R.N., R.S. E.V.). (File file whether State, forming a second prographism (SE.E.O.K., R.N., R.S.). (SE.V.). (File methetics State, forming a second prographism (SE.O.K., R.N., R.S.). (SE.V.). (SE.V.). group, have chosen not to provide for the extension of family reunification rights to unmarried partners (EE, EL, IE, IT, CY, LT, LV, HU, MT, AT, PL, PT, RO, SI, SK).

10.5. Combating homophobia through the criminal law

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 1)

Human dignity is inviolable. It must be respected and protected.

The examination of whether hate speech of a homophobic nature is made a criminal offence in the EU Marmber States, and of whether the homophobic intent is considered an aggravating circumstance for sentencing purposes in the criminal laws of the Member States revealed similar ferences of inconsistency.

In 12 EU Member States (BE, DK, DE, EE, ES, RR, LE, LT, NL, PF, RO, SE), the incrimed law contains provisions making it a continual drawn but incline be larted, violence or discrimination or grounds of sexual orientation. In the UK, there are plans to create orientees involving stating put harde on the grounds of sexual orientation. The renaming states do not have such explicit provisions, however generally verticely provisions may serve to profetal CEP provisions. However generally verticely provisions may serve to profetal CEP provisions. However generally verticely growth or provisions might lead to legal uncertainty in the accessor of guidance or authoritative provisions might lead to legal uncertainty in the accessor of guidance or authoritative supervisions. Fig. 17, MF, and AT existing criminal law provisions against their speech are explicitly restricted to the protection of groups other than LGST, making an excessor of the protection of the law to LGST affects the envisions.

Ten EU Member States make the homophobic intent an aggreeding factor in the commission of common orimes (BE, DK, ES, FR, NL, PT, RO, FI, SE, UK with the exception of Sottland). In 15 other Sates, homophobic intent is not an aggreeding circumstance in the commission of criminal offences (BG, GZ, DE, EE, EL, IE, IT, CY, IT TILLIV M TAT SI SK).

10.6. The protection of transgender persons

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 21)

Any discrimination based on any ground such as sex, race, colour, ethnic or social origin, genetic features, language, religion or belief, political or any other opinion, membership of a radional minority, property, birth, disability, are or sexual institutions hall be prohibited.

Transgendered people should be protected from discrimination in the European Union. The European Court of Justice considers that the instruments implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women should be interpreted in order to afford a protection against discrimination on grounds of transpender.

Tarteen E.U Member States treat descrimation on grounds of transgender as a form of see descrimation (E.G., N.F. R.E., IT., N.N., A.T., P.F., IS. S.S., S.K. UK), and own in these States, this is generally a matter of practice of the arth-descrimation boddes or counts rather than an exploit stipulation or legislation in 2 Member States (Dic. E.E. descrimation on grounds of transgender is treated as sexual ententation stammation in 1 rather Member States (Git. C.E.E. I.C., U.T., U.M., M.P., N.S.) discurrentation or grounds of transgender is treated rather as sex descrimation for as sexual treatment includes sexual identity is one for the owner of the control of treatment includes sexual identity is one for the owner of treatment includes sexual identity is one for the owner of the owner owner.

The European Convention on Human Rights guarantees the legal recognition of the new gender acquired followed a gender reassignment medical operation, in addition it recognises the right of the transpendered person to marry a person of the gender opposite to that of the accurred gender. Although four FU Member States (IF. LV. LU. MT) still seem not to comply fully with this requirement, the situation in the other Member States is generally satisfactory. But the approaches vary. Whereas in a few Member States, there is no requirement to undergo hormonal treatment or surgery of any kind in order to obtain an official recognition of gender reassignment in other Member States. the official recognition of a new gender is possible only following a medically supervised. process of gender reassignment sometimes requiring, as a separate specific condition, that the person concerned is no longer capable to beget children in accordance with his/her tormer sex, and sometimes requiring surgery and not merely hormonal treatment. In certain Member States the official recognition of gender reassignment requires that the person concerned is not married or that the marriage be dissolved. This obliges the individual to have to choose between either remaining married or undergoing a change which will reconcile his/her biological and social sex with his/her psychological sex: it has therefore been proposed that the requirement of being unmarried or divorced as a

prerequisite for authorisation for sau change should be abundanced. Finally, the oblight to change and is foreigned in order to manifest the gender reassignment is recognized under different procedures in most Nember States, changing names (acquiring an anne indicative of another gender has the gender at betth) is a procedure available only in exceptional curronations; generally condicious upon medical feeding with the gender reassignment has taken place, or upon an official recognition or gender reassignment, whether or not follows a medical cooperation.

10.7. The lack of statistics and data for the development of anti-discrimination policies

Charter of Fundamental Rights (Article 8)

Everyone has the right to the protection of personal data concerning him or her.

11. Opinions

According to Art 41/td of Council Regulation 1892/007, the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights is entireated with the lists to formulate grounses for the European Union institutions and the Member States in order to fulfill its clipactive, which is to provisely the relevant restations, boose, clips and agreement for Community and its Member States, when implementing Community law, with assistance and expertise Member States, when implementing Community law, with assistance and expertise relating to fundamental rights in credit or support them when they late measure or formulate ocurse of action within their respective spheres of competence to fully respect fundamental rights.

11.1. Equal Right to Equal Treatment

18 EU Marcher States have gone beyond minimal prescriptions as regards sexual contention in implementing the Endpressive Equally Directive by provineing protection against discrimination for LGBTs not only in employment, but also in other or even all of the the erress converted by the Road is Equally Directive. In 18 Member States there is no equality looy competent to deal with discrimination on the grounds of sexual contentation. This is emportant to less in wew also of the equality of grounds angle in the European Ulmon's Charlet of Fundamental Rights, which in Article 21 prohibits discrimination equally only ordination.

The majority of Member States have thus already designated any articlast "hierarchy" of decignations. The competed Express Illusion statistics should be stated to substantiation ground. The competed Express Illusion statistics should therefore consider developing the necessary legislative provisions to ensure that all grands of production ensuring that all can enjoy equal rights to equal tradition of accident production of the competency and the competency and 3.0 of the EC Treety with the same extended scope and institutional guarantees for examining the competency and the competency and the CT treety with the same extended scope and institutional guarantees for examining the consist body for following the model of the Residual Productive.

11.2. Same sex couples are not always treated equally with opposite sex couples

Flights and advantages reserved for married couples should be extended to unmarried same-sex couples either when these couples form a registered partnership in the absence of a possibility to marry, or when, in the absence of a registered pertnership, the de facto relationship presents a sufficient degree of permanency in order to ensure equal tearment of LOST persons, international human rights law requires that some size couples either have access to an institution such as registered partnership with a couples either have access to an institution such as registered partnership with a provided from with the some advantages as toose they would be recognised if they had access to marrage, or that, failing out of lottled recognised in the of social access provided to the social social social social social social social recognised, the discrete recognised as legitimes, this has been justified by the reasoning that opposition exception to some size couples within, under the applicable national legislation, are spartly to some size couples within, under the applicable national legislation, are probabled from institution of the size of the s

This is also relevant for rights and benefits provided for spouses and pertners under the EU's Free Movement Directive, the Family Reunfication Directive and the Qualification Directive. The treatment of same sex couples in conformity with international human rights law needs to be ensured and clarified for all these directives.

Approximation of criminal law combating homophobia

Following the model of the proposed framework decision on reason and senophobae (COM/COM) 696, which was sent to the European Parliament for reconsultation their resolving publical agreement in Council (Doc Nr 1152/20007 from 19 July 2007), the European Commission should consider proposing similar EU legislation to cover homoprobics. This EU legislation needs to cover homoprobic hate speech and homoprobics hate enem and approximates carmal significant on the Member States supplicated to these phonomens Homoprobic hate speech and hate comes are phonomens which may see still need so that the second proposition of the phonomens which may see still need to consider the proposition of Takes phonomens need to be confident door significant from some strong millionary. These phonomens need to be confident doors the European thins ensuring millionary.

11.4. Transgender persons are also victims of discrimination

Transported premote on the devices of destimation and homophoba. They should inherent be equally produced from destimation According to the European Court of Justice in the legal instruments for equal transported or the European Court of Justice in the legal instruments for equal transport of court of the production and the second transport of the contractivities of the second transport of the court of the deciration of the segment premises in therefore Secondaria in addition, the notion of leve or "gender's should be interpreted more broadly, in order to cover sto graded slently—I not be product interpreted premises such cross diseases and transversities, people who leve perminently in the gender's opposite for that on their term contribute whorted are model intervention, and all those who with to present the gender differently Both these distributions should be explicitly included in any relevant future. EU and accommission legislation, including a possible invocation and and the second including a possible invocation and and the second including a possible invocation and the second including and the sec

Member States should consider to introduce/improve legislation and practice in order to tully ensure the full legal recognition of the new gender including change of forename, social security number and other possible gender indicators.

11.5. Lack of statistics regarding discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation

The lack of statistical data is purly attributed to statistical data in purity attributed to first statistical data in purity attributed to first statistical data from the recognition of the data predenting legalization. In this respect of the desidate to desidate to desidate to desidate to desidate to desidate to desidate data from the Working Party statistified under Article 20 of this desidate to desidate or operation concerning the operation and proposed party operation of the desidate data from the context of a statistical purposes, particularly not the context of a statistical purposes, particularly not the context of an extra designation would reduce legalization undertainly and promise data for statistical purposes, particularly not the context of an extra designation would reduce legalization undertainly and promise data data comprehensive statistical purposes particularly attention of designation and operation and designation of designation and designation of the organization and designation and the designation of designation and designation an

ANNEX

Fundamental Rights Agency Legal Experts Group (FRALEX)

Country	Name	Position/Institution
Belgium	Paul Lemmens	Professor / Institute for Human Rights – University of Leuven
Bulgaria	Slavka Kukova	Researcher / Bulgarian Helsinki Committee
Czech Republic	Pavel Sturma	Professor / Charles University - Prague
Denmark	Birgitte Kofod Olsen	Deputy Director / The Danish Institute for Human Rights
Germany	Heiner Bielefeldt	Director / The German Institute for Human Rights
Greece	Petros Stangos	Professor / Anstotle University of Thessaloniki
Estonia	Merle Haruoja	Chairman of the Board / Estonian Institute for Human Rights
Spain	Teresa Freixes Sanjuan	Professor / Autonomous University of Barcelona
France	Florence Benoit- Rohmer	Professor / Robert Schumann University - Strasbourg
Ireland	Donncha O'Connell	Dean of Law / National University of Ireland - Galway
Italy	Marta Cartabia	Professor / University of Bicocca- Milan
Cyprus	Nicos Trimikliniotis	Assistant Professor & Director / Centre for the study of Migration, Inter- ethnic & Labour Relations at University of Nicosia
Latvia	Ilvija Půce	Lawyer / Latvian Centre for Human Rights
Lithuania	Edita Ziobiene	Director / Lifthuanion Centre for Human Rights
Luxembourg	François Moyse	Attorney / Di Stefano, Sedio & Moyse
Hungary	Lilla Farkes	Attorney/ President of the Equal Treatment Advisory Board
Maita	lan Refato	Professor / Organisation for the Promotion of Human Rights
Netherlands	Rick Lawson	Professor / University of Leiden
Austria	Manfred Nowak	Co-Director / Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Human Rights
Poland	Zbigniew Holda	Professor/ Jagiellonian University- Cracow
Portugal	Jose A Guimaraes de Sousa Pinheiro	Professor / University of Lisbon
Romania	Romanita Elena Iordache	Vice-President / ACCEPT
Slovak Republic	Wolfgang Benedek	Professor / University of Graz

Country	Name	Position/Institution
Slovenia	Arne-Marjan Mavcic	Head of Analysis and International Cooperation Department - Constitutional Court of Stovenia
Finland	Martin Scheimn	Professor / Institute for Human Rights, Ábo Akademi University
Sweden	Maja K Eriksson	Professor / University of Uppsala
UK	David Harris	Co-Director / Human Rights Law Centre - University of Nottingham
EU / International	Olivier De Schutter	Professor of Human Rights at the Catholic University of Louvain

European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights

Homophobia and Discrimination on Grounds of Sexual Orientation in the EU Member States

Part I - Legal Analysis

2008 - 160 pp - 21 x 29 7 cm

ISBN-13 978-92-9192-266-6 DOI: 10.2811/9312

TK-30-08-001-EN-Z

A great deal of information on European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the FRA website (-- + - +| -+|)

© European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, 2008 Reproduction is authorised, except for commercial purposes, provided the source is acknowledged







FBA-Javopen Embu Amary for Apotem anality its A-book (Via, Robless 2) 154, 448 1550 10-50 Faz 449, 1500 30-603 Fax biblio mation (Via Grophu) Taron (Via Grophu)

